

JUL 8 1972

CTIPA-Rusty Rhodes, Paul Copley

7/7/72

This Committee to Investigate Political Assassinations is, through Rhodes, known to me. Some time back, Charlie Brown, who is a woman known to Hal, phoned me with some distress after he addressed a meeting at a college at or near her Brisbane home. She couldn't figure it but she knew it was wrong. What he said there sure was.

I am pretty sure I heard of him having been in and near Dallas when I was there the end of last year. Nutty stuff in all cases yet apparently sincere, which make is no less nutty and potentially more dangerous.

Now for nothings knowing nothing to undertake to make a scene with their ignorance and a poor stolen copy of Zapruder and bastardization of the work of others is not helpful. If I'd read you letter earlier I'd have had time to separate the notice from the letter and I'd have written Tom Kelley. He might have been indifferent, but he also might have known what to expect and if they do bad things, we'd not all be blamed for it. But I didn't.

At best this is a very irresponsible business.

Charlie Brown is Mrs. Gabriel Perrignon, 81 Paul Ave, Brisbane, 467-9391. If you want to call her, don't be afraid to use my name. She works for the local police. Her office number is 272-4778.

When I write Mary again, I'll ask her about his activities there. I'm pretty certain this is one and the same guy in both places. I won't trouble Mary now because in addition to the accident-victim son and other problems, her daughter is about to have kidney surgery.

I have no record on Copley I can recall and no card.

I remember having gotten at least one upside down zip number on a cancellation. I checked the more recent part of the Ahea file and it isn't there (Japanese return address is).

Of course, they are trashing with this kind of junk. I can only wonder why. Or where they got your name and address. I think it would be good if Hal followed it up, because this looks like a bottle of bad medicine. He does know Charlie

"We, the members" are but two. Where the \$\$\$ for all this travelling and living away? The timing intersts me. If there is no reason to presume a connect, there is no reason to ignore the possibility. The fiction that all the answers are in the Archives, and that there was an executive order on it, are not irrelevant to my concern of the potential at Miami. This farout stuff is a natural for the cameras looking for nuts. Note that they also have Oswald an assassin which is accord with what will have to be said if Wecht says no one man shooting from behind could have done the shooting. They'll still have LHO and a man who now can't be found. There is, moreover, nothing in the Archives that can "be opened immediately" other than a relatively small number of wrongly-classified stuff. This false emphasis on the Archives repeats with all the nuts and baddies. And the RFK quote is opposite anything he ever said. It is fabrication. Why invent a Kennedy quote?

My recollection was inexact. If your adres was not correct in all respects, they made mistakes or got it not from a list or someone who knows you. Aside from Hal out there, I can't think of any assassination people who'd have been able to give it, and he wouldn't and does know it. If Gary knew it he might have been conned.

There is something very wrong about this. I hope it is just nuts, well-intentioned. But if they did nothing but read from the time of Charlie's concerned call, they'd know better than they write, unless they live in garbage cans. Penn was big for Rose Cheramie, and they've been there. Removal of the road sign is fact (WWII) That isn't as bad as replacing it at a different point, which had to be, but which also made reconstruction impossible. The Saw-Ruby-Oswald bit is a new insanity to me.

Other enclosure on foundation interesting but I'm getting tootired for tonight.

Best,

