

Can't take time for the whole John story. There were three men who robbed a bank. He was not accused of being one of them. He is said to have driven the getaway car. There was a gun battle in a Portland, Ore. hotel in which one man was killed. Thereafter, without a warrant on any legal reason the room of one Ronald Goldenstein was searched and \$12,000+ ~~was~~ seized. It was used against G and John at the trial. On appeal its use against John was ruled an illegal seizure under 4th amend and the case was remanded. On retrial G was acquitted in less than an hour and he is an habitual criminal. He even got the money back! ~~John's~~ John's conviction was affirmed on the ground the illegal seizure was not against him and was thus legal with regard to him. Even if he was never, ever, connected with the money. Do you see the limitless possibilities in political cases? Or in framing anyone? HW 3/17/73

WAT 2 7 86

91