Justice Department Decides Against Prosecution

By JOHN M. CREWASON

Justice Department has decided not to bring charges against any of the individuals purportedly involved in the destruction, two days after the assassination of President Kennedy, of a threatening note previously delivered to the Dallas F.B.I. office by Lee Hardey Oswald, Mr. Kennedy's accused assassin.

The decision, made yesterday by Richard Thornburgh, who neads the department's Crimnal Division, was disclosed to lay by James B. Adams, an an Justicial of the Federal Bureau of the Adams, and the second of the reception of the second of the reception of the second of the reception of the second of

plete, had not established that anyone except James P. Hosty James B. Adams, an offficial of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

Mr. Adams provided a House subcommittee with a copy of letter from Harold Tyler, the Deputy Attorney General, to Clarence M. Kelley, the F.B.I. Director, that noted that the live-year statute of limitations on crimes that may have attended the letter's destruction and expired.

plete, had not established that anyone with the established that anyone except James P. Hosty Johnson to investigate the Kennedy was living in a rooming house in Dallas, where Mr. Kennedy have attended the letter was adnever told of the existence of the Oswald letter.

According to Mr. Adams, In his testimony before the assassinal longer that in Mr. Hostigators that, in Mr. Hostive-year statute of limitations on crimes that may have attended the letter's destruction and expired.

In Destruction of Note From Osuzza

rended the letter's destruction and expired.

Mr. Tyler said in the letter that, although it was technically possible to pursue a prosecution under Federal perjury statutes in cases where some past and present F.B.I. agents and made conflicting statements under oath about their roles in the destruction of the letter, Mr. Thornburgh had decided against such a move.

Interpresentation Barred

Jury Presentation Barred

Jury Presentation Barred

Justice officials said today hat Mr. Thornburgh's decision also precluded any possibility hat the tangled record of assertions and denials compiled by F.B.I. investigators looking into the bizarre affair would be given to a Federal grand jury further study.

But Mr. Adams, the bureau's leputy associate director, told the subcommittee that the destruction of the letter constitut-

struction of the letter constituted a violation of the F.B.I.'s internal regulations and that adninistrative action against some of those allegedly in-volved was under consideration.

The chief confusion, Mr. Adams testified, involved attempts by the bureau to fix responsibility for the destruction of the note, which did not men-

tion Mr. Kennedy but con-stitutional rights, Mr. Adams Dallas F.B.I. office a note ad-By JOHN M. CREWASON
Special to The New York Times
WASHINGTON, Oct. 21—The
Istice Department has decided
Obligation to bring charges against ty of the individuals purportedly involved in the description, two days after the sassination of President Kenday, of a threatening note of the assassination of the description of the decription of the description of the decription of the decription of the decription of the description of the decription of the decreption of the decrepti

25

in Destruction of Note From Oswald

told her to save the note for its contents.

of the Dallas office, who read cial confronted him with the it, termed Oswald a "nut" and letter and ished Hlm to exrlain over what happened to the it, termed Oswald a "nut" and letter and ished Hlm to exrlain told her to save the note for Mr. Hosty.

The assistant head of the Dallas office has denied nny knowledge of the matter, Mr. Adams said, as have other emrloyes to whom the receptionist said she had shown the letter.

Mr. Hosty recalled having eventually received the note, but denied that it had contained any threats or violent language. He told bureau investigators, that he had simply placed it in his "workbox," where, Kr. Adams said, "it continued to reside on the day of the assassination."

In his F.B.I. interview, Mr. Hosty recalled note and the memorandum. He was instructed by Mr. Shanklin, who recently retired from the F.B.I. and now by chircles law in Dallas, had directed Him to repare a memorandum describing his effort to interview Marina Oswald, which he dii.

About two hours after Oswald letter appears to involve the testimony of Mr. Shanklin and Mr. Hosty, who is now with the F.B.I. office in Kansas City, Mo., the investigators uncovered other contradictions involving the source of the kenswledge and involvement of F.B.I. executives in Washington.

According to Mr. Adams's testimony, one F.B.I. employe stated that she heard from "an unrecalled source" that a meeting was held to decide the disposition of the Oswald note and the memorandum. He disposition of the Oswald note and was attended by an F.B.I. inspector "from Washington." The unnamed inspector "unedicals any knowledge of the matter, Mr. Adams said.

According to Mr. Adams's testimony, one F.B.I. employe testimony of the Oswald of the disposition of the oswald in the disposition of the Oswald of the disposition of the Oswald o