25' JUNE8 THE NEW YORK TIMES,

Text of Johnson's Gun Control Plea

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 24-Following is the text of President Johnson's message to Congress proposing tighter gun controls:

Within the hour, in some city in America, a gun shot will ring out. And someone will fall dead or wounded.

Unless we act purposefully to prevent it, reckless and wild gunfire will be heard again—tomorrow, the day after and all the days to follow.

-As it was last Tuesday, when a 71-year-old gas sta-tion attendant was shot to death in the course of a \$75 armed robbery;

-As it was last Wednes-day, in Graceville, Fla., when a mental patient shot a 3-year-old boy through the back of the head;

back of the head;
—As it was last Thursday, in Chicago, when a young man was killed and three others injured by shotgun blasts fired by a band of rov-ing teen-agers.
These tragedies are im-bedded in the grim statistics of death and destruction at gun point. The terrible toll is rising.
The latest report of the Federal Bureau of Investiga-tion documents a shocking increase in crimes where deadly weapons are the in-struments of violence.

struments of violence.

Figures on Murders

In 1967, there were: —7,700 murders with guns. In 1966 there were 6,500. —55,000 aggravated as-saults with guns. In 1966 there were 43,000. —Over 71,000 robberies with guns. In 1966 there were 60,000. It took this country nearly two centuries to respond to

two centuries to respond to the danger of guns in crim-inal and incompetent hands. The first Federal action came in the early 1930's, when the Congress enacted safeguards controlling the use of sawed-off shotguns and submachine guns to answer the public indignation and fear arising out of organized gang wars in the cities.

In the cities. But very little was done in the next three decades, while the velocity of speeding bul-lets exacted their deadly toll lets exacted their deadly toll across America. Alone among the modern nations of the world, we remained without the gun control laws that other countries accept as an elementary need and condi-tion of life. We can see the difference in the last reported compari-sons of homicides by gunfire. Out of 15 countries report.

Out of 15 countries report-ing, the United States ranked worst—with a rate of 2.7 gun murders per 100,000 population. Here are some



President Johnson reads a message he sent Congress.

of the statistics:

-2.7 for the United States .03 in the Netherlands and

2.7 for the United States
 0.5 in England and Wales.
 2.7 for the United States
 .12 in West Germany and
 .70 i nItaly.
 2.7 for the United States
 .52 in Canada and .26 in

Belgium. Since I first became Presi-

dent, I have fought for strong gun control laws.

Now—at long last—we have begun to wove. When I signed the Safe Streets Act last week, Ameri-

streets Act last week, Ameri-ca took the first major step to control deadly firearms. That measure outlawed the interstate traffic in handguns and prohibited the sale of these small and lethal weapons to minors. We are now within sight

of the second major step-the control of interstate traffic in shotguns, rifles and ammunition, as I requested of the Congress on June 6. I hope he Congress will move with the greatest speed to complete its action on this

complete its action on this proposal for protection. But even before that step is finally completed, we must look to the next advance for the safety of the American people.

With the enactment With the enactment of these measures, we will have constructed the nation's first foundation upon which the states can build and develop their own gun control laws. Without this bulwark of in-terstate protection, even the best state laws would be exercises in futility. To assure the protection of

of our people, Federal laws need two additional rein-forcements:

¶A national registration of all firearms, both those al-ready in private hands and those acquired in the future. GFederal licensing of all possessors of firearms in those states whose laws fail to meet minimum Federal

standards. Registration and licensing have long been an accepted part of daily life in America. Automobiles, boats — even dogs and bicycles in many communities—are commonly registered. Our citizens must get licenses to fish, to hunt and to drive. Certainly no less should be required for the possession of lethal weapons that have caused so much horror and heartbreak in this country. Surely the slight inconvenience for the few is minimal, when meas-ured against protection for all. Registration and licensing all.

Propose, first, the national registration of every gun in America.

America. There are now more fire-arms than families in Amer-ica. The estimates range be-tween 50 and 100 million guns in this country. Last year more than 3 million guns were added to private stocks, building a massive arsenal which arms the mur-derer and the robber. Registration will tell us how many guns there are, where they are and in whose hands they are held. Car registrations has been

Car registrations has been the major factor in solving hit-and-run auto deaths. The new National Crime Information Center, operated by the Federal Bureau of Investiga-tion, has already begun to compile and computerize data on stolen automobiles, stolen guns, fugitives from justice and other criminal activities. Now, for the first time, computer technology has made the national registration of guns practical and workable. The registration of guns can be fed into a computer bank at the National Crime Information Center. Through this system, the owner of a gun anywhere in the country can be identified in a matter of seconds.

Licenses Urged

'Second, I propose that every individual in this coun-try be required to obtain a license before he is entrust-ed with a war

license before he is entrust-ed with a gun. Every murder by gunfire is a criminal confrontation in which—by design or through a conspiracy of events—the criminal faces his victim through the telescope cross-hairs of a rifle or over the barrel of a pistol. An in-flamed moment seizes the criminal's mind, and his fin-

ger presses the trigger. We may never be able to keep that criminal mind from erupting into violence, but we can stay the finger that squeezes the trigger — by keeping the gun out of the murderer's hand.

The surest route to accom-plish this is to require every person who wants a gun to be licensed, first proving that he meets the qualifica-

that he meets the qualifica-tions. The initiative for licensing should, of course, rest with the states, for there licensing can most effectively be car-ried out. Some states have already enacted comprehen-

sive licensing laws which pre-vent the vicious, the irrespon-sible and the insane from ac-quiring firearms. In New Jersey, for ex-ample, which has had a li-censing law for only a short period of time, over 1,500 dis-qualified persons have been denied access to lethal weap-ons. And states which have licensing requirements have lower homicide rates. The states are now work-

The states are now work-ing on model gun control laws through a special com-mittee of the National Asso-ciation of Attorneys General, The National Council of State Governments and the Na-tional Governors Conference.

tional Governors Conference. I have urged that their work be expeditiously pursued. To assure uniformity and adequate protection, the law I propose would establish minimum Federal licensing standards. These would pre-vent firearms from being sold to or possessed by criminals to or possessed by criminals, dope addicts, alcoholics, the mentally ill and any others whose possession of guns would be harmful to the pub-lic health, safety or welfare.

A Plea to States

The Federal licensing law would go into effect only in those states without at least comparable standards, and only after the states have been given an opportunity to act first.

act first. I call upon every Governor and state legislature to move as rapidly as they can to enact forceful laws for the protection of their people. Nothing in these proposals will impair the legitimate ownership or use of guns in this country. In other coun-tries which have sensible laws, the hunter and the sportsman thrive. These measures will entail no more inconvenience for the gun owners than dog tags or au-tomobile license plates pose for any citizen.

for any citizen. Nor are they threats to the mystique of manhood or to the heritage of our people. Only the potential murderer's obspace to kill and only the chance to kill and only the potential robber's chance to terrorize are threatened. The only heritage that is

harmed is the record of violent death and destruction that shames our history.

The proposals in this mes-The proposals in this mes-sage are no more and no less than commonsense safe-guards which any civilized nation must apply for the safety of its people. The American people have been too long without them. The cost of inaction through

the decades affronts our conscience.

History Is Cited

Homes and city streets across the nation which might have rung with gun-fire will be spared the trag-edy of senseless slaughter. We will never be able to measure this violence that does not erupt. But our his-tory tells us America will be a safer country if we move

tory tells us America will be a safer country if we move now—once and forever—to complete the protection so long denied our people. I urge the Congress, as I have throughout all the days of my Presidency, to act im-mediately to control inter-state sales of shotguns, rifles and ammunition. Hearings on this legislation have long since been com-pleteed. The legislation has been reported favorably by the House Judiciary Commit-

the House Judiciary Commit-tee and the Juvenile Delintee and the Juvenile Delin-quency Subcommittee of the Senate Judiciary Committee. That legislation — providing basic protection against in-terstate slaughter by fire-arms—should be brought to a vote without delay. Once that foundation of in-terstate protection has been established, the registration and licensing proposals made in this message should be

and licensing proposals made in this message should be enacted. But these proposals afford no justification for de-lay in enacting strong and effective controls over inter-state traffic of deadly weap-ons. Indeed, they build upon the foundation of interstate control which is so essential to their effectiveness. Let us delay no longer in

to their effectiveness. Let us delay no longer in enacting that basic founda-tion of interstate protection and then let us go on to build —through registration and licensing—the kind of pro-tection so long denied the American citizen.