

Chron 2/12 DJ Segretti Investigation: this is the story I didn't see but heard on radio. It fails to note the obvious explanation: trial and the judge sounding off. The new possible illegality is not new, was always one of many obvious possibilities. They are also selective in using the conspiracy laws, which could here be cited as justification for more extensive investigation than was made.

8/ File
MAR 26 1973

Your 2/15: I did misread Asia News on Kiss and bombing. Thanks...What you say of Belli is what I felt, but I wanted an independent judgement before the time for doing something. Belli has DC office. It is not only Williams but another area where Belli has a rep, but your advice covers everything and since it confirms my feeling, 'nuff. Bar Assn vs lawyer: looser, esp if big lawyer. I got gypped once in a property handling and then learned. ...Point Foundation: thanks much. Seemed like a good one. Unusual. ...We agree that GL got nix (as in Nixon) new in VN settlement. Nothing new, anyway...Sudden notion: Helms offing correlated with plans there? CIA's candor and disapproval in recent years would not be welcome if the Gay Repeater repeats after the deal. HW 2/23.73

Only in N.O, could the Chief of Police say there was but one sniper while the fire marshall says it is impossible for the sniper to have been alone. Just got to that clip and the one on the Raully fire. I keep wondering if Raully reads flames as gypsies read tea leaves?... File
MAR 26 1973

James Gelpi appointed by State AG to prosecute Winsberg case: Gelpi is not a very common name down there. Leander Perez' daughter Joyce married someone named Gelpi, I had always thought a lawyer. Small world. HW 2/23/73

File
MAR 26 1973

Suggest you pay close attention to New China Hand Alsop's today piece on old analysis and new blood in the CIA. Among the many things he is saying is that the spooks, too, are being Glorious Leadered, the Nixonian equivalent of Big Brothered. He is also saying that Helms was offed because GL didn't like the CIA's analysis under Helms. Where he says they were wrong, assuming they were, in the overall record it leaves them a rather good one. In the absence of a Soviet attack, I don't see how that, after all these years, can be fairly called an error. Nor do I think they yet know what happened in Cuba. But as you will see in Tiger, if it there will come out as no special credit to CIA, they were hep. HW 2/23/73 8/