

In the "Evaluation of the medical, pathological and related evidence pertaining to the death of President John F. Kennedy" done by the Select Committee on Assassinations of the House of Representatives in March 1979, several points seem wrong.

First the heading on page 89 of the report states, "Exit (outshoot) wound of the anterior (front) neck", simply assumes that the neck wound is a exit hole. If it is an exit wound, where is the entrance hole then? Surely they can not think that the wound in the lower back is the entrance hole? Medical reports state that no bones, or other hard matter was hit by the bullet from the back so it would be safe to assume that there is no way the bullet could've gone in a upward direction and exited at 90° angle from the neck of the President. Another point is that the hole in the shirt from the lower back shot is not mentioned at all.

Second, in the FBI Exhibit of the shirt collar, shows two corresponding holes near the top button of the shirt. Upon examination of the picture and reading of the text mentioned above, it would seem to me that if the wound was indeed a exit hole, the overlap of the shirt when buttoned, the larger of the two holes should be the one underneath the overlap. But the picture reveals that the outer flap has the larger hole as would be a characteristic of a entrance wound.

In the last paragraph of the page stating the direction of the fibers of hole, they assume that Hoover is an expert in the matter, while the FBI lab people who one would assume are experts in such examinations, take his letter

to Rankin as evidence that the direction of the fibers under his "expert" examination prove that it is a exit wound. They say that, "While the FBI laboratory's initial description did not offer evidence concerning the direction of the fibers, the observations in this letter were substantive evidence of the direction of the penetration." How the FBI laboratory did not seem to look at this, or maybe they did and the report was simply disregarded in favor of the "expert" opinion of Hoover.