

JUN 20 197

JDW: Your mailings postmarked 12 and 14 with the note of the 13 came today. I'm terribly sorry about the increase in confusion. I suppose it comes from the proliferation of problems none easy to cope with and some quite difficult if not impossible and the proliferation of that from which I cannot separate myself. Thus the inclusion of duplicates, which in each case means I have to wonder where I intended filing that copy... Because there was to be press coverage at the Md. conf. (did not materialize) I felt I'd not have enough copies of the retyped draft of my first speech there. It was also intended as that from which Lezar would abstract what he believes should be in the affidavit. Because of some of the content I felt you might have some non-permanent interest so I made a carbon while to come out of the top. (I did not have time to edit or even read prior to having to read it at the conference... What I asked JL to send you is the transcripts of the first two hearings on the new FOIA suit. Again I felt your interest would not be permanent and we can't afford duplicate copies. Despite the state of our relations (which he will not permit to improve and I have no desire to change) I felt Hoch should see and if he wants it to keep these sessions of the court. To one up on the law the official intent is clear as is the judicial trampling on the law and its intent. Thus the request that when JL can send this to you after you have read it (no hurry) you please forward. (1735 Highland Pl., #25, Berkeley 94709)... We are due in court again 6/20. We're asked for a postponement until 100% is delivered and 100% of the answers we've asked for are supplied plus we've filed motions to compel compliance. To this we've added a 10-day period for me to go over prior to hearing... I can't imagine compliance, I want to reduce dirty trickery to the absolute minimum and I want the best possible record for the inevitable appeal. We can't afford an appeal but we have no practical alternative now. Not as citizens. So, the great rush to make as good a record as is possible. Factually and legally. The draft putting the fakery in the context of the "old" evidence is partly for this purpose, partly for informing the judge, and partly to try to protect my rights and the law... Thanks for the clips. As usual I'll read them as soon as I can... As herewith I'll be including some carbons on the results of the private meetings at Md. in the hope they'll be informative. The way in which and the fact of how I was able to establish a rapport with the conservative students are both a source of some satisfaction. The odds against were tremendous. Thanks, HW 6/16/75

Hoch

HW