

...was w/ her 4: when they phoned,
I'm sure her judge M. ent would have
been wiser. With most the best I can
say is they sure wasted much money
on names.

Note About self. After going through all the diaries to 1/71, it is obvious that I was too trusting, too careless, with the people we let come and stay here. I don't think I noted all of them, ~~these~~ are names that today mean absolutely nothing to me. I know I didn't note all because I can recall some of whom there is no record. If someone called and said, "I'm a friend of your friend—", that seems to have been all it took. I see several I know said they were Gary's. He later said of two that he barely knew them. From hippies to priests! Others whose names I recognize just said they were interested in the assassinations and would I talk to them. One is a government employee who wound up one of Bud's nuts, a man subject to retaliation and who has done only wild things. This comes to too many too naturally to accept as evidence of finkery. One authentic maniac who I knew was one is not included but was here at least once. I taped that with his o.k. He gave me a copy of the joint FBI-SS interview with him about an alleged threat vs the President by him. I can recall many other omissions. So, I assume I was more indiscriminate than these diaries reflect. At least one publisher and some media people also not listed. In retrospect, it would be very unusual if some of these were not bad eggs, but I guess I discounted the risk thinking only of those that might hatch. However, if someone wanted to make a case that I was foolish, the incomplete records are more than enough. 12/26/72