Braniff Fined Record \$300,000 A Discount Scheme, For Illegal Gifts and Ticket Deal Gift to Nixon to CostS Braniff \$300,000 Continued From Page 1, Col. 8 of paying rebates and excessive d

By RICHARD WITKIN

d

n 1

e

\$300,000 resulting from failure contribution to the 1972 reelection campaign of President Richard M. Nixon and from a \$1 million scheme to hide ticket discounts, the Civil Aeronautics Board announced yesterday in Washington.

In another case, involving \$54,000 in illegal campaign contributions to the Nixon campaign, Dr. Armand Hammer, chairman of the Occidental Petroleum Corporation, was fined \$3,000 in Los Angeles and placed on probation for a year. [Page 47.]

The C.A.B. said in the Braniff case that the rebating scheme had come to light in the course of "exhaustive field investigations in Dallas and Panama City, Panama, aimed at 'tracing Continued on Page 57, Column 4

AT No. 1 Times Square you deal with No. 1. Alex Parker, the owner, rather than a corp. You'll get a good deal whatever your office space requirements. Telephone him at 354-8860. Advt.

WG

the source of the \$40,000' polit-throughout Latin America." ical donation."

Among the Braniff officials named in the settlement were \$5,000. Harding L. Lawrence, its chief Major segments of the airline executive officer, and C. Ed-industry, both here and abroad, ward Acker, who was president have been involved in the busiuntil his resignation in October, 1975.

The highest previous civil penalty paid the C.A.B. was a \$150,000 fine imposed on Amer-ican Airlines in May, 1975, for illegally channeling about \$275,-000 to various candidates for Federal and state offices be-tween 1964 and 1973. Sepecially widespread when the airlines began to suffer heavy financial losses two or three years ago because of the reces-sion and the huge over-supply of seats on excessively large fleets of new jumbo-jet aircraft. Last Semtember, 19 United States and foreign airlines serv-

Among the donations was \$55,000 of company funds con-tributed to the 1972 Nixon cam-paign — an action that appar-ently hastened the departure of George A. Spater as com-pany, chairman in late 1973. New York and Tork and the serv-ing the heavily traveled North Atlantic market were fined a total of \$655,000 after pleading no contest to charges of giving rebates to both passengers and travel agents. Pacific Area Affected

News of the American Air-News of the American An-lines contribution in the sum-mer of 1973 was followed soon by disclosure of the \$40,000 Braniff contribution, which came on top of an initial per-sonal contribution of \$10,000 from Mr. Lawrence to the Nixon fund. But it was not until yesterday that details on the source of the \$40,000 in com-pany funds emerged.

Off-Book Cash

The C.A.B. announcement said Braniff and some of its top officials had been charged with "unlawfully distributing airline tickets with a face value of almost \$1-million for the pur-pose of generating off-the-books cash" — the apparent source of the \$40,000 contri-bution bution.

C.A.B. announcement The also said Braniff had "acknow-edged selling the special tick-ets principally for the purpose criminal indictments.

In November, 1973, Mr. Law-

By Richard Within Braniff Airways has agreed to a record civil penalty of 3 300,000 resulting from failure to report an illegal \$40,000 contribution to the 1972 re-election campaign of President the airline was fined

ness of under-the-table dis-counts. The practice became especially widespread when the

States and foreign airlines serv-

Pacific Area Affected

The Federal Government recently instituted similar pro-ceedings in California against 13 United States and foreign airlines charged with illegal re-bating practices in the Pacific market market.

Referring to the previous cases, the C.A.B. noted yester-day that the Braniff settlement "would be the first to cover Latin American markets."

Despite the agency's broad campaign to rid the industry of the illegal discounting, at least in this country, there have been persisting reports that the practice is still fairly wide-surged have spread here.

Many industry observers have predicted for a long time that the practice could not be completely brought under con-trol unless the Government eventually handed down some