

12 February 1974

Dear Harold:

This is an attempt to take care of the loose ends raised in your mailings of Feb. 1, 4, 5 and 8. KPFA got the letter and tape from the Symbionese Liberation Army this morning, began broadcasting before we knew about it, and we've been trying to catch up since. In other words, I don't have much time to elaborate.

We'll pass the complaint against Foreman, thanks.

We missed the Rolling Stone article on the two Hunt children, but are in touch with the Marin distributor who promises to save us a copy of that issue when unsold copies are returned. If none show up, we always can order a back copy from Rolling Stone. So thanks very much for telling us about it. It sounds very interesting.

Re your 1/28.74 note to PH re FBI domestic spying, specifically on SWP, we don't have any such segregated as such, if we have them at all. Without digging back through several years of undifferentiated FBI clippings it would be impossible to say.

We'll try to carry on without reading Diabolus or the Sorcerers. If we see them we'll probably get them, but it's not worth your trouble to send us even extra copies. Let it lay.

Yr 2/1/74 note re Rebozo Bank and Gambling in Bahamas, NYTimes 1/21/74, you say you think Hundley may have represented others before WG come and in Baltimore besides Mitchell and in the Agnew case. The only thing we find is ~~knak~~ in the Congressional Quarterly's WG volume, which is that he represented Alexander G. Lankler, State chairman, Maryland GOP, who had something to ~~wikxx~~ do with the Salute to Ted Agnew Committee.

As to the 6th Circuit's opinion on Ray, if you have an extra copy which you don't need, and if it can be sent without expense or bother, we'd be glad to have it, thanks. But ONLY if you don't think you'll need it. We may never get to reading it, for one thing, and our chief interest would be to see how the points you and Lesar raised so effectively are handled. We are properly impressed by what you've accomplished, and if I say no more about it the reason is that I don't know enough to comment intelligently.

Thanks for the Cockburn column from the Village Voice. Some very interesting stuff, particularly how nobody bothered to question Butterfield until Lenzner got to him. Cockburn still misses the point: the existence of the tapes HAD to be made known before Haldeman testified. If Lenzner hadn't taken the bait the WH would have had to figure some other dodge to get Butterfield on the stand without seeming to be seeking it. A news seminar like that must be a wonderful place to plant reinforcing bits of information.

Initially, we were disturbed by your letter to Hunt, as we saw it from the standpoint of drawing attention to yourself on the part of the unpredictable and uninhibited. Your policy of candor, however, impresses us as not only as effective as anything else but basically the only one on which you can rely over the long term. We don't know how much he knows or needs to know, but in the end you tell him very little that he doesn't know. Let's hope it pays off.

That's it for now, and all the best,


jdw