

~~5. Making ignorance and craziness into ~~the~~ History~~
 5. Making Ignorance and Craziness into History

In different words Blakey begins his chapter 7, "A Message from the Soviet Union" (pages 109-134) referring to Kennedy, when he took office, as a hawk.

Which Kennedy then was.

He then refers to a "report" that "Oswald met with the Soviet ^{a KGB officer who was} consul (in Mexico City), ^VValery Vladomirch Kostikov, who ~~was~~ was thought to be a member of ^{of} Department 13, the sabotage and assassination section of the Soviet intelligence agency....It was up to us, as it had been to the Warren Commission, to determine whether the Soviet Union chose neither mutual obliteration nor coexistence but elimination of a free world leader The state of U.S.-Soviet relations as of November, 1963, was therefore relevant" (page 110).

If Blakey knew, or had any intention of reporting what at the time of the assassination U.S.-Soviet relations actually were he'd not have written this, used ^{the} these words. And everything else here quoted from Blakey was not true.

Oswald did not meet with Kostikov, the misinformation begun by the hot CIA's hotshots in the Mexico City station and that ^{mis}misinformation had been acknowledged by the CIA well before the Blakey committee had been formed. Blakey can say this because he did not want, which means did not get, the cited CIA records, which were released and I have the ^{in my} my file.

As the CIA did ~~not~~ ^{not} report to begin with. it had Kostikov under surveillance and it would have known if Oswald met with him outside his office. When Oswald went to Mexico City he had, as Blakey also does not say ^{but} it is again included in disclosed records I have, no plan to go to the Soviet embassy. He was sent there by the Cubans when Oswald asked for permission to go to Cuba. Asked why he said to go to the Soviet Union. This is what led the Cubans to send him

there, to get that required permission.

Not only did Oswald, to the CIA's knowledge, not meet with Kostikov, when he returned ~~and~~ to see if he had been given this permission, he was not even allowed to enter that embassy. *They chased him.*

As the ~~K~~CIA also knew. *It* It disclosed that tekephone intercept.

So, there is nothing to this part of the false background Blakey is ~~laying~~ building on.

As there also is to that "state of relations" line Blakey made but because the fact ~~is~~ that after Kennedy and Khrushchhev had gone ~~the~~ to the very brink during the 1962 Cuba missile crisis, thereafter they explored, in rprivate correspondence, the possibility of better relations.

One ting is ~~cert~~ertain, particularly with Kennedy ~~true~~ *turned* and dove ~~or~~ by that crisis, the Soviet Union, being bankrupted by military costs, certainly preferred the hawk-turned-dove that Kennedy was (and as Blakey does not say) to the hawk ~~or~~ hawks, Johnson. But killing Kennedy automatically made Johnson his successor.

As he gives his view of those relations Blakey does report that what became the Bay of Pigs was authorized by Eisenhower on March 17, 1960. He also says that what the CIA said it anticipated, that there would be an interna, uprising ~~never~~ *never* materialized. (page 110) *o*

Whether the CIA really was stupid ~~enough~~ enough to anticipate such an uprising or had other reasons it preferred not to face is outside of ~~Blakey's~~ Blakey's understanding. He makes no mention of that.

But he does acknowledge the peaceful intent Kennedy expressed in ~~his~~ ^A speech at ~~merican~~ American University in Washington in June, 1962.

Nonethelezss this ~~by~~ Oswald trip to Mexico ^{City} and his earlier "defection" in which Oswald did not defect Blakey regards as a sign of "Soviet ^complicity" in the assassination (~~page~~ page 113 /.

He also ^Nfound "reason^{on the} question the candor of the Soviets and therefore their their innocence." What he has there to build his case for suspecting the Soviets is not included in any of those many thousands of pages I obtained and reviewed and it also makes no sense (page 113,) ⑦

Blakey then ^{goes} into his committee's efforts to learn more about Oswald when he was in the Soviet Union but in the course of his account he again found it helpful to omit what he had to know, that the CIA prepared questions it wanted the State Department to get answered for the Warren Commission that were so offensive they were not asked and very little information had been asked for by the Commission. How the CIA had used for its questions the venom it was given by defectors on its test was not mentioned by Blakey but it was indeed a factor. The Soviets had to wonder why so little was asked of it by or for the Commission as well as what would likely follow any questions it answered.

Blakey then gives his version of the defection of a KGB officer, Yuri Nosenko (pages 115-119) ⑧ In it he manages to not include what the CIA let it know in official testimony that was also telecast live from coast to coast, about the insanities and stupidities of the CIA people for the three years ^{they} that had Nosenko confined with no ^{charges} charges placed against him. Those paranoids even considered the various ways in which they could get rid of Nosenko, like from driving him crazy, which they did attempt, to dropping him far out into the Atlantic. In his treatment of this incredible stupidity for which there was no basis at all except the insanity of another defector, Volitsyn, who had the CIA's counterintelligence chief, James J. Angleton and many others convinced that any other defector

would be "dispatched" to discredit him, Golitsyn.

Who did more than enough of that himself, if he had been in the hands of people ~~not much~~, less irrational than he.

After three years the CIA~~s~~ made a long and detailed study, as the result of this Nosneko was cleared of the insane allegation by Golitsyn and his CIA buddies, paid generously for his years of ~~su~~ suffering and was ~~employed by the CIA.~~ ^{Including in teaching.} What to most people would wipe out all those many irrational allegations but ~~not~~ Blakey. He says (on page 117) that "Our effort to evaluate Nosenko's ~~as~~ Oswald story drew us into the controversy over Nosenko's bona fides," (page 117), a matter that had ~~already~~ been resolved by the CIA, which threw out all that Golitsyn and pals had made up.

Blakey then treats Edward Jay Epstein's fabrication, Legend: the Secret World of Lee Harvey Oswald. That book is dignified nuttiness made ~~up~~ by Epstein and heavily promoted. Blakey says of that awful trash that when it appeared "Our work was further complicated" by it. In fact only an assassination idiot would have given that political trash a second look or any thought. It was as ~~impossible~~ as possible could be. But Blakey does quote George Lardner's Washington Post review, "... fascinating (but) essentially dishonest." He prefaces this by saying that Lardner's stories in the Post "tended to reflect bias rather than the facts." The reality is that what Blakey did on and with his committee was so bad criticism was called for. ~~Much~~ ^{and was attributed to} originated with me and as noted earlier, Blakey could not and did not find any factual error in any of them.

In his writing here Blakey makes it clear that he still ~~favours~~ favors the insane Golitsyn fabrications even after the CIA had resolved that nonsense that was so hurtful to it, (page 117).

As Blakey continues with his contribution to this utter insanity

he has another subchapter titled "Nosenko Unmasked" (pages 119-125).

No questionmark in his subtitle. He says that Nosenko was "unmasked," as nonsensical as the fabrication of the crazy Golitsyn and his many CIA chums, fools he dominated. This attitude is particularly impossible to understand given the entirely unprecedented confession of its own unprecedented abuses of Nosenko made under oath and on coast-to-coast TV by the CIA itself, a story that is simply astounding.

ASIDE FROM STUPID, ~~UNBESIEGIBLE~~ PREJUDICE THAT PERMEATES Blakey's treatment of this matter, it is tainted by ignorance so basic that he again tainst himself, criticizes himself as no enemy could. He is that wrong, thst worse than stupid because he did, after all, run the investigation of which this is part.

Skipping, for the ~~ho,ent~~ at least, what is well known. of Nosenko's telling the CIA in Switzerland that he would work for it, Blakey says that the next year, when Nosenko did contact the Switzerland CIA again and ~~tr~~^{ied} to defect there is a fat record that was disclosed to me and therefore was readily available to Blakey Nosenko of which he here expresses total ignorance. It included non-stop CIA efforts to prevent his defection. Ignoring that, which is of Blakey being Blakey again. he said says:

It developed from our investigation that Nosenko had been in the United States only a couple of months when officials of the CIA began to have doubts that he was a serious defector. There were several reasons for their misgivings: much of what Nosenko had provided had been "giveaway" information... (page 119).

All of this, with not a word of it true, is Balakey's subject-matter ignorance/prejudice and the fabrications of the rabid and irrational Golitsyn gang, including ~~man~~^y man ~~man~~^y people in the CIA.

Rather than this evil/stupidity beginning after Nosenko was

must
single
space

when he has his ignorance to ~~indulge~~ indulge and to depend on. Aside from all ^h that is official public knowledge so much of which was testified to before his committee and which Blakey here suppresses,

he argues against the CIA decision that Nosenko had not been "dispatched" to disinform about Oswald - for which there was no real purpose, only the nutty imaginings - with nothing but his uninformed opinion to refute the official fact, which evolved after a long, informed ^{professional} (and painful actual investigation.

Finally, on page 125, Blakey gets to, his sub~~h~~heading, "Committee hearing on Nosenko." Here he mentions Hart, who he omitted from his index, and this is a fair reflection of the dependability of what Blakey presents as scholarship and again is nothing ⁺ but what he ~~wa~~ wants believed, regardless of the truth. *Or of its untruth*

He also has his own way of putting things to present them in reverse. He talks about the deep rift "caused at the agency" by Nosenko (page 125) ~~when~~ in fact that rift was caused by the nuts who believed the Golitsy n nutty fabrications, ^(-which began it all.) Here Blakey is actually arguing against the CIA, which took three years for it to reach its decision.

This who ^{le} section refers constantly to Hart, even quoting his testimony, yet it is missing from Blakey's index. Or, it is a fair assumption with page after page referring to hart and what he ~~sa~~ said that Blakey, when he dared ^{not} ~~not~~ ^m it it entirely, took this unscholarly, really dishonest way ^{of misrepresenting it and of} of playing that down, of hiding it from those who look for it.

Continuing with his Nosenko hangup, Blakey has another section with the subhead "Nosenko ~~analyzed~~ analyzed", beginning on page 131. Blakey's qualifications for this "analysis" are his ^d baseless personal

prejudice^{ed} and his ignorance only a tiny part of which is referred to above. He discloses both right off, at the very beginning. He says that Nosenko was "untruthful" about Oswald, and that is a lie. ~~He~~ ~~says~~ that.

He says that Nosenko "lied about there being no connections between the KGB and Oswald and in this Blakey himself ^{ed} lied because there in fact was no such connection. He made that up and on the

basis of his own ^{ignorance and} fabrication calls Nosenko the liar that in all of this Blakey is.

Q1 Except to those making up a "selective" to the JFK assassination and doing that with complete ignorance in any of this, especially on Blakey's part. honest and monumental in patriotism there is no real purpose

In connection with the imagined but non-existent connection

between Oswald and the KGB, Blakey argues that "Because the KGB, from whom Nosenko was talking orders (and ^{is} after his defection) feared that if any relationship with Oswald ...recruitment to spy, eytc.) were acknowledged it would inevitably ^{be} interpreted in the United States to mean ~~recruitment and~~ assignment to assassinate."

Aside from all the insanity in this kind of "reasoning," there is the fact that, as Blakey ignored in the readily available official evidence, that Oswald was not the assassin. In fact, that evidence, which Blakey ignored in favor of his ignorant imagining, actually proves that Oswald could not have been the assassin. But for the Blakeys, official fact is not what they want. They all had that national policy set the night before Kennedy was buried to live with. *It, not the official evidence, says that Oswald is guilty. The actual evidence says Oswald was not guilty, it was Blakey's job to report that, and he did not do his job to meet his responsibilities*

Sick and baseless conjecturing ~~substi~~ presented as fact in the opening paragraph that runs on for more than a full printed page.

As Blakey argues and discloses more about his beginning prejudice he discloses his subject-matter ignorance. As in saying again that Oswald saw Kostikov in the Russian Embassy in Mexico" (page 135) when he did not see Kostikov at all. As the disclosed CIA records

in the United States "only a couple of months" it actually began before he managed to ^{circum}navigate his way out of Switzerland and to the United States. I have a fat file disclosed to me under FOIA in which it is unhidden that one of the Washington CIA nuts, influenced by the Golitsyn insanity and by those under his influence, was sent to Switzerland to prevent his defection. After he ^{managed to get} ~~arrived~~ ~~XXXX~~ ~~getting~~ to the United States he was interviewed by the FBI, which did not classify ~~its~~ reports on what he told it. But that CIA nasties/nuts did have them classified.

The first of these FBI interviews/reports was February 26 and 27, 1964. The first of this series of reports states that Nosenko ~~de~~ defected only twenty-two days earlier. The same dates appear on the first of these reports, February 26 and 27, ~~1964~~, and the FBI file number ^{identifier} ~~is~~ WFO 105-37111.

The first of the notes I made after going over all the many Nosenko records disclosed to me is;

initial single piece
Brennan to Sullivan, 2/5/64, begins with the CIA's sowing of suspicion about Nosenko from its first contact with the FBI....

Those notes also state that Nosenko went to the CIA in Geneva 1/23/64. Or, rather than it taking "several months" after Nosenko was in the United States for the CIA to develop doubts about him it is recorded in official CIA and FBI records that the CIA was determined to prevent his defection knowing full well that in ~~this~~ ~~that~~ it could be signing his death warrant.

All because an insane earlier defector could and did do so many of them and then could and did create policy for the United States.

For what Nosenko told the CIA ^{could} to be called "giveaway information," another Golitsyn invention that is false, all those in the CIA who had any idea of what Nosenko did really tell the CIA, ^{if new better} one illus-

tration is that he pinpointed the location of fifty Soviet bugs ^{inside} ~~the U.S. Moscow embassy~~ through which it was learning ^{just} ~~just~~ about all that was happening and was decided in and by that United States embassy!

Including the ambassador's own office!
That is "giveaway" like the Taj Mahal ^{is a giveaway!} ~~is a giveaway.~~

Why Blakey holds back the truth when he is known to know it and lies when he did not do his job and ^{NO} ~~investigate~~ ^{and} again failed to get records that were disclosed to md and that he should have asked for is not known but it evaluates him as an ~~investigator~~ and as the head of a Congressional investigation ^{and} ~~as~~, once again, ^{he is a Pink Panther} ~~a Pink Panther~~, junior grade, and with ^{the} ignorance and stupidity ^{and it} is fair to add knowing and deliberate dishonesty ^{So,} instead of being a Pink Panther, junior grade. he is rather an apprentices for that rank as an investigator.

Bearing on Blakey's intent in all of this, he does not ^{index} ~~mention~~ the name of the representative of the CIA in charge of the final evaluation, ~~and~~ the retired CIA officer who had been called back to ^{John Lemmon Hart} ~~testify~~ for that and to testify before Blakey's committee, ^{as per list in}

full, gay and ashamed detail

All of which this junior Golitsyn idiot suppresses from his ^{index} ~~book~~. As ^{he} also edited ~~about~~ that testimony, by ~~John Lemmon Hart~~, the details of one of the many CIA crimes in all of this, ~~that~~ ~~the~~ planning for killing Nosenko who was and had been of great help to the United States and thus displeased the sick ^{CIA paranoias} ~~perjurers~~ led by Golitsyn,

Hart's name does not appear in the index to Blakey's mistitled book!

And Blakey headed a ^g ~~Congressional~~ investigation ^{with} ~~and had~~ the reported ambition to become the attorney ~~generals~~ ^{general} of the United States.

The reasons Blakey gives for not trusting Nosenko really boil down to what those crazy earlier KGB defectors made up in what they imagined was their own interest. One that Blakey singles out again, this long after all that Oswald information was made available to the Warren Commission and this much after what Nosenko said on his defection was more than available, was available with ample time for checking, is that the information Nosenko had given about Oswald just did not ring true," (page 120). What to Blakey did not ring true is that Nosenko said the KGB did not speak to Oswald. That an agent of the KGB did and told the KGB Oswald could not be trusted does not ring true? No matter how true it? That the KGB already knew ever so much more about United States radar that Oswald could have, as was known, if not to the Pink Panthers, made no difference to Blakey.

What Nosenko said was the truth and there was no real reason the KGB had for not wanting the truth to be known.

Especially because the disclosed records, disclosed long before the mafia bug bit Pink Panther ^o ~~spot~~ apprentice Blakey made it clear that Oswald was not the assassin—and that, and this according to the official records, was without real question, the Blakey who had made up his mind and preserved what he had made up with ignorance had no ~~use~~ use for.

(What the KGB knew and its potential is made clear in the book by one of the CIA's experts, Dino ^{a.} Brugioni. Publication was approved by the CIA, as it had to be. It is titled Eyeball to Eyeball and it was published by Random House. I ^{quote} cite the relevant sections in Epilogue 2 to Waketh the Watchman, one of the books in this series. Brugioni's book is included in my archive.)

Blakey is the kind of "investigator" to whom fact is not material

~~that~~ that interested Blakey less than those of the mafia ~~are~~ ⁽ⁱ⁾
~~explicit in saying .~~

Next he says that Nosenko was sent by the ~~the~~ KGB.

As it gets nuttier and nuttier and he imagines more and more for which there can be a basis only in insanity he brings this ~~is~~ incredible display or ~~is~~ ignorance, stupidity and prejudice to an end (on page 134) he cites an unidentified person he heard "at a luncheon recess" say ~~that~~ "it is impossible to conceive ~~of~~ a KGB agent, for example, ever admitting that anything they did fifteen years ago was wrong."

All of this is crazy. ^{Believing it is} ~~more~~ ~~is~~ more, much worse than crazy. It is part of the determined effort of the man who had the duty of establishing the truth of this great national disaster to rewrite that truth. which, to a large degree, awaits his ~~free~~ ~~ex~~ ~~amination~~ of it, and to make our history a combination of his ~~of~~ factual ignorance and his hatred/prejudices.