Democrats' Lists Of '70-'71 Finances Are Subpoenaed

By Brooks Jackson Associated Press

ecution Force has subpoenaed the Corrupt Practices Act may finance reports of the Demo-still be prosecuted if it occurcratic Party for 1970 and 1971 red within the last five years. in a grand jury investigation campaign reporting law.

spokesman for the Watergate olation of the disclosure reprosecutors declined comment quirements of the Corrupt yesterday on the investigation. Practices Act.
Strauss also declined a report er's request to view copies of

The subpoenaed reports cover the period in which Strauss, who was then party treasurer, accepted a \$50,000 cash gift from Ashland Oil, Inc. The oil company admitted in court last December that the money was an illegal corporation donation.

Strauss has said he was unaware of the illegal nature of uments ruled as relevant by the gift. He also has said he did not disclose the identity of Judge George L. Hart Jr. the donor in public reports that he filed at the time, and that he included it instead under un-itemized miscellaneous contributions.

The Corrupt Practices Act, which was in force at the time, required that political committees operating in two or more states disclose publicly the names and addresses of all donors of \$100 or more. Strauss has said he felt the \$50,000 gift had come originally from many donors who each gave less than \$100, and so he did not feel obliged to report the source.

No further prosecution can be brought for the illegal \$50, 000 gift itself because on New Year's Day a new law shortened the statute of limitations on such matters from five years to three. Ashland said the gift was made sometime before February, 1972, putting it out of reach now.

However, any violation of

The Watergate Special Pros- the reporting requirements of

Assistant Special Prosecutor into possible violation of a Earl Galus stated in his subpoena for the party's 1970 and Democratic National Chair 1971 records that the grand man Robert S. Strauss and a jury was probing a possible vi-

Galus signed the subpoena Jan. 30, and it was made pubthe subpoenaed documents, which are no longer public. atives last Thursday. The sub-poena was issued by the clerk of the House, who has custody of the reports filed under the act. Since these reports are sealed after they become more than two years old, a vote of the House is required to comply with any subpoenas for them. The House voted to supply certified copies of any doc-

> Conviction of violating the reporting requirement is a misdemeanor punishable by up to one year in jail and a \$1,000 fine.