## U.S. Requires Loyalty Oath For Passport

Secretary of State William | that suit that, whether or not P. Rogers has reinstated a re- a mandatory oath could be quirement that every person sustained, an optional oath applying for a U.S. passport "unfairly discriminates among be required to take a loyalty United States citizens." oath.

will support and defend the oath for all or for no one. Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance Secretary Rogers had decided to the same; and that I take this obligation freely, without any mental reservations or hearings before Judge Green purpose of evasion, so help me God."

The language has been part of the passport application form for more than a century, according to the State Department. It was made optional five years ago, according to Passport Office Director Frances G. Knight, "to respect the views of the few citizens" who objected.

Now, however, it has been made mandatory again as the ironic result of an American Civil Liberties Union suit designed to achieve the exact opposite effect-that is, to get it

thrown out altogether.

Last July 28, U.S. District
Judge June L. Green ruled in

She gave the State Depart-The oath says: "I do sol- ment until Oct. 31 to make up emnly swear (or affirm) that I its mind what it wanted-a

Yesterday, State Department spokesmen confirmed an Associated Press report that in favor of the mandatory oath.

Now there are to be new on the constitutionality of the oath itself.

The authority on which the Secretary relied for his decision making the oath mandatory, according to State Department spokesman Barrett McGurn, is a section of the U.S. Code that says: "No passport shall be granted or issued to or verified for any other persons than those owing allegiance, whether citizens or not, to the United States."

The prescribed oath, Mc-Gurn said, is viewed as "an af-firmation of that allegiance."

In arguing its case for abolishing the oath, the ACLU charged that the requirement infringed on citizens' First Amendment rights and their "absolute right to freedom of belief."

Federal courts have previously struck down a number of loyalty oaths, but not all that have come before them.

Last June, for example, the Supreme Court upheld a Florida law requiring teachers to swear or affirm their support of the U.S. Constitution or laws. (At the same time, it, held that refusal to take the. oath could not by itself be a basis for a teacher's dismissal.)

In a series of 5-to-4 decisions last February, the Supreme