in the course of filing the backlog (about 50%) I remembered that some time ago, in suggesting to you how you might analyze the then current situation or one of GL's acts, I suggested that a key was the balance of trade situation. I do not remember if I made a copy or, if I did, how I filed it. So, if it is no big operation for you and if you do have this, I'da appreciate a copy to see if it has relevance in what I will be writing about Nixon's record as President ... A few more of the Bullen & Hunt clips were in this stack. Tells me not to try to heed Mitchell and file those things at the same time, as you tried with Dean. HW 7/10/73

JUL 1 4 1973

Sorry I didn't notice the carbon was so poor on the note to Howard today, out- JUL 1 4 1973 side wrapping on the cassetite that should be postmarked 7/10. My purpose was so that you would know I've made a point of asking him to save me the stories and transcripts that he sees on the Mitchell testimony. I think you knew I'd be in court and I didn't want you to go to all the trouble of copying. I ll be leaving for DC long before the Times gets to town and will be six-seven miles away from that stand anyway. It is not that I expect to need or that he ll say what I need to know. I just want to be certain... Howard is a fine young man, and we are fond of him. He reacts strongly to being welcome whenever he wants to come and can and to having the run of the place and to being treated more like a grown son than company. This is the explanation for the beginning of the note ... Mitchell seemed unusually composed, jovial, when he left Ervin ex. sess. from TV pix. More recent of his previous appearances, including earlier today, quite different. There was a time when he was ill-tempered and ill-mannered. . LF's 7/5, sent with cassette, later prompted me to phone with a few leads on Ill. GOP spooks. Jerry is put out about me because he doesn't want to tell me what I ask, he says. I'm not satisfied with the Stoner-Schoolfield part. I knew of his NBC dealings. It is with the Today show, Cunningham. When I can I'll attempt to deal with that. Hope you aren't having our 95 days and pollution warning. I feel them before they are mn_unced pest. HW 7/9/73

Relets 7/7:I'll write separately re fascinating one on RHI(having wounded GL "grevously if not fatally KPFA did carry the significant Hunt quote omitted by Post. Think I sent you. Should you listen to Dean's kape words from any source, there is a point at which he said he would skip a couple of grafs. I'd like to know where. Lil will check Post's omissions against typed text when she has time. He did check them, as reported. Can't help but wonder, with all not omitted, why these sections were. Post has something coming? HW 7/10/73

Last week's TIME evaluates Dean's testimony Exaggerated, but interesting. The woeful inadequacy of today's questioning of Mitchell makes me wonder. Thanks for Times (Wallace Turner) on our little favors to GL. Didn't know of heliport. Wonder if the dredging also increased the extent of his land, i.e., replacing water. No wonder he had to cut child-care. HW 7/10/73

JUL 1 4 1973

Your 7/7 point in Hunt memo, Dean test., has much to support the inference that the CIA had much more riding on WG than appears overtly. It can be taken that GL in effect said this. If so, how can they dare deny him? I can't think of anything other than the inference of what I'm working on. his own personal involvements. Well, one other: what he knows of the Ogarrio deal. By now you know that courtesy Barry Sussman I do have the full, verbatim copy, 245 legal-sized pages. You also know I'm trying for galleys Hunt's book. But reading takes writing time, as does filing. And viewing. Digest: forget, but think 11/64. I've decided not to interview McCord. Perhaps after I've finished, I'll ask Dean. Chiefly re Hunt, spookings. I think there is much more to the domestic-intelligence operation that disclosed. Mitchell can be taken to have indicated this, too... Unless the committee has something in the bworks that is not immediately apparent, they made a big mistake to hear Mitchell before Whrlichman and Haldeman. After they shiv John, he'll not not be able to do anything. I think they will and he'd have screamed, GL or no GL. HW 7/10/73

Cuba, Castro + John Frennedy Reader's Digest 11/64 RANIXM pp 283-300 (13 actual pages) | have now Hw 7/11/73

JUL 1 4 1973