first, before the Times, apparently when fed to them by an official source. H Was not the exception it may seem because they did use the essence of it unattributed seem to refuse to print what they don't use first. The Baldwin story from the LATimes more than any other paper on the story, it is, I think, a bit odd that they just to think they'll still be doing something with it. When they have carrier the ball your possible amusement another evidence of the Post's new machismo: no mention of that \$10,000 in \$100s. They missed in on Sunday; they ignored it on Monday. I'd like 12/12/72 While the last few drops of my morning coffee drip through, let me note for

course, sees through different eyes. However, I think you'll, want to do your own thinking on this. H responsibility in making them impossible for earlier presidents), this seems to go farthur, If "new faces" may be good in an administration, I've seen no good ones in his and all bad ones. EVK, of initiatives (which is separate from his intentions or their consequences as it is for his personal possibilities of sincerity, politics and perhaps fear. If Nixon can be praised for his international Nixon in L.A., judging from what i on p. 1 only. From this is impossible for me to separate the Haynes Johnson I'll want and I think you'll want to read on a "olive branch" speech ELK made about catch the headlines. The series on SEAsia seems to be on aviation there. There is a p.1 piece by 12/13 Before having to leavel've started skimming today's Post to see what I want to read anto 1 3 1972