

JDW: Thanks for 6/3/75 comment on NYU speech. Probably the ZRock. Com. Report also will cause some changes if there is need to use it again. The expected need is past but if next weekend's meeting requires it I'll ad lib. I think prospect slim. ...As of now I anticipate no use except for informed audiences...Surprisingly it came up last night when I did Metromedia's Baltimore station's talk show. That guy had refused to have all the others because he had perceived this. What made him call me is the Dun story. After midnight when he was off the air he told me he thinks I was the most convincing and sincere guest he has ever had. I take this as testimonial to the refusal to conjecture and when this is no longer possible to careful identification as conjecture. Too early for reaction on books but on show to an audience not familiar with "critics" quite good. One of the most interesting was a man who began by declaring his six years of field experience in intelligence. A long conversational explanation of classification, informers, etc. After he had spoken for a while I could feed him questions certain of the answer, straight, not loaded ?s and be certain of getting the honest and obvious answers. Rather enjoyed that, esp. on Oswald as an agent. ...Unusual switch: on one of newscast breaks and after show he asked me how to handle former agent, alleged, who wants to talk to him...In the entire long show he offered only one opinion: on a woman caller who objected to any criticism of government, esp. by one who uses the mails! These kind are a great help!... If the Rock. Report requires comment, appreciate your general comment on "an outrage committed." ...No comment on affidavit yet. Later Post's had longer (unseen) story. Sending carbon unread rough draft until I can get a xerox. By 3cl. with other carbons. Thanks, HW 6/6/75

*Don't need carbon specs.*

JUN 8 1975