a succession of attorneys general. all other government processes should be free of politics, has been abused by

tiality, moreover, has become more pronounced in the past 35 years. ical expediency ahead of legal impar-The disturbing habit of putting polit-

that the study has now been sup-Judiciary Committee study, which has traced the history of the Justice Department back to the beginning. Indeed, the findings are so embarrassing These are the findings of a House

more had to be done. The subject matter Rodino (D-N.J.) ordered it withter has been broadened," he said, "but held. A spokesman for Rodino said the study was "only a beginning . . . a lot leg copy, which was already in page the inquiry will continue." proofs before Judiciary Chairman Pe-However, we have obtained a boot

and manipulation." Here are some of bluntly that the Justice Department the worst abuses: "has been vulnerable to political abuse The suppressed study declares

top with the President," who seek "to political officials, starting at the influence Department of Justice deciand monitoring procedures regulating • There is an "absence of guidelines

ulated to serve political purposes." "safeguards to prevent its intelligencegathering activities from being manip-• The Justice Department lacks

ous prosecution."

• Regulations are lacking "to prethe political consequences of a vigorserve "an administration sensitive to violations of law," yet at the same time • The department "must prosecute

from using their offices as bases for political activity." vent high Justice Department officials

The Power to Prosecute—And Its Abuse "The suppressed study declares bluntly that to political abuse and manipulation." the Justice Department has been vulnerable

proper political pressures applied to the department." form "effective oversight . . . (of) im-

signed to the project. Congress research specialists were asing Office investigators and Library of ary staff members, General Accountoversight investigation. House Judicibe a starting point for just such an Ironically, the study was supposed to

ers an estimated \$50,000. research has already cost the taxpayanalyzes what's wrong with the Justice Department's antitrust division. The ports. One is entitled "Politics and the Administration of Justice." The other They have completed at least two re-

Yet the publication of both reports has been blocked. By publishing the force them into the open. highlights, we may now be able

attorney general who will." bluntly: "Sir, you must find a law auing legal justification for depositing son's administration, the first report government funds in certain banks ackson told his attorney jected to White House pressure. Seeknotes, the attorney general was sub-As early as President Andrew Jack

duties that were not compromised by nonjudicial considerations." foremost as law officers, with legal neys general conceived of themselves "the most eminent of the early attor-"Nevertheless," declares the study,

This noble concept changed when

attorney general had only a small in 1870. For the previous 100 years, the the Justice Department was organized

ing of service to the political needs of see their ultimate responsibility as betheir obligations. (They) appeared to appeared to take a different view of After 1870, "many attorneys general

in politics than for their eminence in torneys general "who were more disthe legal profession." tinguished for their past involvements Presidents also began to choose at-

solely legal experience or distinction." "classified as men of solely or almost have served since 1870, the report identified only seven who could be have served since 1870, Of the 40 attorneys general who

law enforcement officer prior to becoming the nation's chief visers, aides or campaign surrogates general served either as managers, adthe 14 men who served as attorneys 35 years, the study found that "11 of politicized since 1940. During the past The department has become more

cuted on nonlegal grounds." ties have been those who were prosesions," charges the report. "The casualcal considerations to shape their deci-Worse, many of them "allowed politi-

ble to compromising pressures if lefpaign laws or wrongdoing by persons the study warns that such probes, whether involving violation of camof the Executive Branch, are vulnera-Citing the Watergate investigation,

cution. Prior to Watergate, Dome and the Truman tax scandals. stances—the Whiskey Ring, Teapot the Executive Branch" gate allegations of malfeasance against prosecutors were appointed "to investiecutors hasn't assured impartial prose-Yet the appointment of special pros-

in three

attorneys who serve at the President's to the direction of Justice Department

ued to act under presidential supervieyes of the presidents who appointed them, the special prosecutors continpolitically embarrassing ... In the and nature of the investigation proved cial prosecutors when the direction Grant and Truman "fired their spefirst special prosecutor, Presidents Just as President Nixon fired his

posed to prosecute. from the executives they were suplaws" divorcing the special prosecutors "failed to bring about enactment of misdeeds, past scandals completely pendent investigations of executive Despite the obvious need for inde-

doing that might have been perpeinvestigate and prosecute any wrong-Branch retained the responsibility to therefore, "an implicated Executive When the lid blew off Watergate,

vulnerable to the very forms of political pressure that have weakened it in Department of Justice, continues to be charged with enforcing the law, the "that the integrity of the agency "It is ironic," concludes the report

lawmakers who obstruct reforms restore integrity to the judicial processes, of course, by voting against the tle hope that the abuses will be pre-House Judiciary Committee offers vented in the future. The public can By refusing to publish the study, the

©1975, United Feature Syndicate, Inc.