Panel Studies F.B.I. Links Continued From Page 1, Col. 7 To Oswald and Ruby in '63 the New Orleans F.B.I. field office, that the bureau warned

NYTimes

By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 13—A oversight responsibilities for with a rifle in Dallas.

The House committee is a second of the committee in Dallas. restigation into the Federal Bueau of Investigation's relationhip with Lee Harvey Oswald
and Jack Ruby before President
tee had scheduled public hearings for next Monday and Tuesligence apointed two of its
members, Senators Richard S. subcommittee's chairman said day and had asked senior F.B.I.

rom the Warren Commission would concentrate on the folsince its report saying that Os-lowing three main areas in its wald was the sole assassin was initial investigation: issued in 1964.

stitutional Rights Subcommit-Hoover, destroyed a letter writ- as well as F.B.I. materials. tee, said that the purpose of ten by Oswald several days Based upon what Mr. Schweik-his panel's investigation "is not before the assassination in er already learned as a member to reopen the Warren Commis-which he threatened to 'blow of the intelligence committee, sion, others may later decide to do that, but to set the recless the bureau's agents to be reopened. on."

The California Democrat said of the subcommittee that the mant for the F.B.I.

Mr. Edward its scrutiny.

ommittee has opened an in-ducting a long-term investigation is only one of sever-

officials to testify under oath.

ord straight on just what went stopped trying to interview to be reopened.

Among the matters believed

TRecently The California Democrat said

¶Recently uncovered dothat several recent disclosures cuments that indicated that about the F.B.I.'s role before Jack Ruby, the man who killed the assassination on Nov. 22, Oswald in the Dallas police 1963, had convinced members headquarters, was a paid infor-

The charge by William Wal-

Mr. Edward's subcommittee has Continued on Page 15, Column 1

ter, a former code clerk in OCT 1 4 1975 its Southern field offices five days before President Kennedy's death that there would

The House committee's inmembers, Senators Richard S. Schweiker, Republican of Penn-This is the first official public nquiry by Congress into whether evidence was withheld the case, he added.

The said that the case, he added. He said that the committee screen possible new evidence.

A Broader Inquiry

The Senate committee has a broader mandate than does The disclosure that the Mr. Edwards's panel. It can Representative Don Edwards, F.B.I., presumably on orders gatherevidence on the Central chairman of the Civil and Confrom its then director, J. Edgar Intelligence Agency's activities

to warrant re-examination was the disclosure that the C.I.A. had never told the Warren had never told the War Commission that it was volved in attepts to kill Premier Fidel Castro of Cuba. Considering Oswald's deep involvement with pro-Cuban elements, Mr. Schweiker has said that it would appear this information might be pertinent to the Warren inquiry

ren inquiry.

The events surrounding the destruction of the Oswald letter by the F.B.I. are under investigation both within the bureau and by the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice.

Though the Oswald letter was delivered to the F.B.I. before President Kennedy was shot, law enforcement sources have said, the bureau apparently did not tell anyone about the threat.

After the President was killed, the Oswald letter was removed from the file and destroyed. Several sources have said that the destruction may have been on Mr. Hoover's orders, but this is still under

investigation.

Despite the threat contained Despite the threat contained in the letter, both Mr. Hoover and James P. Hosty Jr., the special agent who was investigating Oswald at the time, told the Warren Commission that they had no reason, before the Kennedy shooting to believe that Oswald was capable of violence. violence.