



Editor.....Penn Jones Jr.
 Publisher.....The Midlothian Mirror, Inc.
 "The Only 'History of Midlothian' Being Written"
 PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY

Second-class postage paid at Midlothian, Texas. 76065. Office of publication is 214 West Avenue F Midlothian, Texas 76065. Any erroneous reflection upon the character, standing or reputation of any person, firm or corporation, appearing in the columns of The Mirror will fully and gladly be corrected upon being brought to the attention of the editor of this paper.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES

For One (1) Year in Ellis, Tarrant, Dallas, Kaufman, Henderson, Navarro, Hill and Johnson Counties..	\$5.00	Six Months	\$3.00
For One Year Elsewhere	\$6.00	Six Months	\$3.50
Single Copies			15c

Winner of the 1963 Elijah Parish Lovejoy Award for Courage in Journalism.



Editorial

Conclusion of Jaffe Article On E. E. Bradley

An article appeared in a Los Angeles newspaper called the North Valley Mail, written by C. M. Shoenkopf, which stated that "a close personal acquaintance of Edgar Eugene Bradley" had revealed to that reporter that the night of the assassination, November 22, 1963, Bradley's wife called that acquaintance. The person's name was being withheld by the paper but was known to District Attorney Garrison.

The article went on to say that Bradley's wife told the acquaintance that "Bradley had just called her from Dallas and mentioned during the phone call that he thought he was being followed. Bradley himself allegedly confirmed his presence in Dallas, the day of the assassination to the acquaintance and several other persons later."

On March 6, 1968, the North Valley Mail carried an-

other article on the Bradley case. This time the subject was a Garrison Investigation witness, known to the reporter who was again C. M. Schoenkopf. The article described an incident in which the Garrison witness was the victim of a hit-run accident. The witness' name was again "being withheld for his protection." "The witness . . . was struck down after dark as he crossed a Valley street, by a speeding white ~~car~~ ^{the} ~~car~~ ^{the} with lights out, according to reports." The article did not confirm that the witness was the same as the one discussed in the first article, however, it did state that "the witness has been closely involved in the Eugene Bradley case."

Not long after Bradley's arrest, he submitted to a polygraph test, or lie detector test, in Beverly Hills. The test was given to him by Chris Gugas, a Beverly Hills criminologist. The result of the test, in the opinion of Gugas, was that Bradley answered all the questions truthfully. They showed that Bradley had answered such questions as, "Were you a part of a plot in any way, to assassinate President John F. Kennedy? Have you ever piloted a plane by taking off or landing one at any time? Have you ever talked to Lee Harvey Oswald, Jack Ruby or David Ferrie?" to which Bradley answered all of the questions "No."

Although such a test is considered inadmissible as evidence in a trial Bradley then challenged Garrison and his witness to take the same test. In his most recent statement, he said that he would be willing to take the test again even if administered by "the FBI or the Los Angeles Police Department" although at the time of the earlier test Bradley's lawyer, Jensen, refused to allow those agencies to make such a test or to open up the record of his private test (the graph of responses) to the press.

On Saturday, November 9, 1968, Bradley said that he would seek felony indictments of those people who he felt had conspired to "frame" him by giving false information to Garrison. He spoke on KABC radio's Marv Grey Show, and told of someone "associated with Garrison" in the Los Angeles area who had made the statement "I don't care if he is guilty or not we will convict him on circumstantial evidence." This statement, according to Bradley, was tape recorded in a Hollywood bookstore and Bradley has a copy of the tape. Recently, Mark Lane made an appearance at the Pickwick Book store in Hollywood, at which this reporter was present for the entire period, and never did I hear him make such a statement.

In response to one of the questions asked him about Bradley, Lane did answer that he did not know if Bradley was guilty or not but that he thought a trial would be the best place for that determination to be made. However, if Bradley alleges that Lane is the one who made the statement he referred to, it would be interesting to know if he could provide the tape recording in proof of his allegation. Lane has never seemed to be a man, in view of his fifteen years' experience in criminal defense law, who could make such a statement but this will remain to be seen when Bradley comes forth with the recording. There is a possibility that he was referring to someone else.

Whatever transpires it would seem that the American people are further robbed of their right to know the truth about the murder of President Kennedy. Bradley who has maintained his innocence from the beginning will never have the pleasant feeling of having been acquitted by a jury of his peers. However, he has said that he is "very pleased" with the result as it is now.

✓ Two-Way Radio Rednecks—

(Reprinted from Los Angeles Free Press)

PAUL EBERLE

Ever since Jim Garrison began his investigation into The Assassination two years ago, there have been frequent attempts to use the media to discredit him.

During the summer of 1967, both NBC and CBS did

documentaries on Garrison, in which they posed all the questions and gratuitously furnished all the answers. These programs were designed to reach, at least, a semiliterate audience.

Last Saturday, the low-brows had their day. Edgar Eugene Bradley was the guest of Marv Grey on his KABC telephone talk program. For three hours, Bradley and his host accomplished the rare feat of discussing Garrison, The Assassination, and a number of related issues without any reference to facts. It was not the first time Grey has accomplished this feat.

Edgar Eugene Bradley, in case you've forgotten, is the man whom Garrison indicted for conspiracy to murder John F. Kennedy, but Governor Reagan of California refuses to extradite him.

Each time a listener called in on the telephone to criticize Bradley, Marv Grey, his voice rising, told the caller he had a big mouth. "You're shooting off your big mouth," he told them harshly. Those callers who were sympathetic to Bradley were treated more courteously.

One woman who said she admired Garrison was harshly attacked by Grey on the grounds that anyone who supports Garrison is not patriotic. Why? Because Garrison questioned the conduct of the government, and anyone who does that is unpatriotic: An interesting thesis, once expounded by Adolph Hitler.

"That man has pointed the finger at the CIA and the FBI, and our government!" Grey cried out, his voice rising with indignation. He has a voice not unlike that of Bugs Bunny.

"If you're innocent," someone asked, "why don't you go down to New Orleans and answer the charges?"

"I'm glad you asked that question," Bradley said, and proceeded to tell her that it cost money to go down there. (Actually, they pay your fare.) He did not mention, however, the fact that extradition is almost always automatic when a district attorney from another state requests it, on a major felony.

"Why would they try to pin it on you?" Grey asked.

"Well," said Bradley, "there are certain individuals that have been trying to get Dr. MacIntyre off the air for years, and some locally who've been trying to get to me, thereby harming him." (Bradley works for Dr. Carl MacIntyre the radio preacher.)

What is all this building up to? Dig this:

"Finally, they found someone that just happened to be in Texas on the day of the assassination, any they found this out and knowing that I was in Texas, thinking that I was in Dallas but I was not, I was in El Paso, and I arrived there on the day of the assassination at twelve fifty-five p.m. and they knew I was in Texas and they thought, boy! this is an opportunity to really pin it on Bradley." (Um-hum.)

"Why would they link you with this assassination?"

Grey obligingly asked.

"Well, some people would do anything for publicity, for revenge...aaah...anything to get even. And I would class some of those people in that category."

He further stated that it wasn't anybody's business if he had been in Dallas.

"He came up with a witness that said he had seen me at the New Orleans Airport, and the witness wouldn't give a day or even a month," Bradley stated.

(Actually, Max Gonzales, in an affidavit, said he had seen Bradley talking with David Ferrie on several different occasions at an airport in New Orleans between June 1st and Aug. 31st, 1963. Also, in the extradition papers, Garrison stated he had further evidence to prove that Bradley had conferred with others conspiring to murder President Kennedy. And Roger Craig swore that he positively saw Bradley on the steps of the Dallas Book Depository Building just after the assassination.)

Bradley said there were witnesses to prove he was in El Paso and not in Dallas at the time. He also offered as proof the fact that he still had bus tickets to El Paso. He also stated that Craig had been fired from the Dallas County Sheriff's Department, suggesting that he was a man not to be trusted or believed. He stated that Craig "... might be sincere in believing that he saw me ... or else he is being paid to do so because a job was created for him by a firm that is financing Jim Garrison in his investigation."

"Who is financing him?" Grey asked innocently.

(Here it comes!)

"There are a number of people, Marv. As a matter of fact, two days before Garrison named me, he was at a firm in Santa Barbara, an income-tax-free organization who seem to be set on forming a one-world government and doing away with our constitution." (Aha! The sinister hand of Moscow!)

"The think tank where all the lefties hang out?" Gray rasped.

"That's the one. And that's where Garrison was just before he named me. At a secret meeting."

(Aha! A sinister secret meeting!)

Gray, a gifted humorist, came in with this little pearl of wit: "Maybe Bishop Pike communicated with the other world and got hold of President Kennedy and he put the finger on ya, ha ha ha..."

"Well, that's where Garrison was, just before he named my name," Bradley continued monotonously. (No doubt by now you've got the message. Sinister leftists bent on the destruction of our American system!)

One woman called in and said Garrison couldn't have got this far with the thing if there were no evidence.

"There is no evidence, lady," Bradley countered angrily.

"Garrison is not a kook," the lady persisted.

"He is a kook!" Gray bristled. "He runs around with the kind of people who want Dick Gregory for President!"

"I'm just as patriotic as you," the lady said.

"Don't give me that!" Gray shouted, and proceeded to give her a thorough bullying. "Do you realize he has accused the CIA and the FBI of a huge conspiracy to conceal the truth from the American people, and leading them all, J. Edgar Hoover!"

The woman wilted. "I guess you're right. I'm with the FBI all the way..."

"What do you mean?" Gray shouted, still whipping her with the verbal beating. "Don't give me that!"

"I guess you're right," the woman said feebly. After

he had disconnected her, he continued to insult her, referring to her as "that so-called lady. . ."

"Lady, open your mind!" Bradley joined in piously.

Then, Bradley proceeded to tell his listeners that the allegation that Kennedy was killed by right-wingers was communist propaganda straight from Moscow, "Besides," he said, "I'm not a right-winger. I'm just a person that believes in the constitutional principles that made this country the great country that it is. But Moscow and those who seem to follow that line of thinking immediately proceeded to place the blame elsewhere. Garrison admitted," he said, "that numerous calls have gone back and forth between Moscow and his office."

(People from all over the world, including Soviet journalists, have called Garrison asking for interviews about the investigation, which is hardly evidence that Garrison takes instructions from Moscow.)

Bradley said that while Garrison had a big political organization behind him, he, Bradley, had only a few good American Christian people praying for him.

"Why don't you go and answer the charges?" someone asked.

"If the enemy will go to the extent they have gone to accuse an innocent man," Bradley continued, "they will go to any extent to make it stick." That, he said, was his reason for not going to New Orleans.

Another caller stated that Reagan's refusal to send Bradley to New Orleans was certainly not a vindication of the Warren Report nor of Bradley, since Reagan, he said, "is one of the worst right-wingers around."

"All right, big mouth," Gray cut in harshly, "you've just shown what a big mouth you are! And you've kept your big mouth open too long." With that, he hung up on the caller.

Then came a little surprise. Jack Ruby's sister JUST HAPPENED to call in on the program. She called Bradley a fine man, Garrison a cluck, and said that Reagan "knows what's right and wrong." "Something ought to be done about Garrison," she said. She too harped on the fact that Roger Craig had been fired from the Dallas Sheriff's Department.

(Actually, Craig was fired not for any malfeasance or abuse of office, but for his willingness to discuss the assassination with newsmen, after he had been told to keep his mouth shut. In fact, shortly before the assassination Craig had been cited as the outstanding police officer of the year.)

Then, a timed-sounding housewife threw a bomb into the works: "How long after the assassination were you accused by Garrison?" she asked.

"I was accused December 20th, 1967..." Bradley replied.

"Did I hear you say you could prove you were not there by some bus tickets that you had?" she asked.

Bradley said he also had witnesses.

"But how come you kept the bus tickets that long after?"

"The Good Lord was with me," Bradley explained. He also said that it was necessary to keep them for his income tax returns, but when asked, didn't know how long they had to be kept. (It is also interesting to note that while Bradley submitted as evidence the bus tickets for the trip from El Paso to Anthony, Texas, on the afternoon of Nov. 22, 1963, he did not submit tickets for the crucial alleged trip from Tulsa to El Paso, during which time, Garrison claims, Bradley was actually in Dallas.)

After 5 Months Craig Resigns As Midlothian Judge

In a rather short meeting of the Midlothian City Council Monday night City Corporation Judge Roger Craig requested an increase in salary from his \$50 a month to \$200 per month.

In a closed meeting after the Council adjourned the Mayor was authorized to offer Craig \$75 per month. Craig stated that was unsatisfactory and resigned effective immediately.

Outside of routine matters the only other subject approached was a request by Rev. A. T. Ellison for the City Council to consider reopening a housing project which was begun here in about 1960. The Council agreed to meet with a representative of the Federal Housing Authority at the convenience of that body.

Opposition to such a re-opening was expressed after the meeting by former Councilman Elvin Lawson who was a member of the Council when the project was killed and stated on Monday night he felt the City of Midlothian could not afford such a project now.

In the Corporation Judge matter, Craig presented a rather complete report of his five months as Corporation Judge which is reprinted below along with his letter of resignation.

December 2, 1968

To the Members of the City Council and the Honorable Mayor Clark of the City of Midlothian:

To go back to the two meetings held July 1st and July 8th, 1968.

The salary of \$50.00 a month was set up to be a trial one, until it was determined how much work and time would be involved and also how much revenue would be brought in.

Since Saturday, July 13th, I have held court each Saturday on a regular basis, with some months having 5 Saturday dates. The schedule to start with was to be to hold court two Saturdays each month. In addition to the regular court sessions I have been called numerous times on my job to answer questions and many times I have left my job to attend to city business, during the week days. On a number of times I have been called in the middle of the night or early hours to go to City Hall. I have talked numerous hours on the telephone to defendants, answering questions and reasoning with defendants. I have also had many defendants come to my home to discuss their tickets. These people have a right to have these questions answered. I COULD just tell them that court is on Saturday mornings at 10:00 A.M., however, I feel that this position requires a great deal of diplomacy in dealing with the public. We are not running a Kangaroo Court and we want the public to know this, we must try in every way to be fair to them. We are MAINLY taking care of the City of Midlothian. If we don't go more than half way with these people, we could very easily get the kind of reputation for Midlothian that we DON'T want. As you probably know, we have had very few complaints and revenue continues to increase.

Due to the fact that this position requires a great deal more of my time than was anticipated, I feel that this position and the many burdens connected with it, would warrant a raise in salary. The salary that I feel would be adequate for this position and

Continued on page 3