

Smiley - what do you make of this? Dec 1967

Investigator Confirms---

in Berkeley elsewhere

JFK'S KILLERS KNOWN


"The persons that actually participated in the assassination President Kennedy) are known to me.

"The evidence is conclusive that . . . a very powerful dome force planned the events which culminated in the death of President Kennedy.

"When the American people learn for the first time who planned events . . . they are going to be absolutely outraged and shocked stunned. Then I think that there are going to be some very important drastic changes in this country. There will have to be after that dence is known."

ESCAPE FROM SIBERIA
by Ferlinghetti

--pp. 8 and 9



Vol. 4, No. 14, Issue 86 (published weekly) Friday, April 7, 1967
2421 Oregon St., Berkeley, Calif. 94705
Cts. 841-0470, 843-8746

Mark Lane made these startling statements on Mort Sahl's Los Angeles radio program the evening of March 29th. Despite the fact that Lane is one of the leading investigators of the Kennedy assassination, his remarks were ignored by all the news media. Lane's best-seller book "Rush to Judgement" and his investigations prior to publication were major factors demostri Warren Commission's asst that Lee Harvey Oswald wa neddy's lone assassin. Previous to his appearance the Mort Sahl show, Lane refused to conjecture on other possible Kennedy ass These statements are a mar Bay Area residents will a chance to query Lane w

JFK's Killers

from page 1

Friday, April 7, 1967 Page 11

persons involved.

Lane was forcefully impressed by the fact that both he and Garrison have arrived at "exactly the same conclusions" although by different routes.

"I approached the matter by an examination of the empirical evidence regarding what took place in Dallas on November 22nd," Lane noted, whereas Garrison examined "the evidence regarding what it is the conspirators were planning before the assassination took place."

He "concluded that the fatal shot came from behind the wooden fence (on the grassy knoll). He has a rather good idea as to how the two persons behind the fence were able to escape from the scene. He knows," said Lane, "and this is what was the most startling evidence as it was presented."

Lane felt that Garrison had presented the case to him "with such detail that it is impossible not to accept it."

Lane pointed to the fact that Garrison "relies upon various witnesses, not just the one key witness who has testified, called the

key witness by the press but he is a cog, an important one, but one of the many, many equally important cogs." Besides witnesses Lane pointed out that there is "documentary evidence which cannot be denied."

It is Lane's conclusion that Garrison's "massively detailed" evidence is sufficient to show "that very powerful forces" were involved. Moreover Lane emphasized "that the evidence is conclusive that no foreign power was involved, none at all, but a very powerful domestic force."

"The evidence is so conclusive, I believe," said Lane, "that when Garrison walks into that courtroom in New Orleans, and presents it, and when the American people learn for the first time who planned the events, which culminated in the death of President Kennedy, they are going to be outraged, absolutely outraged, and shocked and stunned. Then I think," concluded Lane, "that there are going to be some very important, drastic changes in this country. There will have to be after that evidence is known."

appears in Berkeley later this month.

Immediately upon his return from Europe last month, Lane closeted himself with District Attorney Jim Garrison, the New Orleans DA who is out to get to the bottom of the Kennedy case. Together they reviewed the evidence.

It was during these sessions that Garrison revealed to Lane the identities of the actual assassins.

A few days later he told the whole story to Mort Sahl and his radio audience. The press has not seen fit to inform their readers.

"I sat there stunned," Lane related, "absolutely stunned as the evidence was assembled by Mr. Garrison, who is in my view a very brilliant man."

The two then exchanged information. "I gave him the little bit that I knew about the areas in which he has assembled a vast amount of information," said Lane.

Garrison, however, may not present the facts in his possession to the public or the press prior to trial, according to Lane, because "any conviction which he secures will not stand up based upon the ruling in the Sheppard case and other cases." (These cases involved undue publicity prior to criminal trials.-ed.)

For his part, Lane said he would not violate Garrison's confidence by revealing the names of the

see page 11