

The holes in President Kennedy's shirt collar are as clear as day - their explanation from the FBI is not! After analysis of the Select Committee on Assassinations Report it becomes fairly obvious that some kind of conspiracy was in effect, possibly stemming from the FBI itself. The document describes the tears in the collar area of the President's shirt as characteristic of an exit hole from a projectile, yet they cannot support this statement. However, it conveniently fits into the rather lame Single-bullet-theory, a theory full of flaws and unsupportable assumptions.

Dr. Humes presented in his handwritten autopsy report, a schematic diagram which showed the bullet hole in Kennedy's back to be well below his neck. Also, Secret Service agent Clinton Hill reported to have seen "an opening in the back, about six inches below the neckline."

This brings up an obvious question. In light of the Single-bullet-Theory, how could a shot that entered approximately six inches below the base of the neck suddenly change its course and exit the President's collar? Especially since the wound was on Kennedy's Adam's apple, above the collar? This theory of Bullet 399 also puts a bullet shot from a sixth floor window on a route of ascension from back to front on the President.

Another concern is the lack of metal fragments in the so-called exit holes. Traces of the bullet were found on all of the other holes in President Kennedy's clothing, why not these?

In paragraph (256) on page 89 of the Select Committee's report they stated that even the panel itself could not offer evidence to prove these are indeed exit holes left by a projectile. They did not make immediate note of the direction of the clothing fibers. They failed to do this before several examinations were done on the shirt.

The holes in the President's collar are difficult to explain — his wound was above the collar, on the Adam's apple. However, it is fairly easy to see through the false explanation of the FBI. It was said to convict their lone assassin by supporting the Single-Bullet Theory. They fail to give any proof or evidence to back up their statements. The President was shot in the back, the bullet could not have exited through the collar. No matter how you look at it, the facts remain the facts.