JDW:Today's WxPost has an AP story from San Francisco reportint another Turner/Hinckle book, this one on plots against Castro. It quotes an Examiner story based on access to the book. I take it this means manuscript, Turner or both. With pub date Sept., the book has to be in page by now. Ot it is a rush publishing job downgrading reviews, which is unlikely. You know my opinion of this pair on this subject, so I'd appreciate every scrap of any potential value. The Post's story omits what I know Turner has to have put in, that Bobb was made witting at Guantanamo. I knew that from Garrison and I believe from conversation between them when I was present. I'm anxious to be in a position to have an opinion about the source of all these efforts over the years to involve the Kennedys in all the dirtyworks, begigning with the Warren Commission.All I know is to the contrary, particularly for the last year of the JFK administration. ... Even Farewell America made a similar effort at involving Kennedys. You know I believe that operation involved CIA ... What is disturbing about this pair and makes my reaching a conclusion about the cause of their counterproductive work is that I know they are both liars and amoral and that Turner never has done his own work. This tends to offset the obvious ... 3cl I'm sending a copy of today's letter to Lardner offering the Post advance spectro/curbstone/faking pix and dealing with other matters. I find the Post's reporting of the Report flap inadequate, as in ignoring other possibilities to explain the hasty shift. One is that this Report could have been given Ford and he could have released it (normally the Commissions do) and they could have continued longer on assassinations only. Bantam, for example, has always been willing to reprint the reports of commissions. I have no doubt at all they'd have done this one unless it was absolutely barren. There would be a fine market. HW 6/7/75

MAX