OCT 1 1975

Harold Weisberg Rt. 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 9/27/75

The County Council Rockville, Md. cortified-return receipt

Dear Counsil,

Your reaction to charges of authoritarianism and lack of due process, of non-responsiveness and of the abuse of citizens is to prove all these charges and justify a fews more, one of which is blackmail.

However, I one it to my wife and aynoilf and to citizens in general to bring this to a head and by this letter I an doing it.

On January 14 I asked for a tax examption of our property you place to take. I have repeated this proper, normal and quite legal request countless times, the last two at the phoney hearing you held and in writing August 9. There has been no response.

The very least I can expect from the taxes I paid for years is that you do respond. But in all this time there is nothing than can be called a response of any nature. Not to my many letters. Not to my appearances at council offices. Not to phone calls. Not to my protest and request at the sham proceeding in July at which time, on the record, I may promised a response. Not since <u>January</u>!

For all practical purposes you are confiscating my property, having contrived a series of ex parts methods and denied as due process in the course of it. Now you want as to pay taxes on property you plan to take under conditions that for me are confiscatory?

By this letter I an infomming you that unless you write so to the contrary and if you do set up some prompt proceeding for the ajudication of any dispute I am assuming that my request for commption of taxes to which I am entitled has been accepted. If you do not and it is later found that I must pay taxes, which I doubt, I will not pay interest. I have the ascent set asked in an escrew account.

I do want to sell the property. I have been trying to for years because we need it for daily living. (We are in our 60s and I have no regular employment while my wife works part-time only.) You have prevented this, without letting no know that you had, why or how. When I learned of it by accident in the course of trying to sell the jupparty to the county I immediately took the proper steps, with a request for a tax exemption and for negotiations about a sale. So, finally, in July you had an appraisal and. I have not been told a word about it nor have you made no any offer. While I need to sell this property I do not proposed to be rebbed of all its value as I have made chear. I do not know the appraised value or the coulditions controlling it. I know nothing about it, in fact. Why must you knop this secret.

When I learned you were considering acquisition and would hold a meeting on it I asked to be notified so I could appear. You held the meeting without notifying me, You than had your non-responsive flankey hold a proceeding at which not one of you was present. Long later he wrote anapology for his negligence and said he had not yet prepared a "report" for you or digested the information. The fact is he had not even wend what he himself put into the record union to the hearing, my first written request for the normal tax examption.

Instead, knowing our circumstances, he sought to blackaadl me by making an indecomt "offer" that is a diktat: I pay the taxes and then when you take my land you give them back. If this is fair it is no less fair that I not pay the taxes and then you won t have to give them back to me. This entire matter and every detail of it is an outrage against any concept of government. Except, of course, these I did my share of hesping from being imposed on us (it was said) in World War II.

To this minute my taxes are and always have been paid in the county in which my wife was been and raised and into which I moved in 1948. I think I an within my rights in asking what in the hell this memory is being spent for if it is not spent. In any kind of elemenication with citizen/taxpayers on necessary business?

Except, as I have said, in the practice of dictatorial acthods.

I ask again why the adjoining land, cannot by speculators, is exampt from this taking when it is welland and mine isn't and when the ostensible purpose of this entire operation is to effect a parkland continuity blocked by that speculatorheld land. I think this is a proper question. I have never had an answer.

I presume you will be in touch with these of your employees who headle tax matters.

I wish I could think that any of you, officials or employees, are capable of shame. It is an outrage that you abuse us this way and so permistently.

Ho complimentery close is justified.

Harold Weisberg