DEC 2 1 1972

Dear Jin,

## 12/20/72

Trying to make a fireplace fire of wood that two days ago was a tree on the stump, a living tree, gave me some thinking time tonight. I thought about what I'd not had time to really think about or read in the papers with any care, when at all, the present VN situation. I think it goes back to an earlier exchange between us, when you agreed that Nixon is an unoriginal man, a careful copier.

I presume nothing has changed since then, rather than nothing has changed your mind. With this as a beginning point, how about explaining what has hap ened by "orea and both Géneva conferences and US policy subsequent to both?

I'd not be surprised at two things: his having gall enough to ask the Russians to serve their Geneva role (save the white man's face) and their doing it. This being the case, I'd expect NVN's indignation and fierce determination to be increased.

There is a story from radio that State apparently is spreading openly: that the NVH never expected to negotiate seruously, witness their moving of kids out of Hanoi about 12/2 or 5. Assuming this to be accumate, I think the only possible reading is of their reading of our intentions.

Bearing on this is a presumption that history's most massive air raids do require some preparation and that they were prepared at the time Der K was proclamming how short the length of an arm is.

Another thought for you to play with: Der Lider and Der Kiss phitt. This has been repeated often. You noted how uncomfortable K seened in announcing how short the arm, as I did. Ho reason for it is it was an arm, whether or not short, was there? Time for joy, rather. This is a unique runor in several ways. Repetition adds no detail. There never was any detail. It doesn't die, and there never is a hint of the source.

Now the nost unthoughtful, least human President in history has dragged his K off to Amas in Key Biscayne (watch out for Bebe's sharks, Henry!). Tain't because he thinks there are no starlets around looking for a wireservice picture. Nor from the milk of human kindness. That bag always was barren. Why, then? Superficially to make a gesture of how close they are. Less superficially, to keep Henry from saying anything at this crucial time and to note, if there is mobellishment of the story, that it has to come from one of the disaffected on Henry's personal staff.

A little more thought about the Whitehead bludgeon persuades me there really is no interest in alleged "rumor", effete or otherwise, being aired as analysis. What these boys really want is control over what news is slected for the scarce time of a net newscast and how it is played, especially now. Just what stories are handled is enough, and the nets, despite some conspicuous sycophancy, have informed. They can't really add to what Agnew did, and reaction to that was not good. So they have switched, after the successful intinidation and sowing the seed among their own ideologues, to the nitty-gritty, as they must, with indirection. Truely Orwellian.

There seems to be come extra attention to the number of B52s lost, large by our own admissions. The mere number might account for this. How ver, I am now dealing with my own past with aviation, and something about the B52s came back to me. I don't recall whether, as I think, they were designed originally for high-level flight. I do know that there came a time when it was decided to see if a repar could be developed that would let them treetop at their great speed, as the Russian bobers did in WAII, and with the radar to prevent hitting an earth-attached object, like a tall building or a mountain, etc. The reason was to frustrate energy radar by being below it until too late for reaction. So, until we know what has been happening, it is possible that the higher kill rate can in part be due to a lower flight path. Yesterday or day before I heard someone say on radio not only that they can't pinpoint but that when they unload, it covers 5/8 miles in midth, 1 1/2 in length. That 3 not terror, that is hitting communication installations. You know, lips, ears, etc.

The LATimes missed a good approach provided by Sirica. If I get time I'll go into it.

Best,

212

Were Richard Nixon a generous man, as I think he is not, and had he given Xmas gifts widely through the policy echelons of his administration, then in 1968 it was 1984. His people have by now learned it well. They practise it seemingly spontaneously, persuasively, believingly, and seem genuine in the softly-spoken assertion that domination and control are freedom and lack of control.

One of the better examples is Clay Whitehead's recent policy statement about the "independence" os local TV stations, put in terms of their accountability to the federal government when their licenses come up for renewal for what they air, especially in TV news. In an appearance on CBS TV a.m. news today Whitehead mentioned, for all ther world as though there is such a thing, "elitist" commentary. He really meant -and he would specify no case, saying everybody knew them- that nobody would dare to comment on an official statement. Coming so soon after Kissenger's election-oriented falsehood about the closeness of peace such correlations are fairly obvious. Kissenger had more recently done t e same thing with the end of negotiations, and the media have been airing the bestiality of the renewed aerial attacks on civilians in VN.

Each of the network a.m. newscasts this morning had with segments on Sirica's ordering the LATimes reporters to jail without permitting appeal for not turning over their outtake tapes on Baldwin.

(Interestingly enough, NBC and CBS both had Teddy Kennedy's appearance before the B'nai Brith yesterday in which he said that if the administration does not end the war, Congress will legislatively. I think it significant that such an establishmentarian audience gave him strong applause when he said this -twice in what was aired - and nowhere else in what was aired. When this new attack on the press comes with the most vicious bombings of the war, the obvious relationship should not be ignored.)

Whitehead looks and talks like a successful preacher, and he is quiet in his manner. He delibers his fascism in such moderate tones and with such Orwellian reversal that it seems reasonable to those who do not think it through. He pretends that there can be no question about the factuality of what isn't so.

Just before this he had led the administration's onsluaght against Public Television, using a similar formula, local independence of the network. In non-Orwellian English, this means that the local outlets will have no means of presenting the kinds of information that can be provided only by a network. If they had some of the means, as in New York, and if there were no other pressures against it, they'd soon enough not have the funds.

Huey "ong said it, fascism comes wrapped in the flag.

There is now going on a more centralized, omnipresent and determined assault on any kind of free society than has been noted in any source I've seen. I have seen no suggestion of deliberate design. In a less organized, less overt way all this was clearly visible four years or more ago, when I began to write COUP.

I have and will hold the Whitehead tape for a short while, if you would like it.

HW 12/20/72

DEC 2 1 1972

42