MAR 3 1972 TIMES, F

# MRS. ONASSIS HELD The Cloudy Galella-Onassis Case A 'PUBLIC FIGURE'

Issue in Galella Case

#### By MAX H. SEIGEL

totle Onassis.

"There is no doubt in my mind," he said, "that any per-

suit, which seeks to enjoin Ron-ald E. Galella, the freelance photographer, from approach-ing within 200 yards of her apartment house and 100 ing within 200 yards of her apartment house and 100 yards of her to take pictures. "The issue," the judge as-serted, "is whether or not the method, the manner with which these photographs were taken, meets with the approval of the law."

### **Editor Cross-Examined**

Cooper Judge these views during cross-exam-

#### 'Harassment' Alleged

'Harassment' Alleged<br/>After a luncheon recess,<br/>Martin London, one of Mrs.<br/>Onassis' lawyers, spent the<br/>afternoon reading from the pre-<br/>trial deposition of Mr. Galella.<br/>The lawyer explained he was<br/>reading into the court record<br/>surveillance" and the lengths to which the<br/>photographer went to take<br/>photographer went to take<br/>of Mrs. Calella's pretrial<br/>deposition today.The case, in summary, is<br/>this:<br/>Alleged Tort Involved<br/>Mr. Galella charges that Mrs.II<br/>onassis has interfered with his<br/>business of photographing her<br/>surveillance" and the lengths to which the<br/>photographer went to take<br/>of Mrs. Galella's pretrial<br/>deposition today.The case, in summary, is<br/>the case, in summary, is<br/>the case, in summary, is<br/>the case, in summary, is<br/>this:<br/>Alleged Tort Involved<br/>Mr. Galella charges that Mrs.II<br/>onassis has interfered with his<br/>business of photographing her<br/>surveillance" and 'harassment"<br/>of Mrs. Onassis by Mr. Galella<br/>and the lengths to which the<br/>photographer went to take<br/>matts an injunction keeping<br/>hotographer went to take<br/>on any of four grounds: inva-<br/>sion of privacy, harassment, as-<br/>sion of privacy, harassment, as-<br/>sion of privacy, harassment, as-<br/>deposition today.The case, in summary, is<br/>tent to cause severe emotional<br/>distress, and without a<br/>As for the 'intent", Mr.<br/>Galella's defense is that<br/>the has a privilege under the<br/>First Amendment's free-spech<br/>at and says she is entitled to it<br/>Harassment, as defined in the<br/>state's Penal Code, includes the<br/>probably not, he says, violateMr. London will resume read-<br/>ing from Mr. Galella's pretrial<br/>deposition today.The case, in summary, is<br/>the first Amendment.If it can be shown that Mr.<br/>Galella acts from some "patho-<br/>logical" or perverted interest in<br/>the lawyers claim — then re-<br/>sate's Penal Cod

# By LESLEY OELSNER

From the crowded spectators' But Judge Warns That Isn't benches in Federal Court here, it hardly seems serious at alla little dramatic sometimes, perhaps, as when the judge and a lawyer angrily accuse Judge Irving Ben Cooper de-clared in Federal Court here misconduct," but most times So far as the

> in person, and one News Analysis

mind," he said, "that any per-son who has become what we commonly refer to as a public figure — a First Lady of the land certainly falls within that category, whether she wishes that information concerning her is of general interest." But that, he said, is not the issue in Mrs. Onassis' counter-suit, which seeks to enjoin Ron-ulasses to enjoin Ron-war sunglasses because is on the witness is on the witness is on the witness stand, facing a sity of Minnesota Law School, says: "It is a very difficult claim to establish." For one thing, most of the volved alleged interference with competition; there is no such claim here. Second, what is with of mere is has not yet, as plasses after all reduce the is very difficult there is has not yet, as plasses after all reduce the is uncertainty wear sunglasses because in the area have in-such claim here. Second, what is with competition; there is has not yet, as plasses after all reduce the is very difficult is on the witness is on the used is on the witness is on the witness is on the witness is on the used is on the witness is on the mether is on is on the witness is on the witness is on the used is on the witness is on the witness is on the witness is on the used is on the witness is on the w to enjoin Ron-the freelance rom approach-

#### Serious Issue at Stake

But a trial it is. And for all the glamour of Mrs. Onassis and the curious nature of some of the testimony, the issues in-volved are serious indeed.

There are fundamental ques-tions about freedom of the the mined press and about the right to privacy. There are charges and countercharges involving harassment, emotional distress, as-

Mrs. Unassis – of a restraining order. The lawyer clashed briefly with Judge Cooper when the judge sustained an objection to his reading into the record parts of Photoplay articles that dealt with intimate details of Mrs. Onassis' life. She sat ex-pressionless in the courtroom during this reading. Mr. Julien contended the de-tails were essential to his case to prove that what Mr. Galella was charged with seeking to learn about Mrs. Onassis was s little question that she is a public figure." The judge Irv-g Ben Cooper, noted this him-elf yesterday, and most legal axperts agree. And the courts fat he rights of public figures that the ory raises the difficulty figure. Mrs. Onassis accuses Mr. Galella of intentional infliction for emotional distress through in New York it has been con-the nonpublic, basing the istinction on the public's refst Amendment's free-The case, in summary, is Alleged Tort Involved is little question that she is a public fugure. Mrs. Onassis accuses Mr. Galella of intentional infliction in New York it has been con-strued fairly narrowly. And even as it is generally defined, Mr. Keeton notes, a plaintiff must show that the tent to cause severe emotional distress, and without a privilege to do so."

Largely Unclear in Both Suits

sault and the infliction of emo-

yesterday that it would be nor-mal for photographers to want to take pictures of Mrs. Aris-not much more than a bit of healthy fun. There is Mrs. Aristotle Onassis, ence with business relations, most restrictions on the press. ence with business relations, most restrictions on the press, for which one can recover dam- and such an injunction would can stare for for which one can recover dam- and such an injunction would hours. There she ages. But as one torts expert, seem to be a restriction if Mr. is on the witness Robert Keeton of the Univer- Galella is—as he insists he is—

Mr. Keeton puts it, been worked into "objectively appli-cable rules."

cable rules." The outcome thus depends largely on the type an amount of "interference" the claimant can prove. And here Mr. Arthur Miller of the Harvard Calella has a problem, for he has given some contradictory testimony on the alleged inter-ference, such as instances in which Mrs. Onassis supposedly ordered policemen or Secret men to harass or arrest him. According to some torts ex-can prove. And here Mr. Arthur Miller of the Harvard Law School, for instance—one of the countries leading experts on privacy law—believes that a person should have "some right to solitude, even in public figure. The Right to Privacy

According to some torts ex-

The Law at Issue Is "in or about a public place or places." The tort of assault means putting someone in fear of offensive or harful physical touching.

### Injunction a Tough Nut

But even if Mrs. Onassis can prove that Mr. Galella has com-So far as the photographer's mitted either act, can she get

## The Right to Privacy

these views during cross-examination, Al-ination of Miss Bernadette Car-rozza, editor of Photoplay. In he cross-examination, Al-law is unclear and still develop-ting, and the lack of clarity is Galella, continued an effort he further compounded by the fact began Wednesday to test the credibility of the witness. She had testified that Mr. Galella admitted taking photographs of Mrs.Onassis in alleged violation of a restraining order. The lawyer clashed briefly with Judge Cooper when the judge sustained an objection to his reading into the record his reading into the record the mathematical an objection to his reading into the record his