Originals of the attached clipping and this memo are going to DW for the files if he wants them. I'm sending these copies to you since this is not a new topic, at least at this end of our long correspondence.

Regarding Hougan's theory, you may recall that at the time Jenifer and I did some extensive speculating based on the same set of circumstances plus others which fitted the same pattern — that the Watergate break—in, at some critical point or points, and as a result of careful and determined planning, was designed to be detected and exposed. The bungling was simply too universal and too thorough to permit any other truly logical conclusion.

(On one point, I think Hougan is wrong because he apparently knows little or nothing about the properties of adhesive tape. Placed vertically along the door's edge the tape could not have withstood mix the pressure of the spring behind the bolt. Placing it horizontally, around the two corners and along the face and back of the door, is the only way the frictional properties of the tape could be used to keep the bolt recessed in spite of the strength of the spring.)

Hougan does not go beyond describing the circumstances which led him to postulate an intention to sabotage the break-in; he puts a high priority on finding the motive behind such an intention, however, and says coyly he'd look first at CIA counter-intelligence.

You may recall that at the time we explored this area speculatively. Our postulates ran more or less like this: If the break-in actually was planned to be sabotaged, the probable political effects were obvious -- damage to the Republicans and Nixon's hhances of re-election with a corresponding benefit to the martyred Deomcratizs and McGovern's chances of replacing Nixon as President. We then had to ask: who the hell could want McGovern to defeat Nixon at such cost to the whole political process ? Certainly not the Republicans. And the Democrats were not much likelier candidates, since if discovered the caper could only result in retaliation and plunge the whole campaign to a level wholly incompatible with McGovern's whole image. So there had to be a wild card, a joker in the deck which came from outside the normal political apparatus. The presence of so many ex-CIA figures in the picture was obvious from the beginning, of course, and the CIA itself -- with its need-to-know modus operandi and its chronic condition of operating with its right hand never knowing what the left was doing, -- appeared to be the only group within which a sub-clique could have the resources as well as the privacy with which to conceeve and effect such an unorthodox way of wrecking a favored candidate and electing an underdog. Why would they want to ? The answer would have to have nothing to do with right-wing or left-wing politics in this country, not fundamentally. The central reason would have to lie in the usual motive of the kingmaker -- to insure his own future. If elected, McGovern would have been a weak president, with a hostile Congress and therefore a weak administration. Nixon, on the other hand, especially after his daring vote-face on China, appeared likely to be an even stronger president than before, hard to control, fully capable of destroying anything in his path. McGovern, of course, would have been easily intimidated and far less likely to try cracking down on the whole CIA set-up, including our kingmaker clique.

Of the figures who have surfaced since then, Angleton strikes me as the most plausible guru to this element, if it existed.

## Startling Watergate Theory

1972, January McCord, Ead told his tains the case was that when he saw the last simployed lock the door and have it in Demogratic National Committee, history might have been changed

in all tikelinged, the team of burgiary would not have been caught the break in would have gone unnoticed, and the tovestigation and himstehment bearings against Richard M. Mison never would have men hard

hur worked who was watching the offices from across the street uld not tell his team for nearly in home that the coast was

Meanwhile, the building guard discovered the taped doors and notified the police.

Now comes hivestigative reporter Jim Housen a Washington editor of Harper's Magazine, with the thesis that anomalies and discrepanetes in a sulfrony, and actual mistakes made during that breakin, have never been and annot he - explained away as aboutental or unlucky.

in he armic entitled Watergate Conspirator Duped reliew Barreland on Marpor's January is ed hurgher McCard leader of the five member cash arrested in the Watericke that how high deliberately subsequed the breakin and ried his a cooleans in a ctrap.

Intelligence of the Central Intelligence of the Arthur art from his neare carney role in 1972 to set up a private secural firm in Washingto all only clients were the neutripolicar Actional Committee and the Compilers to Steeles Cine

the was set in the one proams its chief of courts and when the

testing by about the apeakin was

dismissed by the Senate Watergate committee as impressible to resolve since at least three and possibly four breakids had occurred in the weeks, before line, is and the witnesses gould have been referring to one or another of the earlier incidents.

Tuese it remained undisturbed, until Houstan became interested while researching a 10 of suit filed for a former McCord

Back Page Col. 1

## From Page 1

employee now deceased against the Washington Star.

lo bis article - which may later be expanded to neck length Rengan Indicts McCord on a long list of mishaps or inaccuracles, including:

· Placing the now farrous tape norizonally across the latch to the Watergate garage, so it was visible. If it had been placed vertically

along the side of the door it rould not have been seen unless the door was opened.

· Telling his volleagues at the "command post" at the Howard Johnson motel across the street that he had been detayed because be had checked the doors and "the tape was still there. Actually guard Frank Wills had found the tape and stripped it off.

· insisting the operation should go forward after associate E. Howard Hunt learned the tape was musting and argued that the break in should be halted.

Much laber, it was McCord who "blew the whistle" on the untire oderation in a letter to Judge John Siries - m an at tempt, he said, to avoke a just teem.

There were only examples. But the most serious was the hourlong delay in informing his colthough, watching trots the Command Post across the street. Me-Cord and seen the last employee leave dousing the lights and locking the door, and had watched the employee cross the street to the

Haward Johnson Peaulifaid with With the building guard

Even as he told his coffeneurs the offices were did himsed be not only knew they were dark and empty but that the guvet set away from the building.

Townsoni che to knint against explination for the deception. Mangan witten

That hour, he says, may have changed the course of U.S. history Had McCord told the truck and had the burglary begun at this time rather than much later a would almost certainly have gone undetected ...."

And it was of course, the investigation of the Cursiar, that hogan the long road leading all) nutely to the Bouse Judictics Committee's approval of impeach ment resolutions for abuse of power, obstruction of Justice and Jax evasion - and Nixon's resignation in disgrace.

So how, and why did it have

Meterd was a well-thoughter onerative and administrator at the CIA, and an experienced investigafor and security men, yet "at every hin ture he made decisions that proved caustrophic, applied tradeorafe and was luckrous, and min led his accomplices.

Phit a career C.A efficer such as McCoed should blunder so completely is about as likely as a ondiatrist accidentally decepitating his patient, indeed, it is even loss likely, since incompetence will not suffice as an explanation the article says.

Bur Housen also says, "to know that McCord substaged the broak in and that another Woser gate coverup continues into the present was not an end to the matter -- morely a beginning

The writer's investigation is continuing, and he gives high pridgity to finding the motive that leu McCord to act is a double

Hougan was asked in an ear tier telephone interview where he would look. All he would say was that the CIA paymentarty the counterpublishme division - F hiph on the irst. Unwed E ...