On the other side of the world there is an ironic situation in Portugal. Com-mander Jesuino, Portuguese Minister of Information, is in the United States seeking "understanding." Secretary Kissinger is reportedly miffed, both at Jesuino, and at U.S. Ambassador Frank Carlucci, for advocating some understanding of this government. Secretary Kissinger disapproves of Portugal for allowing too many Communists in their government. How can he possibly be surprised, either at Portugal or Carlucci, when he has been relentlessly promoting détente for as long as he has had any influence in the government? Why is it acceptable for Secretary Kissinger to seek "understanding" and mutual agreements with the brutal masters of the Kremlin, but not acceptable to seek understanding of a government which heart art the seek understanding of a government which heart art the seek understanding of a government which heart art the seek understanding of a government which heart art the seek understanding of the seek understan

able to seek understanding of a government which has not yet turned completely to communism?

The San Diego Union of May 3 has printed an excellent editorial calling for a reappraisal of détente from yet another perspective—the disastrous effect which détente has had on NATO and the Atlantic Alliance. The editorial concludes, pointedly: pointedly:

Détente, it is plain, has helped our enemies and has done us no good whatever. Furthermore, it has shaken the confidence of our allies. Now, in the wake of Vietnam, we would be well advised to ask ourselves if it is not, in the long run, better to have a meaningful détente with our friends than a worthless détente with our enemies.

I endorse those thoughts, and comend this editorial to my colleagues.

THE "DELUSION" OF DÉTENTE

As we raise our eyes from the embers of Vietnam and look in other directions we must concur with the wag who said, "The whole world is a rat race and the rats are ahead."

A case in point is NATO, the grand alliance that has held Soviet imperialism at bay for 25 years. Now, NATO is in trouble, partly because of the dynamism of Soviet diplomacy and the size of the Soviet's military buildup, and partly because of misplaced American priorities as to the relative importance of the thing we call "detente."

The Soviet power has grown with almost dramatic speed. The Russians are spending more on military defense by far than the United States, and their progress is almost terrifying. They have developed a massive and modern fleet, and are procuring the bases necessary to make it a worldwide strategic force.

The NATO European command is now faced with a formidable force of 26,000 Warsaw Pact tanks, an array of tactical nuclear weap-one and armies at least twice the size of the NATO forces.

NATO forces.

NATO, itself, is in tragic disarray. Great Britain is impoverished, suffering brutally at the hands of a leftist government that is disinterested in defense. Portugal is turning slowly leftward, as is Italy. Greece and Turkey, former stalwart anchors of the NATO flank on the Mediterranean, are totally disenchanted. Turkey can no longer be regarded as a United States base in that area and Greece suffering huntal interval position. Greece, suffering brutal internal political and economic problems, is about to leave NATO completely. completely.

It is against this backdrop that the United

States should hasten to reexamine its atti-

tude on detente.

If our interest in mollifying the Soviet
Union through detente suggests a corresponding disinterest in our relations with NATO,

the alliance could very well collapse, with the NATO nations trying, one way or another, to accommodate with the Soviet Union.

It is time for the leadership of our country to see detente as an abstraction, simply a theatrical camouflage on the Soviet Union's part, for pursuing its political war.

Stripping off the camouflage, we see that detente did not keep the Soviets from arming the North Vietnamese in violation of the Paris Peace accord. It did not cause the Soviets to work for peace during the October, 1973, Middle East war. It has resulted in the two Strategic Arms Limitation meetings, SALT I and SALT II, which actually limited nothing and, since the Soviet Union is ready and willing to spend far more on arms than is needed for defense, it has moved her clearly into the position of No. 1 in the nuclear world. In that world, it might be observed, being No. 2 is not better than being No. 20.

No. 20.

Detente, it is plain, has helped our enemies and has done us no good whatever. Furthermore, it has shaken the confidence of our allies. Now, in the wake of Vietnam, we would be well advised to ask ourselves if it is not, in the long run, better to have a meaningful detente with our friends than a worthless detente with our enemies.

CALL FOR REOPENING OF INVESTI-GATION OF THE ASSASSINATION OF JOHN KENNEDY

HON. GLADYS NOON SPELLMAN

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, May 14, 1975

Mrs. SPELLMAN. Mr. Speaker, recently I was visited by several students from the University of Maryland who represented many of their peers who are concerned about the Warren Commission and the investigation into the Kennedy and the investigation into the Kennedy assassination. Members of the Organization of Students To Investigate the Investigation of the Kennedy Assassination, Mr. Floyd Lamore, Mr. Michael Diamond, Ms. Terri Weifenbach, Mr. David O'Neill, Ms. Maryann Hainley, Mr. Daniel Kinder, and Ms. Christina Mazzanti presented me with a petition from the University of Maryland containing 1,175 signatures in support of resolutions before this House calling for the reopenbefore this House calling for the reopening of the investigation of the assassination of John Kennedy.

These students are sincerely concerned

that the FBI, the organization investi-gating the assassination, had a "pred-ilected opinion of the outcome of their investigation." The students believe that the Warren Commission decided to withhold and suppress evidence contrary to the predilected opinion of the FBI. It is the coverup aspects of the assassination investigation that are of the greatest concern to these students, and I share this concern.

In support of their belief that some coverup existed in the investigation, the students presented me with a copy of the transcript of the executive session of the Warren Commission of January 22, 1964, I would like to include for the Record this portion of the transcript, along with the accompanying petition from the Organization of Students to Investigate the Investigation of the Kennedy Assassination.

Kennedy Assassination.

Mr. Speaker, these students are taking their summer to work on a petition survey of Prince Georges County, Montgomery County and the counties comprising the district of our distinguished colleague, Congressman Paul Sarbanes, in an effort to further determine the beliefs and concerns that exist on this subject. They are also planning a symposium to be held on the University of Maryland campus, tentatively scheduled during the second week of June. This symposium will be made up of experts in the field as well as concerned citizens and Members of Congress.

Mr. Lamore and his fellow students have emphasized again and again that

Mr. Lamore and his fellow students have emphasized again and again that it is the coverup aspects of the assassination investigation that are of major concern to them. If the FBI, the CIA and the Secret Service are not doing their job, and if, in the process, they covered up their mistakes, the American people should know about it. people should know about it.

Mr. Speaker, I support these and other efforts to answer the many puzzling questions that have arisen around the investigation of the Kennedy assassination, and I applaud the efforts of the Organization of Students to Investigate the Investigation of the Kennedy. Assassination sassination.

The January 22, 1964, transcript of the Warren Commission executive session and the petition of the organization follow:

sion and the petition of the organization follow:

To: The Honorable Gladys Noon Spellman. Congresswoman, 5th District, Maryland We the undersigned, do hereby Petition the Honorable Gladys Noon Spellman, Congresswoman from the fifth district of Maryland, to support in particular, House Resolution No. 204 and in general, a full and open investigation by either body of Congress on the Kennedy Assassination. The essence of House Resolution No. 204 is to reopen the investigation of the assassination of John F. Kennedy, Martin Luther King and Robert F. Kennedy, Its sponsor is Representative Gonzalez of Texas.

We think the Warren Commission was inaccurate, dishonest and incomplete in their investigation, findings and their conclusion that there was no conspiracy and that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone in assassinating President Kennedy. We question the "lone assassin" theory in light of previously suppressed and/or ignored evidence; evidence which plainly suggests more than one gunman and thus a conspiracy.

House Resolution No. 204 is in rules committee at this time and we would appreci-

House Resolution No. 204 is in rules committee at this time and we would appreciate your efforts to support his resolution and follow its progress through till a vote before the House.

As your constituents, we most humbly appreciate your attention on this petition and being familiar with your record of involvement, feel that you will take an active position of approval of the resolution.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION,
Washington, D.C., March 19, 1975.
Mr. Harold Weisberg,
Route 8,
Frederick, Md.
DEAR Mr. Weisberg: Some years ago you requested a copy of a transcript of the executive session of the Warren Commission of January 22, 1964. At that time, as we informed you, there was no transcript of that

session. A transcript of the reporter's notes for that session has been prepared and is for that session has been prepared and is now available for research. Enclosed is a copy of the transcript.

Sincerely, Miss JANE F. SMITH

Director, Civil Archives Division. TRANSCRIPT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION OF THE PRESIDENT'S COMMISSION ON THE ASSASSI-NATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY OF JANUARY

22, 1964

NATION OF PRESIDENT RENNEDY OF JANUARY 22, 1964

Gentlemen: I called this meeting of the Commission because of something that developed today that I thought every member of the Commission should have knowledge of, something that you shouldn't hear from the public before you had an opportunity to think about it. I will just have Mr. Rawkin tell you the story from the beginning.

Mr. Rawkin. Mr. Wagner Carr, the Attorney General of Texas, called me at 11:10 this morning and said that the word had come out, he wanted to get it to me at the first moment, that Oswald was acting as an FBI Undercover Agent, and that they had the information of his badge which was given as Number 179, and that he was being paid two hundred a month from September of 1962 up through the time of the assassination. I asked what the source of this was, and he said that he understood the information had been made available so that Defense Counsel for Ruby had that information, that he knew that the press had the information and he didn't know exactly where Wade had he knew that the press had the information, and he didn't know exactly where Wade had gotten the information, but he was a former FBI Agent.

FBI Agent.

That they, that is, Wade before, had said that he had sufficient so that he was willing to make the statement.

FORD. Wade is?

A. The District Attorney.

FORD. Carr is the Attorney General.

BOGGS. Right, of Texas.

BAWELING I brought that to the attention

Boggs. Right, of Texas.
RAWKINS. I brought that to the attention of the Chief Justice immediately, and he said that I should try to get in touch with Carr and ask him to bring Wade up here, and he would be willing to meet with him any time today or tonight to find out what was the basis of this story. I tried to get Carr and he was out campaigning in Texarkana and so forth, and so it took us quite a while to get back to him and talk to him. I just got through talking to him and he told me the source of the information was a member of the press who had claimed he knew of such an agency, that he was an undercover agent, but he now is coming with the information as to his particular number and the amount he was getting and the detail as to the time when the payments started. Wade said he as well as him did not know the name of the informant but he could guess who it was, well as him did not know the name of the informant but he could guess who it was, that it was given to his assistant, and he was sure that he knew, and he said he was trying to check it out to get more definite information. Carr said that he could bring Wade in some time the first of the week, but wade in some time the first of the week, but in light of the fact that it was this man of the press and that they did not think it would be broken by the press immediately, although there had been all kinds of stories down there but Carr said there were some 25 to 40 different stories about this being the case admonishing the press themselves, but to 40 different stories about this being the case admonishing the press themselves, but this was the first time that he got something definite as to how they were handling it or how it could be handled by himself. But I how it could be handled by himself. But I was concerned of an undercover agent. He thought that the press would not bring the story without some further proof, and they are working on that now, he said. So he thought that if he brought Wade back on Monday or Tuesday, that that would still take care of any major problem. When he first told us, he said the press had it and he was fearful because he hadn't even gotten this from Wade. He got it from another man

that the press would bring it before we could know about it and the Commission would be asked all kinds of questions without having information about it. Now ne saud vising information about it. Now ne saud visiting it. Now

RAWKINS, Right

RAWKINS. Right.
Q. And the other man, Carr, is the Attorney General?
A. That is right.
Q. And the other people who have knowledge of this story?
A. He indicated that the press down there had knowledge of this story, and that the information came from some informant who was a press representative, and he, that is, Wade, could guess who it was but his assistant knew and he never asked him. They were trying to get more explicit information.
A. Lee, would you tell them?
Mr. DULLES. Who were you talking with when you got this information, Wade himself?

A. I was talking with Carr.

Boggs. There is a denial of this in one of these FBI records, as you know. A. Yes.

A. Yes.

COOPER. In this file we had yesterday, one of the lawyers for this fellow who claims to represent—

Boggs. Thornhill, I think.

COOPER. Oswald or one of them, Ruby, told about this, do you recall it, he said it was being rumored around.

RAWKIN. Yes, it was being rumored that he was an undercover agent. Now it is something that would be very difficult to prove out. that would be very difficult to prove out. There are events in connection with this that are curious, in that they might make it possible to check some of it out in time. I assume that the FBI records would never

I assume that the FBI records would hever show it, and if it is true, and of course we don't know, but we thought you should have the information.

A. Lee, would you tell the gentlemen the circumstances under which this story was

told?

A. Yes, when it was first brought to my attention this morning—

Bogs. What time was this, Lee?
A. 11. 10.
Bogs. That is after the Ruby episode of

Boogs. That is after the Ruby episode of yesterday?

A. That is right.
Q. Yes.
A. And Mr. Carr said that they had used this saying before the Court that they thought they knew why the FBI was so willing to give some of these records to the Defense Counsel, and they were * * * to the Defense Counsel being able to get the records and asking the Court to rule that they couldn't get them.
Q. That is, the District Attorney was?
A. That is right, and he said a number of these records were furnished by the Texas authorities, and that they should not be

A. That is right, and he said a number of these records were furnished by the Texas authorities, and that they should not be given up to the Defense Counsel, and that the reason he thought that they were so eager to help Ruby was because they had the undercover, that Oswald was the undercover agent and had the number of his badge and so much, he was getting two hundred a month and so forth, and that was the way it was explained as his justification to the Court as a basis for determining the records and that that was the excuse the FBI, the reason the FBI had for being so eager to give the records up. That is the way it was developed. Now Mr. Jaworski, who is associated with the Attorney General working on this matter was reported to you before, and, * * * Story, I don't talk to Story about it but I did talk to Jaworski and he said he didn't think Wade would say anything like this unless he had some substantial information back of it, and thought he could prove it, because he thought it would ruin many in

politics, in Texas, to be making such a claim, and then have it shown that there was

politics, in Texas, to be making such a claim, and then have it shown that there was nothing to it.

A. And Jaworski is an able lawyer, mature and very competent. We have complete confidence in him as a person. Now that is the evaluation of the situation.

Forn. No. He hasn't made any investigations himself?

tions himself?

A. No, he has not.
Fond. Was Wade or anyone connected with
Wade?

Wade? \
A. No.
DULLES. Talking about Story, just a few minutes ago just telling him I wasn't going to be down in Texas, I had told him I was going to be down at the time, he didn't indicate that he had anything of any importance or his mind Maybe he won't offer it to him. on his mind. Maybe he won't offer it to him

cate that he had anything of any importance on his mind. Maybe he won't offer it to him obviously.

RAWKIN. I don't know that it was even brought to his attention.

DULLES. I don't believe it was, now. Of course, he is not in the hierarchy.

A. Well, I think they were planning on telling the Attorney General and Jaworski.

Ford. How long ago did they get a feeling that there was some substance to the rumors that apparently had been—I just assumed, and I didn't ask them that, that Carr called me and seemed to be in a matter of great urgency at 11:10 this morning, and that he was fearful that they would bring in the papers before we would even get to know about it, and that is the way he was talking and acting about it.

COOPER. He felt there was . . He didn't know the name of the informant?

A. No, he did not.

A. No, he did not.
Q. When then would lead him to think it

had substance?

A. Well, he said that the reason he thought th might have substance was because Wade had heard these rumors constantly, and his assistant had gotten this information from the informant as to a definite badge number, and the amount and the date.

COOPER. How would you test this kind of thing?

thing?

A. It is going to be very difficult for us to be able to establish the fact in it. I am confident that the FBI would never admit it, and I presume their records will never show it, or if their records do show anything, I would think their records would show some kind of a number that could be assigned to a dozen different people according to how they wanted to describe them. So that it seemed to me if it truly happened, he did use postal boxes practically every place seemed to me if it truly happened, he did use postal boxes practically every place that he went, and that would be an ideal way to get money to anyone that you wanted as an undercover agent, or anybody else that you wanted to do business that way with without having any particular trans-action

action.

FORD. There might be people who would see what was going on with that particular box, because the postal authorities do watch, they have means of watching in many places that no one could see. They can watch the clerks as to what they are doing in these boxes, and they can watch the individuals that are going in and out. They do that only when they have an occasion to be suspicious, but they might, in watching for somebody particularly, they might also see other things that they just have to note. That is a possibility. particul that the sibility.

DULLES. What was the ostensible mission? I mean when they hire somebody they hire somebody for a purpose. It is either . . . Was it to penetrate the Fair Play for Cuba Committee? That is the only thing I can think of where they might have used this man. It would be quite ordinary for me because they are very careful about the agents they use. You wouldn't pick up a fellow like this to do an agent's job. You have got to

watch out for your agents. You have really got to know. Sometimes you make a mistake.

Ford. He was playing ball, writing letters to both the elements of the Communist parties. I mean he was playing ball with the Trotskyites and with the others. This was a

strange circumstance to me.

DULLES. But the FBI get people right inside you know. They don't need a person like this on the outside. The only place where he did any at all was with the Fair Play for Cuba Committee.

Page Of cause it is appearable that he

Boggs. Of course it is conceivable that he may have been brought back from Russia you know.

A. If he was in the employ from 1962, September 1962, up to the time of the assassination, it had to start over in Russia, didn't it, because didn't he get back in February? When did he get back here from

A. I think it was February; February of

A. I think it was February; February of this year.
Q. Of '62. Was it of '62?
A. Oh yes, that is right, it was '62.
DULLES. They have no facilities, they haven't any people in Russia. They may have some people in Russia but they haven't any organizations of their own in Russia.

A Yes

organizations of their own in Russia.

A. Yes.

Dulles. They might have their agents there. They have some people, sometimes American Communists who go to Russia under their guidance and so forth and so on under their control.

Cooper. Of course there are rumors all around Dallas, of course the FBI is acquainted with rumors too.

ed with rumors too.

A. One of the strange things that happened, and it may have no bearing on this at all, is the fact that this man who is a defector, and who was under observation at least by the FBI, they say they saw him frequently, could walk about the Immigration Office in New Orleans one day and come out the next day with a passport that permitted him to go to Russia. From my observations of the case that have come to us, such passports are not passed out with that ease. A. One of the strange things that har

Dulles, Mr., I think you are wrong on

that.

A. I could be.

DULLES. Because the passports are issued valid for anywhere except specified countries. There is a stamp as I recall that says not good for Communist China, North Vietnam, and so forth. For a long time they had on the stamp not good for Hungary. But any American practically any American practically any American practically any American. American, practically any American, can get a passport that is good for anywhere. An American can travel and Russia is one of the countries that you can now travel to. A. Well, maybe you can. Dulles. You can get them quick.

Dulles. You can get them quick.
A. I think our General Counsel and I both have some experience in cases that have come before our Court which would indicate that that isn't exactly the fact.

Dulles. I think in the State Depart

A. They have great difficulty, some of them, in getting a passport to go to Russia.

Boggs. Particularly for someone who has

Boggs. Particularly for someone who has any Communist...

A. Oh, yes.

DULLES. Is there any evidence the State Department has that record in the files? I don't think that record has ever turned up. Cooper. They admitted there wasn't any, A. What record, that he was a defector?

DULLES Vas. I don't think the State De-

Dulles. Yes, I don't think the State Department or in the Passport Bureau, there was no record. It didn't get down to the Passport offices. That is one of the things we ought to look into.

A. The State Department knew he was a defector. They arranged for him to come back.

Dulles. But it don't get . . . passport files or the passport records. They are issuing hun-dreds and thousands of passports. They have their own particular system.

A. Yes. Dulles. They don't run around from time a man comes in. If they don't find any clu and they don't according to our record he they don't find any warning clue in his file

they don't find any warning clue in his file— they should have a warning clue in his file but as I recall they don't.

COOPER. That is what they admitted, that they had not supplied the warning.

DULLES. And the Passport Office don't on its own usually go around and inquire. They wait until it is assigned there. Then they follow it up.

wait until it is assigned there.

COOPER. This may be off the point a bit, but as I re-read the report, the chronology of the FBI checks on Oswald, they knew that he had gone to Texas. They learned from Mrs. Payne: they knew where Mrs. Oswald was living. They talked with her. They knew where he was working.

Bogos. Sure. That is all in the file.

COOPER. I know that. I say they knew where he was working.

COOPER. I know that. I say they knew where he was working.

Boggs. I am sure you went over that material that we received a few days ago. You will find the report from the FBI dated back

will find the report from the FBI dated back last summer, and months before that and then months after that, why some agent would make a report on it.

A. I thought first you should know about it. Secondly, there is this factor too that a consideration, that is somewhat an issue in this case, and I suppose you are all aware of it. That is that the FBI is very explicit that Oswald is the assassin or was the assassin, and they are very explicit that there sassin, and they are very explicit that there was no conspiracy, and they are also saying in the same place that they are continuing their investigation. Now in my experience of their investigation. Now in my experience of almost nine years, in the first place it is hard to get them to say when you think you have got a case tight enough to convict somebody, that that is the person that committed the crime. In my experience with the FBI they don't do that. They claim that they don't evaluate, and it is uniform prior experience that they don't do that. Secondly, they have not run out all kinds of leads in Mexico or in Russia and so forth which they could probably—It is not our business, it is the very——

Q Yes

A. But they are concluding that there can't be a conspiracy without those being run out. Now that is not, from my experience with the FBI.

the FBI.

Q. It is not. You are quite right. I have seen a great many reports.

A. Why are they so eager to make both of those conclusions, both in the original report and their experimental report, which is such a departure? Now that is, just circumstantial evidence, and it don't prove anything about this, but it raises questions. We have to try to find out what they have to say that would give any support to the story, and report it to you.

COOPER, Sure.

A. I think it was in October.

RAWKIN, They had a report on many, they

RAWKIN. They had a report on many, they had an agent go and see him when he was in

A. In New Orleans. Q. Right.

A. And he lied to them before the police.

He said his wife was a Texas girl, and he married her in Texas, and a whole string of stuff, and in Dallas they had a report prior to that that was definitely contrary to it.

Boccs. The fellow Butler, who works for the profit organizations that Dr. Oxnard

heads to disseminate and tie Communist propaganda to Latin America, is the one who confronted him on the streets in New Orleans. I know Butler. He is a very fine young man. It was . . . Butler says that this was the first time that they asked believe that orneans. I know Butter. He is a very fine young man. It was . . Butter says that this was the first time that they established that he had been in Russia and that he had defected at one time and then returned. You have that undoubtedly in your files, that film, that tape that was made and borrowed in New Orleans?

in New Orleans.
A. Yes.
Boggs. Of course on that tape—I listened to that tape—he gives the normal Communist line, reaction to everything.

ist line, reaction to everything.

A. That is right.
Q. The same old stereotyped answer?
A. Yes.
Cooper. How do you propose to meet this situation?

Boggs. This is a serious thing.
Ford. Who would know if anybody would in the Bureau have such an arrangement?
A. I think that there are several. Probably Mr. Belmont would know every undercover

agent.
Q. Belmont?
A. Yes.
Q. An informer also would you say?
A. Yes, I would think so. He is the special security, of the division.
DULLES. Yes, I know.
A. And he is an able man. But when the Chief Justice and I were just briefly reflecting on this we said if that was true and it ever came out and could be established, then you would have people think that there was a conspiracy to accomplish this assassination you would have people think that there was a conspiracy to accomplish this assassination that nothing the Commission did or anybody could dissipate.

Boggs. You are so right.

DULLES. Oh, terrible.

Boggs. Its implications of this are fantastic, don't you think so?

tic, don't you think so?
A. Terrific.
RAWKIN. To have anybody admit to it, even if it was the fact, I am sure that there wouldn't at this point be anything to prove it.

prove it.

DULLES. Lee, if this were true, why would it be particularly in their interest—I could see it would be in their interest to get rid of this man but why would it be in their interest to say he is clearly the only guilty one? I mean I don't see that argument that you raise particularly shows an interest.

Boccs. I can immediately—

A. They would like to have us fold up and quit.

and quit.

Bogs. This closes the case, you see. Don't you see?

DULLES. Yes, I see that.

RAWKIN. They found the man. There is nothing more to do. The Commission supports their conclusions, and we can go on home and that is the end of it.

DULLES. But that puts the men right on them. If he was not the killer and they employed him, they are already it, you see. So your argument is correct if they are sure that this is going to close the case, but if it don't close the case, they are worse off than ever by doing this.

Bocs. Yes, I would think so. And of course, we are all even gaining in the realm of speculation. I don't even like to see this

being taken down.

Dulles. Yes. I think this record ought to be destroyed. Do you think we need a record of this?

A. I don't, except that we said we would have records of meetings and so we called the reporter in the formal way. If you think what we have said here should not be upon the record, we can have it done that way. Of course it might. . . .

DULLES. I am just thinking of sending around copies and so forth. The only copies of this record should be kept right here.

Boggs. I would hope that none of these records are circulated to anybody.

A. I would hope so too.

RAWKIN, We also give them to you Commissioners. Now if you don't want them, those are the only ones who get them but Sides himself: off the record.

LULAC HOLDS STATE CONVENTION

HON. GLENN M. ANDERSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, May 14, 1975

Mr. ANDERSON of California. Mr. Speaker, on May 23 through May 25, the League of United Latin American Citizens, LULAC, will convene for their 27th annual State convention in Long Beach, Calif.

Calif.

Under the coordination of LULAC's Paramount Council, presided over by Margaret Pacheco, the LULAC convention will gather to perpetuate concepts which are the foundation of this fine organization's purpose—to instill in its members the democratic principle of individual political and religious freedom. dividual political and religious freedom, the equality of social and economic opportunity, and to strive toward develop-ment of an American society where the cultural resources and integrity of all people are recognized to be a necessity for a worthwhile, meaningful, and enriched civilization.

The growing importance of the Latin American people in our country makes it essential that every citizen have an un-derstanding of the cultural and spiritual

origins we share with these great people.

I am especially pleased that in my
State of California, LULAC councils
have generally been in the forefront of initiating programs capable of implementing the ideals of their beliefs.

The first council organized in California was headed by Jess Vela in 1927 and was based in Orange County. The spirit that Vela's efforts produced soon spread to Los Angeles, Norwalk, Downey, Para-mount, Long Beach, San Pedro, Gardena and countless other cities throughout our

LULAC's immediate goal in California is to rectify the employment problem faced by Spanish-speaking citizens. During the past several years, a LULAC committee, headed by Frank Galaz of the Gardena council, and Fidel Gonzales, past State chairman of LULAC, exposed various examples of discriminations of the control tion against Latinos by many of Cali-fornia's major employers. They are presently taking the necessary legal steps to solve these problems.

In addition to working on fair employment practices, LULAC has been working toward greater educational opportunities for Latin Americans, for often it has seemed that higher education was beyond reach of the Latin American society. Through individual efforts, and the assistance that LULAC Educational Service Centers provide Latin American Service Centers provide, Latin American people now have educational opportunities and resources available that they were deprived of for so many years.

These new centers work to develop new levels of awareness in an attempt to motivate students in meeting the community's needs and solving problems one might have from an educational stand-point. The centers which operate in California work together and coordinate their efforts throughout California to reach as many Latin American youths as

Mr. Speaker, these are but a few of a accomplishments LULAC has attained. Their active participation in the betterment of life for their people is indeed a noble contribution to our Nation. Their concern in the areas of housing, health, the media, economic development, civil and women's rights are admired by all who share a sense of equaland compassion for our fellow citi-

I am particularly pleased that in my congressional district, individuals such as Isaac De La Rocha from the Long Beach Council, Joe Sanchez from the Greater Long Beach Council, Jay Leon of the Gardena Council and Victor Valdez of the San Pedro Council have played such a vital role in the development and implementation of LULAC programs.

We are sure that with the dedication of these people, the 27th annual LULAC State Convention will serve as a prologue of further accomplishments for this organization.

Mr. Speaker, at this time I would like insert into the RECORD the official LULAC code:

Respect your Citizenship and preserve it; honor your country, maintain its tradition in the spirit of its citizens and embody your-

in the spirit of its citizens and embody yourself into its culture and civilization.

Be proud of your origin and maintain it
immaculate, respect your glorious past and
help to defend the rights of all the people.

Learn how to discharge your duties before
you learn how to assert your rights; educate and make yourself worthy, and stand
high in the light of your own deed; you must
always be loyal and courageous.

Filled with optimism, make yourself sociable, upright, judicious, and above all
things be sober and collected in your habits,
cautious in your actions and sparing in your
speech.

Speech.

Believe in God, love Humanity and rely upon the framework of human progress, slow and sound, unequivocal and firm.

Always be honorable and highminded; learn how to be self-reliant upon your qualifications and resources.

In war serve your country, in peace your convictions; discern, investigate, meditate, and think, study, at all times be honest and generous. Let your firmest purpose be that of helping to see that each new generation shall be of a youth more efficient and capable and in this let your own children be included.

NEW YORK—THE STATE OF THE CITY

HON. BENJAMIN S. ROSENTHAL

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, May 14, 1975

Mr. ROSENTHAL. Mr. Speaker, New York City faces a grave fiscal crisis and has appealed to the President for help.

If the administration insists on a blueprint for future action before agreeing to assist New York City, an excellent basis for discussion can be found in a series of editorials currently appearing in the New York Times.

Yesterday, I inserted the first three editorials in this series—"The State of the City"—in the Congressional Record, and today I wish to bring the fourth to the attention of our colleagues, Mr.

Today's installment discusses housing and energy. The Times editors make the essential point that even with an equitable and realistic regulation of rents considerable expansion in Federal rent subsidy, rehabilitation and construction funds will be needed to assist renters with low and moderate incomes."

The editorial follows:

STATE OF THE CITY: HOUSING AND ENERGY

"The time has come for the city or state to take over all rent-controlled apartments. We

take over all rent-controlled apartments. We are not asking for any cash, just mortgages covering the condemnation value of our property."

That statement of surrender comes from the chief spokesman for owners of 900,000 New York City apartments seeking to get out from under the vagaries of rent control, rising real estate taxes, high fuel and utility charges and kindred afflictions of infinite variety.

charges and kindred ameticins of variety.

In terms of equal desperation tenants complain that decent housing is unobtainable at rents any but the wealthy can afford—and much of it is shoddy at any price. Even where apartments are built with exemption from municipal taxes, they now come in at monthly rents of \$125 a room or higher, triple or quadruple what used to be considered a tenable middle-income figure.

Uncollected realty taxes are expected to

Uncollected realty taxes are expected to total a quarter-billion dollars this year, and landlords shrug off the scheduled 10 per cent increase in the tax rate July 1. "The money just isn't there to pay it," is their almost unanimous comment. Savings banks squirm as defaulted mortgage payments reach astro-nomic totals, but they do not foreclose for fear of even more staggering losses if the banks become owners. Abandonments at the rate of 30,000 per year convert entire neighborhoods into wasteland; arson in abandoned apartments is a growing menace.

doned apartments is a growing menace.

At least 30,000 new or substantially rehabilitated family units annually for the next decade is the officially calculated housing need for this city. Yet public and private rehabilitation—and there are some brave efforts in this direction—is near a standstill. Many existing low-rent housing developments are fear-swept jungles. Federal rent supplements offer a glimmer of hope—a dim glimmer. glimmer.

THE EMPTY SKYSCRAPER

In the soaring skyscrapers that are the external symbol of New York's commercial greatness more than a tenth of the floor is vacant—a total of 27.5 million square feet of unfilled offices. By cutting rents to half or less their original level, operators of shiny new towers in midtown Manhattan made a modest dent in their backlog of surplus space in the last year, but much of their gain was at the expense of Lower Manhattan buildings. buildings.

The twin peaks of the World Trade Center, with two million of their nine million feet still unrented, are monuments to unplanned expansion—misspending by the Port Authority of reserves that should have gone to mass transit but that were used instead for unfair competition with commercial builders. As if all this were not dismaying enough, the combination of municipal tax policy and of quest

23 May 75

WXPost
[] filed Misc Study Groups, CCI

Warren Report Foe Heads New Group

by Richard M. Cohen

(Story on formation by Lane of the Citizens Commission of Inquiry. Bernard Fensterwald's Committee to Investigate Assassinations has been merged with Lane's group.)

"Lane, the director of the newly formed organization, said its purpose would be to generate 'a nationwide organizing project to urge Congress to investigate the assassination of President John F. Kennedy and the resultant cover-up of the facts by the FBI and the CIA.'"

Clippings on CCI will be filed Misc - Study Groups.

James Kilpatrick......

Warren Report 7 1975 **SFChronicle** Revisited

MAY 27 1975

JOHN F. KENNEDY, had he lived, would have been 58 on May 29. He died, as we know, nearly 12 years ago, the victim of assassination. The anniversary of his birth offers an opportunity for a few observations on the burgeoning demands for a new investigation of his death. These demands are cropping up everywhere — in Congress, on college campuses.

Congress, on college campuses, in popular magazines.

Kennedy died of bullet wounds suffered at 12:30 p.m. on Nov. 22, 1963, as he was riding in a motorcade in Dallas. Shortly before 2 p.m., following the fatal shooting of Police Officer J. D. Tippit, police arrested Lee Har-

vey Oswald and charged him with both crimes. Less than 48 hours later, Oswald himself was slain by Jack Ruby, a night club operator.

One week after the assassination, President Johnson named a seven-man investigating commission, headed by Chief Justice Earl Warren. The commission made its report in September of 1964. The report advanced these conclusions:

"There is no question in the mind of any member of the commission that all the shots which caused the President's and Governor Connally's wounds were fired from the sixth floor window of the Texas School Book Depository. The shots . . . were fired by Lee Harvey Oswald . . . The commission has found no evidence that either Lee Harvey Oswald or Lock Publy was part of any consistence. Oswald or Jack Ruby was part of any conspiracy, domestic or foreign, to assassinate President Kennedy.

THESE CONCLUSIONS were strongly attacked when the Warren Report first appeared. After a few years of quiescense, the controversy now has been revived. Non-believers contend that Kennedy was slain by a conspiracy; and that the Warren Commission collaborated in a massive cover-up to prevent the truth from coming out. They want the investigation reopened.

Some of the critics' arguments strike me as persuasive. But it takes a very accommodating gullet to swallow the conspiracy theory whole, and my present inclination is to stick with the Warren Report.

During the course of its investigation, the commission took testimony from 552 witnesses. The FBI conducted 25,000 interviews and submitted 2300 reports amounting to 25,000 pages. The Secret Service conducted 1550 interviews and made 800 reports of 4600 pages. This tremendous mass of material simply cannot be discarded as so much whitewash.

IN ORDER TO BELIEVE the conspiracy theory, one must believe that all these were parties to a gigantic cover-up: the commission members, the commission staff, the slain President's brother Robert, the President's successor in office, the FBI, the Secret Service, the CIA, and the Dallas police. That is for starters. One must discount the sworn testiments of hellistics assessed to be suited as a second to the suited as a s testimony of ballistics experts, the evidence of Oswald's fingerprints, and the testimony of eyewitnesses

The dissenters ask too much. Yes, the critics have raised some troublesome doubts, but great crimes inevitably produce great doubts. Whole schools of scholars still sift the assassination of Lincoln. You can hear arguments on the role of Brutus in the assassination of Caesar. I wouldn't gag the dissenters for the world — we ought always to pursue truth — but for the moment, I wouldn't buy the hyped-up conjectures they're trying to sell.