LAWYERS FOR RUBY **DISPUTE 'ERRORS'**

Texas Appeals Court Gets Many Pleas for New Trial

Special to The New York Times

AUSTIN, Tex., June 24 — Reasons why Jack Ruby should have a new trial were given today before the three-man Texas Court of Criminal Appeals by his lawyers.

Ruby is appealing the death penalty for the shooting of Lee Harvey Oswald, President Kennedy's assassin.

The judges had their two commissioner assistants sitting in, but the decision will be up to the presiding judge, William T. McDonald, along with Judges William A. Morrison and Ken-neth K. Woodley. A decision is not expected until Oct. 5, when the court returns from its summer recess. Briefs may be filed as late as Aug. 20.

A new trial was required by court decision, the Ruby attor-neys argued, because public opinion was so inflamed in Dallas that the trial should have been moved elsewhere and because 11 of the 12 jurors had been witnesses to the killing on television. Oswald was killed Nov. 24, 1963, in the basement of the Dallas City Hall by a single shot from Ruby's pistol.

'Murder With Malice'

A major argument concerned testimony by Sgt. Patrick T. Dean of the Dallas police as to what Mr. Ruby had said on the question of motive.

The conviction was for "mur-der with malice," and Sergeant Dean testified that Ruby said he had decided two days earlier, when he saw Oswald at the city police assembly room, to kill him if he got the chance.

Sam Houston Clinton Jr., Joe Tonahill (a Jasper attorney Mr. Ruby had dismissed who was allowed to argue as a friend of the court) and Elmer Gertz of

allowed to argue as a friend of the court) and Elmer Gertz of Chicago were among those who argued for Ruby. They maintained that they had learned from the Warren Commission report that a Se-cret Service agent, Forrest Sor-rel, who quizzed Ruby with Sergeant Dean present, had testified that Ruby had said nothing to show premeditation. The Ruby team hammered on the location of the trial and the "circus" atmosphere in and around the courtroom. They also argued that Judge Brown : had hurried the trial.

161 of 162 Saw Slaying

161 of 162 Saw Slaying The manuscript of a book on the trial by the judge was quoted at length by Mr. Gertz. Judge Brown wrote that the corridors and streets outside the courtroom resembled a cir-cus and that if he had to try the case again, he would have ad-mitted only a dozen reporters to the courtroom on a pool basis. Phil Burleson, a lawyer from Dallas, pointed out that of the ing to Mr. Ruby that what he

Brown had committed errors by refusing to hear witnesses on

Phil Burleson, a lawyer from Dallas, pointed out that of the 162 Dallas citizens considered for jury duty, only one had not seen the slaying of Oswald on television. Sol Dann of Detroit attacked the fact that the trial went on though Judge Brown was ill J. Frank Wilson substituting While two jurors were chosen. He also filed a report by two interviews with Dallas though Judge Brown has ill pesidents that he had showed one day, with District Judge the way the press, radio and J. Frank Wilson substituting while two jurors were chosen. He also filed are port by two interviews with Dallas the way the press, radio and las public opinion so much that He also argued that Judge a fair trial there was imposible.