 they he has hodet hatwocir. There was wope than one rogusst of $10 / 27 / 75$. Ons remeda unackenoviedged arter all thas tise. Hisybe more.


I know I aam appand.

 one toparentely.

If it is then iguored after my alerting himp I thint thas aleo tapproves the


Whan you have time I thintr is would be good if you could straighten out thets part of your flien and be ready wad lonow.

Wo have plenty of the to malce decisions. I wanted not to let too much time fot berove anenaring hiln,

Heets

```
Because months ago it was difficult for me to file (and sometimes remains so) I
have mislaid some of my requests under FOIA. If I sent you copies and if it it not
too much trouble, I'd appreciate knowing which. No rush if you can anu I did.
```

Thanks, IW

㐌。112，Frederiek，Na． 21701 $1 / 30 / 76$

Wir．Clarence $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Kelley，Bireotor
FII
Washington，D．C． 20535
Dear Mar．Kelliny，
Thank you very much for your letter of the 26th and tho explanations in it．I uish I could believe there is a chance this sesponse gets your personal attention because with 1t over your mane，whethor or hot youze over saw the lettex you bent ma，it is one that can be hurtfut to your reputation，with the diattuot posaduduty that this could happen
 foun the alduesenes ie unitomat it never happenss

Whoever wrote thris letter for jou assures me＂that the rivi in no way intends to


I oomplained to Hp，Brossen that the foum reaponses given at at beet were obfusoar tory and at porst provided a means for the deliberste exvation of confuston and for deay－ ing me gy righte under the lam at the minimam by deliberate delaye being built in．One of uy apoodifies is that in no sdisgle ease was the request identificed．Your letter aupposediy identirite $n^{3}$ these reguestes．＂Our recoria indicate that you have pending with this Mureau Por informattion imvolving thanee moparate subject matterss＂aftar which there is refexence to only tyec of cy letters of request，dated Oetober 27 and Deceuber $10,1975$.

Uniess I were to believe that you，pereonaliy，are part of this stonswalling I have to bellow thet you also ase a vietim of it．

鳥保e continuing the explanation I thinis is dae yon，you axe also due thanks for Helling ny rights of appeal out．While I wes aware of then，it is，unlike the lottexisef whiah I complain，a proper courtosy and I appreedate ito Rowevor，often al I have done it， I detunt the very iden of having to suo wy government，most of all a laweenforcement agenoy．

Since uld－Cetober I have boen 12mithod by phlabitis．The condition mukes nccens to goine of my filea awkwaxd and difficult．Thersfore，what follows is frow recolleetion and is loas full that it ean be and if necossary in the future uill beo

Tou were not fully insommed．I believe there is no acoident in this．Mo lettera I addraasod to the FBI were swturnod and I ueed a printed envelope．

I mention two requegts，eno FOLA the other Poraph，of tiose of wideh you wexe not told or at least not referstid to in your letter．Ono dealt with ocior pictures of Presi－ dent Kennoty＂s alothing the other with the fileg on me．These ave subjecta that an be intergmetail as potumtialiy mabarratioting to the Buyoar and thoy appenr to heve been memorym－ holed．I am conflident there awe others but these I recall．

I recongnise the probleni the FRI nent has with POXAPA requeatas and would etill have， if to a iesser degree if it did not build thes inafrociency and confuaion，atypleally for
 older of the two letters your lettor acknowledgas，it is mot more than throe months atince I mailed it and your Zatter is the Kirst mpecifie acicnowiedgement of it．Are you renlly
 verbelly of them，as I didi in Maxuins
 you to direet that a full and proper search be made for all my nequeste and that there be a recond，whethar in a letter from you or not，of the daten of their recespt and why Ionomledge of thom was withhold from you as ackonouledgenont was withhold frow sed．

With nogard to my requatifor the Plles on me you ahould find some reference to that of 1969 in the Dipeetor's filies. Thss is the history of it.

I zeodived roports that FBI agonts were going axound behind me as I worked on the JV asorasifration. I inmediately uroto the thom Attorney Gerieral, His reaponse was that any such activity mould be impurger and that ho was referaing it to the then Bize ector. Bosplto ay matheequent golicitatiton of response the then Direetor mever made any,
 whether there was the inquiry sndicated by the Attowney General' ${ }^{[1}$ letter. If thexe was not or if theme was, either way, it should be infomative to you. That the Dlavetor never made any wesponse at elli ghpuld, too.

I have a faikily good notion of the Huxean'a files on me and how fav back they goo Howevor, rotrieval, regandlase of the magnituile of the filas involvel, doos noty fros the Buxeau's filing system of which I also have some knouledge, present ansy extracodinaxy problete, ativen the intent to shide by the law.

Please do not silsread the personal note on which 1 clone. I am not anti-pis and ite necords will revesi that whem I eame into posvession of tuforuation I felt it ahould
 aeloed for aome of the information I provided the Bureall, There was a time when the Denpriment borrowed me from the Senate and I Lived with agents for fous months. I have a oleer manumbset seeallection of how well thoy perforwed thefr jobsetor their dedidation and of nome finc haman botings, one as fline a man as I have over mot. Mo become friende and xomatned frimens as he advanced. However, this dedication to what pooeone concedves to be to the interest of the Bureaw can, uitsaistely, wark againgt the long range intereat of the Busean. Hy belisf is that whatever anyone believes to be in the Buresm's interest has to be suboritinate to honesty withtn it and compliance with the law, owon lass it mey not ilice, lams that wequize internal chunges. Within my reoont experience this has not been the reality. Withholding fras a letter bearing your aignsture what it lnowe should. have boen included is nerely ono of meny examples. Othera, whether or not you have pers sonal imouledge, are recorded in recent court reconds and remain without even the effort at rofutations $\$ 0_{p}$ while $I$ an not attrabuiting diahonesty to you peraonally in matters that are or san be before the courte and do relate to conaliance with the law, I amang maing what effort I cen to let you know. I do it with some personal aisconafort beoause I must kreep yy legs horigental when I types, and the ortse time I hove teken, more than mexely reooflating a less than fu3l response, is at the oost of other werk I want to do. I feel I owe yon thda and I am cortain that neither you nos any other man in charge of any large agenoy an be fully informed on all detalls.

All of those thinges that interest me happened bofore you assumed your govenent responadibilitiles. I teli you honostly and stradghtiforwurily that the published official etatements I have seen do not indicete an intention to olean this paet up. I alao tell you that if thore over is a deolation to realiy do it I am ndiling to help in whatervor
 cons. Por this I am morry.

Sincereiy.

