## Confusion Deepens on Probe of Ford Funds

0.7' By Richard Harwood Washington Post Staff Writer

ficials became the principals yesterday in a confusing controversy over President Ford's inpaign funds in Michigan in the decade between volvement in an investigation of the use of cam-1964 and 1974. The Justice Department and some of its of-

Attorney General Edward H. Levi, and that "to the extent I know about it, this involves Department officials, including himself and investigation was launched by various Justice Deputy Attorney General Harold R. Tyler told The Washington Post Thursday that the President Ford."

Within 24 hours he was being quoted by United Press International and The Washington Star as having said that he had no knowledge that Mr. Ford was involved in the investi-

gation and, further, that the investigation did not center on Mr. Ford's congressional cam-paigns during the years in question but rather that it centered on "the 1972 presidential cam-paign" in Mr. Ford's home county.

quoting Tyler as saying essentially what he had said to Post reporter Bob Woodward l'hursday. Simultaneously, the Associated Press was

tions." conform to the Associated Press version, and accounts and not an evaluation of the allegaedge of the case came solely from newspaper Robert Havel, as saying that "Tyler's knowlquoted the Justice Department spokesman, A few hours later, UPI changed its story to

On the heels of all that, Tyler late yesterday called a news conference to clarify the confusion, but when he had finished it remained.

the investigation involved the 1972 presidential campaign. It was correct, he said, that he had told The Post that "to the extent I know about it, this involves President Ford." He was mistaken, he said, in telling UPI that

based largely on news accounts, and he has no personal recollection that Mr. Ford's name allegations. "I can't tell you who was involved, was mentioned in connection with the original he said. But his knowledge of the case, he said, is

In sum, the department's official position is that it is in the dark about the investigation and that only Watergate Special Prosecutor Charles Ruff knows the facts.

Meanwhile, his superior, Attorney General , had nothing to say about the situation

See TYLER, A5, Col. 4

Rumors send stocks tumbling. C9.

## TYLER, From A1

which has embarrassed the President and created a late-blooming campaign issue. Nor was there any comment from the special prosecutor, who is in charge of whatever investigation is under way.

What was clear yesterday was that the department and its officials were putting out conflicting statements. Even as Tyler sought to back off from his statement to The Post on Thursday, an FBI official on the scene in Kent County, Michigan, was asked directly: "Is there any dispute that the President was involved in the allegation?

He replied: "Not that I'm aware of." That answer was consistent with the direction of the FBI probe of Republican committees in Kent County and their use of campaign money for

the period 1964-74.

Officials of these committees, including Thomas Bloodgood, a Republican campaign fund chairman, have been questioned at length by FBI agents as to the possibility that campaign contributions from maritime interests were covertly returned in any

fashion to Mr. Ford.

By Tyler's account on Thursday, the By Tyler's account on Thursday, the investigation was prompted by allegations from an unidentified FBI informer. Tyler said the allegations were discussed by himself, Levi, FBI Director Clarence M. Kelley and FBI Associate Director James Adams. They decided collectively, he said, to refer the matter to Buff who set the refer the matter to Ruff, who set the investigation in motion.

What Tyler knew then or now about the substance of the allegations is unclear, according to his version of the

They involved, he told Woodward hursday, "possible payments to fed-Thursday, "possible payments to federal political candidates, ranking elective officials in Kent County or Grand Rapids [Mr. Ford's hometown] and of

course that means one thing."
What "one thing" did it mean?
At one point, Tyler said, "To the extent I know about it, this invloves President Ford."

At another point, when asked if Mr. Ford was a "target" of the investigation, Tyler said, "I don't even know that."

At still another point, he said, "Most of my information about the investigation [now] comes from newspapers."

There was a further ambiguity and nuance in another Tyler remark in which he ruminated about the prob-lem of conducting investigations against public officials during election campaigns.

"Take these recent allegations that

Ruff is looking into," Tyler remarked."... One wonders about the allegations against Ford. Is it right to have the electorate as well as the man without knowledge [of what the allegations are]?"

Mr. Ford raised that question obliquely in a news conference Thursday. He denied any wrongdoing, referred to the extensive investigation of his personal and political finances prior to his confirmation as Vice President

in 1973, and said, with some emotion: "It is more important to me personally that it be cleared up because I am

very proud of my record of personal integrity and I think that is more important than any impact [the investigation] might have on the election." tion.'

He and others at the White House have said repeatedly that they had no idea what the Justice Department is looking for or what the allegations might be.

But last night Robert J. McBain Sr., Mr. Ford's personal accountant for the past 20 years, was called to the White House from Michigan by Philip Buchen, the President's counsel.