Hoover and Kennedy Trade New Charges on F.B.I. Use of Listening Devices

By FRED P. GRAHAM

Special to The New York Times WASHINGTON, Dec. 11-J. Edgar Hoover and Robert F. Kennedy made new charges to-day in their dispute over the responsibility for electronic eavesdropping by Federal agents during President Kennedy's Administration.

Mr. Hoover, director of the Federal Bureau of Investiga-tion, branded as "absolutely in-conceivable" Mr. Kennedy's statement yesterday that he had not known about all the bureau's eavesdropping when the was Attorney General.

The was Attorney General. Mr. Kennedy, now the junior Senator from New York, re-plied in a statement that his lack of knowledge of the sur-veillance by the F.B.I. "may seem 'inconceivable' to Mr. Hoover," but "it is nonetheless true." true.

The statement continued: The statement continued: "The first time I became aware of these eavesdropping practices was when they were described in the press in con-nection with the Las Vegas in-vestigation, and I promptly or-dered it ceased. It is curious that Mr. Hoover does not recall this." this.'

2 Occasions Recalled

Mr. Kennedy said that on two occasions during his tenure as Attorney General he had listened to what appeared to have been recorded conversa-tions obtained in organized crime investigations. He said there had been no indication that they had been obtained illegally or that Federal agents had obtained them. Spokesmen in Mr. Kennedy's office have told newsmen of re-ports that Mr. Hoover may pro-duce evidence that Mr. Kennedy listened to tapes of conversa-tions picked up by electronic devices in Chicago and New York. Mr. Kennedy said that on two

devices in Chicago and New York. "Although Mr. Hoover says that this activity was intensi-fied while I was Attorney Gen-eral and implied that we dis-cussed it, the fact is that he never discussed this highly im-portant matter with me, and no evidence exists supporting his recollection that we did," Mr. Kennedy said.

Kennedy said. "Indeed, there is no indication

"Indeed, there is no indication that Mr. Hoover ever asked me for authorization for any single bugging device, in Las Vegas, New York, Washington or any-where else." He accused Mr. Hoover of "selectively making document public" and challenged him to make his entire file available, including information on wheth-er any previous Attorneys Gen-eral "were as uninformed as I was."

Document With Letter

The public dispute was touched off yesterday when Represent-ative H. R. Gross, Republican of Iowa, released a letter from Mr. Hoover in which he said the bureau's eavesdropping had been done with the knowledge, approval and encouragement of Mr. Kennedy.

The Hoover letter was accom-panied by a document dated Aug. 17, 1961, which discussed the use of hidden microphones in internal security, and major crime cases and bore the Kennedy signature.

In today's exchange, Mr. Hoover produced another docu-ment, signed by a former offi-backed Mr. Kennedy's version of the events.

In yesterday's charges, Mr Kennedy's office released a letter to Mr. Kennedy from Courtney A. Evans, the assistant Courtney A. Evans, the assistant director of the F.B.I. who had acted as liaison between Mr. Hoover and Mr. Kennedy when the New York Democrat was Attorney General. Mr. Evans's letter, dated Feb. 17, 1966, noted the distinction between wiretaps, which are used to intercept telephone calls, and bugging devices.

calls, and bugging device which are hidden microphones. calls.

Referring to the bugs, he said: "I did not discuss the use of

"I did not discuss the use of these devices with you in na-tional security or other cases, nor do I know of any written material that was sent to you at any time concerning this procedure, or concerning the use, specific location or other details as to installation of any such device in Las Vegas, Nevada, or anywhere else." Today Mr. Hoover released to

Today Mr. Hoover released a memorandum from Mr. Evans to a superior, a man identified only as Mr. Belmont, dated July 7, 1961. It said:

'We Had Taken Action'

"In line with the director's approval, the Attorney General was contacted this morning, July 7, 1961, relative to his ob-servation as to the possibility of utilizing "electronic devices" in organized crime investiga-tions. tions

"It was pointed out to the Attorney General that we had taken action with regard to the use of microphone surveillances in these cases and while they represented an expensive inrepresented an expensive in-vestigative step, we were never-theless utilizing them in all in-stances where this was techni-cally feasible and where valu-able information might be ex-pected. "The strong objections to the utilization of telephone taps as contrasted to microphone sur-veillance were streased.

"The Attorney General stated he recobnized the reasons why telephone taps should be re-stricted to national defense-type cases and he was pleased we had been using microphone sur-veillances where these objec-tions do not apply wherever possible in organized crime matters." In his statement today. Mr.

In his statement today, Mr. Hoover cited this document and another by Mr. Evans that ac-companied the Kennedy document of Aug. 17, 1961, as proof that "the F.B.I.'s use of micro-phone and wiretap surveillance was known to and approved by Mr. Kennedy."

Mr. Kennedy replied that "I believe Mr. Evans was telling the truth in his letter to me dated Feb. 17, 1966.".

He also Heard Tape

He also Heard Tape William G. Hundley, chief of the Justice Department's or-ganized crime section under Mr. Kennedy and now assistant to Commissioner Pete Rozelle of the National Football League, said in an interview that he had been present in Chicago when a tape of a conversation was played in Mr. Kennedy's presence. "No explanation was made as

"No explanation was made as to how the tape was obtained or by whom," he said. The one thing I'm positive of is that Kennedy said nothing.

The conversation appeared to be between two individuals combe between two individuals com-plaining that an honest police captain had been appointed, Mr. Hundley said. He said that there had been nothing to sug-gest that the tape might have been illegally obtained. "I never, never discussed the problem of F.B.I. devices with him [Mr. Kennedy]," Mr. Hund-ley said.

him [Mr. Remacy], ley said. He said the F.B.I. had always checked with him before it planted devices of questionable

planted devices ... legality. "I assumed they would clear with him any bug that clearly was not legal," he said. "I have found that that's not true."