## OCT 151975

JDW：
10／9／75
Until almost dark I did not think it would be possible to hold a leg in the air and type because I＇d been using a barstool that was too high．If this kitchen stepstool permits the same lack of discomfort I＇ll get much more done today．

Thw time for response to my C．A．1448－75，for the two complete and one partial executive session transcripts has about expired．I asked Lesar if he would agree to my writing Rhoads along the line of the attached and to the proposal that follows． He did．

So，anticipating two possibilities，I spoke to Crewdson，whose agreement $\perp$ have。

One possibility is that the feds will decide not to risk suit where their real reasons for withholding are spurious．The other is that they＇11 leak in advance to one who will angle their way．They＇ve done this more than once．

I proposed to Crewdson that if $I$ am given any or all of these transcripts I＇ll give them all awayo immediately．Without having time to read them．Cold．I＇ll go to whatever place he and those with whom he would work select．I suggested one with xeroxing facilities．He agreed．I said I preferred that all interested reporters have equal access if desired by any and he also agreed．

He is working on a Nonisenko story．I am sure that at least one session was on ${ }^{N}$ osenko，perhaps more than one part。He is sort of captive of his sources，who are parti pris．I haven＇t argued with him．I have given him to understand his sources are not entirely detached．As of Tuesday I expect him to go ahead with a story that serves spook interests．I content myself with giving him to understand his angle is not solid if his sources appear to be。I also think his sources are fashioning a self－hoisting petard．

He will be saying that the counter－intelligence units of both CIA and FBI did not trust Nosenko and did not tell the Warren Commission this．

He does not have all the relevant files．＂one say this．His sources do．
Of what was checked out there is nothing Nosenko said，including what was not believed，that did nít stack．Obfviously there is no way of checking his repres－ entation of KGB files．But there are ways of checking his representations．All those not included in the official work of which I haver copies and Grewdson does not do hold up，solidly．
$I_{z}^{+}$nteresting situation．The feds are screwing the press again．
It appears tox me the situation has changed enough for the press not to like it．
Waldron suggested the enclosed letter to Hedrick Smith．I dictated it to Lil with frequent phone interruptions。 $H_{o p e}$ it is comprehensible。 I take it the previously unidentified editor who wants the thing to come apart is Smith．I＇ve never spoken to him。

If there is federal hankypanky if it does chose to leak these TOP SECRET pages denied me，there is now an advance press awareness．There can $t$ be copies in many files．Archives，CIA，maybe FII／DJ．

Without specifying or being asked for specifics I told Crewdson that the Times has been used this way before．

The last of the phone calls told me I may get books sooner than promised．

Octebar 9, 2975
Dr. Jame B. Phoods Arohivint of the Unit states Hatstonal Arohtvoz and feeordi phallding wishington, D. C. 20000

Daser Dr. Rhonats:
The tive for response to wy C.A. 1hys-75 hes ebout expired.数 have had, no renponet, format or informal.

As you lenow, I look beok on a long poeored and history. It Is cone isterst in a mumber of waye. On is in giving others what has been dinted wo. And by you.

I do not know whet your and the govarnmont's reaponse will be. However, alse not sxactly uncownon is ths lat-minuts delivery of whet I have nought.

3hould this be the decieion in what I aeek in this action, wy purpose in writing is to ask that I ba tolephoand and told this so that I may go to wimington to piel it up in perion rathur than have this or any part mailed me. hat I want to avoid is a violation of my minimal righta, to equal aeesso to that for whioh I sion have SIlv. Tha GSA-Ronnody soteto

Sinespoly,

