Jacobsen Concedes Uncertainty On Some Details About Connally

NYTimes by James m. naughton APR 9 1975 Special to The New York Times

incertain of some details of "obligated" to Mr. Connally for his account of an alleged \$10,- having helped to win an in-000 payoff to the former Trea-crease in Federal milk price sury Secretary in 1971.

But Mr. Jacobsen, completing a half of cross-examination by Lilly's testimony did not constithe defense, did not budge from the main thread of his payoff accusation, and Government prosecutors sought to bolster it with testimony from other witnesses.

Among the witnesses who followed Mr. Jacobsen to the stand in United States District Court was Bob A. Lilly, a former assistant to the general manager of Associated Milk Producers, Inc., the source of the alleged payoff funds.

Mr. Lilly testified this after-

WASHINGTON, April 8 — noon that he provided \$10,000 of the dairy farmer cooperament's central witness in the bribery trial of John B. Connally, conceded today that he was said that the organization was supports.

Chief Judge George L. Hart his testimony after a day and Jr. cautioned the jury that Mr.

Continued on Page 21, Column 1

NEWS INDEX

Page About New York	Movies
Letters38	TV and Radio 71

LEARN TO SHOPWELL Advt.

Continued From Page 1, Col. 2

sen to Mr. Connally.

Moreover, Mr. Lilly also affirmed that he provided an additional \$5,000 in late 1971 after Mr. Jacobsen told him that the farmer cooperative should give more money to Mr. Connally.

The defense, contending that Mr. Jacobsen embezzled all \$15,000 of the cooperative's funds, drew from Mr. Jacobsen that he might have received the extra \$5,000, but could not recall having given it to Mr.

Connally.

prosecution that Mr. Connally, in furtherance of a cover-up scheme, had given him the \$10,-000 on Oct. 29, 1973, in a cigar box that also contained one or two rubber gloves.

Citing earlier private testimony by Mr. Jacobsen to Government prosecutors and a Federal grand jury, Mr. Williams demanded to know why Mr. Jacobsen had initially said that only one rubber glove had been in the boi.

"What was it that changed your recollection from it being 'a glove' to 'a glove or gloves'?" the defense attorney asked.

"Just the logic of it," Mr.

Most of the courtroom cross-currents in the trial's fifth day centered on the defense's ef-forts to impugn Mr. Jacobsen's account of allege dattempts by Mr. Connally to cover up the payoff in 1973 and on the prosecution is efforts to shore up the account.

At one point, as Mr. Williams cross-examined Mr. Jacobsen, three stacks of currency totaling \$10,000 lay on the front of the blond-panled witness box. Jurors craned to see the money. money.

tute evidence that the money week under questioning by the Mr. Jacobsen testified last had ever gone from Mr. Jacob-prosecution that Mr. connally,

Jacobsen replied.

hardly handle money with one glove," and that he had therefore amended his testimony. Mr. Williams also asked whether the cigar box had been large enough to contain 50 or 125 cigars.

25 cigars. "I don't know," Mr. Jacobsen

answered.

Later, the defense lawyer asked why Mr. Jackobsen had told prosecutors at first that he had left the cigar box in Mr. Connally's law office in Houston and later testified that he had taken the box with him to Austin and thrown it away.

Tone Is Sarcastic
Referring to Mr. Jacobsen swife, Mr. Williams asked in sarcastic tones, "Did yon tell her she should be careful, because you had \$10,000 in the nightstand?"

"No, sir," Mr. Jacobsen replied softly.

Mr. Jacobsen insisted that The witness said that it had he could not "recall" having occurred to him "you couldn't stated that he had left the

Mr. Williams hlso sought to ridicule the prosecution witness's assertion that, for some four months, Mr. Jacobsen had kept \$10,000 of the alleged cover-up cash in a drawer of the nightstand in his bedroom.

Tone Is Sarcastic

The cross-examination, at times rigorous, prompted Judge Hart to admonish Mr. Williams several times against repetitive questioning that evidently was meant to dramatize lapses in Mr. Jacobsen's memory.

"You're not going to ask the same question half a dozen times," the judge said to Mr. Williams at one point.

"Well," replied Mr. Williams, "when he makes an incredible statement . ."

Judge Hart cut him off, saying, "We're not going to have any speeches."

Prosecution witnesses who followed Mr. Jacobsen to the witness box generally affirmed the chronology of Mr. Jacobsen began cooperating with prosecutors last year.

The defense had tried this morning to shake Mr. Jacobsen's recollection of an early morning meeting with Mr. Connally in an Austin hotel on Oct. 26, 1973—the day, according to Mr. Jacobsen, the coverup plan was devised.

Prosecutors drew from Sammie Barnet, an assistant head waiter at the hotel, the testimony that he had served coftee, bacon and toast to Mr. Connally and Mr. Jacobsen when the meeting was alleged to have occurred.

Among several other witnesses was John C. Mead Jr., a former pilot for an Austin in the subsequent defense presentation, Mr. Connally will take the had flown Mr. Jacobsen the stand.