S.E.C. Lays Fraud To Hughes on Deal

Billionaire and Nine Others Are Sued on Take-Over of Air West—Return of \$48-Million in Profits Demanded

By FELIX BELAIR Jr'

Special to The New York Tin

Suit Names Maker

WASHINGTON, March 27— a break in their relations; ChesThe Securities and Exchange ter C. Davis, chief counsel for Commission accused Howard R. Hughes today fraud and manipulation in connection with the billionaire's acquisition in 1969 of Air West, which serves 69 cities iA the Westerh United States, Canada and Mexico.

In a complaiUt filed with the Federal District Court for Northern California, the commission asked that Hughes and Mr.! nine other defehdants be enjoined from further violation of Federal sGcurqtqs laws and requqred to surrender an estimated \$48-million of alleged illicit profits resulting from the acquisition.

Suit Names Maker

The Abrahama Corporation, and David Charnay, president of the summa Corporation and motion picture company in Los Angeles.

The other individuals named in the complaint were George Crockett, a long-time friend of in the complaint were George Crockett, a long-time friend of in the complaint

Material Held Misleading

Suit Names Maker

In addit In to the Summa Corporation, wholly owned by Mr. Hughes and Hughes Air West, the complaint named Herman Greenspun, owner and publisher of The Las Vegas Sun, and James (Jimmy the Greek) Shyder, Las Vegas oddsmaker who is also a public relations consultant.

Also cited in the suit were Robert A Maheu, former head of the Hughes hotel and gambling interests in Las Vegas but now a bitter opponent in suit and countersuit following.

Material Held Misleading

The complaint alleged that Mr. Hughes, Mr. Davis, Mr. Maheu, Mr. Snyder and the Summa Corroration mounted an illicit publicity campaign in 1968 intended to influence the directors and shareholders of Air West to approve a cash purchase contract by which Mr. Hughes would acquire their holdings at \$22 a share.

The S.E.C. said the publicity material circulated by Mr. Snyder and his agent was false but now a bitter opponent in suit and countersuit following.

Continued From Page 37

made such statements received contributions from defendant Hughes and his agents." It said that throughout the publicity campaign it was made to appear that the persons making the statement were acting independently and without any connection to the five defendants.

Misrepresentation Charged

Another allegation of the Missing to Sunt Charged.

Pressure Tactic

Thereafter and as part of the commission's civil action today were the basis of separate criminal indictments for conspiracy returned against most of the defendants named today.

Air West stock on the American Stock Exchange, dumping 47,000 shares at the behest of the five Hughes defendants.

The sale allegedly caused Air West stock of the five Hughes defendants.

The sale allegedly caused Air West stock of the defendants.

The sale allegedly caused Air West stock of the defendants.

and misleading because it failed to disclose that the \$22 offer was predicated on a condition of Air West's net worth mounting to at least \$21 million. The defendants knew or should have known Air West's inabilition, the complaint said.

It was further alleged that the five defendants "caused certain well known and influential persons, including certain prominent political figures, to issue public statements which were critical of the management and orerations of Air West and stated a position in favor of Air West by defendants Hughes and Summa."

The complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent political figures, to lateral the five defendants which were critical of the management and orerations of Air west by defendants Hughes and Summa."

The complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent of the prominent of the complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent of the prominent of the complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent of the prominent of the complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent of the prominent of the prominent political figures, to issue public statements which were critical of the management and orerations of Air West by defendants Hughes and Summa."

The complaint went on to say that "certain of the prominent of the prominent of the prominent political figures, to issue public statements which were critical of the management and orerations of Air West by defendants solicited proxy mate of the amount that would be recovered from Mr' Hughes and Summa share, Air West approved the offer by a margin of just over 2 per cent more votes than the directors of the carrier refused to execute the purchase contract in mate of the amount that would be recovered from Mr' Hughes and Summa S

Misrepresentation Charged

Another allegation of the complaint was that Mr. Davis in October, 1968, falsely represented to Air West on behalf of Mr. Hughes and Summa that votes and apprived acceptance "it need not concern itself with the net worth condition inasmuch as Hughes and Summa that would be pliable with regard to the net worth condition and that Air West directors relied million less than they would

on these representations in have received under the con-

and misleading because it failed to disclose that the \$22 offer In December, 1968, after the stated by S.E.C. officials in their esti-