Dear Jim, on doing a number, or an I being Cointelpro-grammed? 6/27/80

Week ago today there was the old business of the sudden unsafety of my car.

Then EPA wrote me about handling dangerous substances, and I wrote asking for an explanation, including how I got on their list, and said if necessary it was an FULA request. Yesterday I got a call from EPA, Shirley Balkin, who apparently expected no to be satisfied with her explanations that in order to alert people they used the only means available, getting a private contractor to prepare a list from the business sections of phone books.

I told her it was not possible to get my name in that manner and not possible to get the combination of the middle initial I never used from any phone book, particularly not in combination with the address we haven't had in five years. That containly is not in any current listing of any kind. She was at a loss to explain it and said she'd get book to me. She said it might take some time and I said I'd not hold her to the time limits of FOIA/PA.

You were having trouble with your phone a week ago, an intercept saying it was temporarily disconnected? Well, that week I also had trouble with laud, scratching noteen on my phone. While both, again, could be of what today is immount explanation, and immount, the possibility of harasament exists.

Now that we know the MPA explanation, we do know that it is not possible for me to have gotten on that list in the manner it claims. We may not known any more, but that is simply beyond question.