FEB 1 2 1972

I have heard about and expect a copy of the coming Esquire piece on the Ray/King case, so do not bother to copy for me, if you get it. The reporter who told me about it says it appears to be largely cribbed. HW FEB 1 2 1972

> noid enough without suggesting the possibility that two nuts both Preporting false plots vs RFK at Othe same times and from 1000 miles apart just might have been set up to cover something else. The Trapmell story, long before anything Eabout Cuban involvements, real or fancied, appeared in the papers, is just a bit too close to dismiss. although I have no reason to in any way connect him with anything serious re JFK, reason why I did no more than get few basic pages. News accounts of 29 pages from Dallas wrong:35 that I have now. Bob Gruenberg (phon) CDN Wash. off, spent much of day here on Ray. He sees great possibilities in my new stuff by no chance synflicate will pay enough. He's doing story, perhaps several, desk pishing him to finish up. He was in Memphis at the time and is now licking himself. He was there so fast he was able to indetify for me an object ib an exculpatory contemporaneous picture in my possession, and that, in turn, becomes an important bit of evidence. Too bad whores run the presses. With a bit of enterprise, what I have long had could become a great property, but there is no way of overcoming the curse. HW

2/10- some of my friends are para-