

SF Examiner Nov 18 1966

# Walter Winchell

## *Jim Bishop Speaks Out On Oswald Debate*

Jim Bishop, moderator of a televised argument between several authors of books attacking the Warren Commission report, spoke for many of us when he said he was convinced that Lee Harvey Oswald "did it" and had no accomplices . . . But the debaters (who were windy and dreary) kept haggling about technicalities and other trivia, and never during the two-hour discussion mentioned that the slayer's palm print was found on the murder rifle . . . Nor did any of them mention that the killer threatened to "do something" about Gov. Connally . . . If you just tuned in, we repeat for the steenth time—Oswald wrote to the then Sec'y of the Navy Connally (from Russia) that unless his dishonorable discharge status was changed he would return some day and "do something" about it.

That threat was in the Pentagon files and probably is now among the Warren Commission's evidence, etc.

★ ★ ★

YALE LAW PROFESSOR Alexander Bickel in Commentary mag debunks many of the points raised by critics of the Warren report . . . The trouble is, with 28 volumes to work with, it is easy for Oswald's spokesmen to dig up new conjectures, speculations and outright fibs . . . As usual, the corrections never catch up with the first truth-stretchings . . . And, if you're wondering how all the books on the Warren report seem to attack it—that's because there is no money in defending the report . . . You might say the antis are getting away with homicide.

★ ★ ★

F. SINATRA JR'S trio of kidnapers are "pay their debt to society" like well-mannered ch. They do not give their keepers trouble. They co-operated with the G-men (and received lightest sentence, about 16 years), is in the clink. Far from the other Coast coop . . . One of them was the brave reporter. The regationist Georgia with capitol tut