# Admits \$170,000 Illegal Gifts

By ANTHONY RIPLEY clal to The New York Times

WASHIGTON, Dec. 30—In a campaigns, Ashland Oil, Inc., acknowledged today that it gave an additional \$170,000 in company funds to prominent politicians of both major parbilities from 1070 to 1073 ties from 1970 to 1972.

pany pleaded guilty more than the following:
a year ago to giving illegally \$100,000 to Richard M. Nixon's from June, 1970, to February, Continued on Page 6, Column 6

mittee.

second round of answering appeared in Federal District mittee, for the committee's use. charges of making illegal corporate contributions to political five new charges brought by from September, 1971, to Febru-

Presidential re-election com- 1972, to Robert S. Straus, then treasurer and now chairman of Today, lawyers for Ashland the Democratic National Com-

tion" to the Senate and House The illegal contributions listed of Representatives and to po-The Kentucky-based oil com- in the new charges included litical committeemen. Mr. Ar-

## Continued From Page 1, Col. 7

the Presidential bid of Representative Wilbur D. Democrat of Arkansas.

¶\$6,864.65 spent by the cor-¶\$6,864.65 spent by the corporation from July, 1970, to September, 1972, to reimburse Ashland employes for political gifts to committees supporting the 1970 Senate race of Senator Hubert H. Humphrey, Democrat of Minnesota, and his bid for the 1972 Democratic Presidential nomination.

¶\$2,500 spent in February, 1972, to reimburse Ashland employes for a contribution to the Friends of Tower political committee supporting the re-election of Senator John G. Tower, Republican of Texas.

¶\$10,000 contributed April 4, 1972, for Louie B. Nunn, former Republican Governor of Kentucky, who ran unsuccessfully for the United States Senate in

#### **Earlier Gifts**

Earlier Gifts

In addition to these gifts and the \$100,000 contribution to Mr. Nixon's re-election campaign, Ashland officials also said that the corporation gave \$50,000 to the Democratic Presidential campaign in 1968 and \$100,000 to the Republican campaign in that year.

However, no charges were brought over the 1968 Presidential campaign contributions.

tial campaign contributions. They apparently were off-limits for the Watergate special prosecutor because of a five-

prosecutor because of a five-year statute of limitations. That limitation has been further reduced to three years by the Federal Election Cam-paign Act, which takes effect Wednesday. The new law makes the three-year limitation retroactive. retroactive.

The new campaign financing law/forced the special prosecutor to act before the new year in the Ashland case' according to a spokesman for the offic,e James S. Doyle. He added that the special prosecution force the special prosecution force would continue its criminal investigations into the Ashland matter "to the extent the new statute allows."

#### **New Counsel**

Charles A. McNelis, a Washington lawyer, represented Ashland before Judge Hart today, along with Arloe W. Mayne, the company's general counsel. Ashland had earlier been represented by Fred M. Vinson Jr., of Washington, when it pleaded guilty and wasfined \$5,000 on its first court appearance.

The special prosecution force Charles A. McNelis, a Wash-

The special prosecution force had thought that the full extent of Ashland's contributions were discovered in the first investi-gation, according to Thomas F. McBride, who handled the case for the special prosecutor.

However, in an unusual appendix to the charged filed to-

pendix to the charged filed to-day, the special prosecutor said that Oren E. Atkins, chairman of Ashland, had denied making other contributions to Congres-sional campaigns. The state-ment continued.

"At the time that answer was, given, both he [Mr. Atkins] and Mr. Vinson were aware that Ashland had, in fact, made such contributions, though they were not aware of the exact de-tails or extent of such contributails or extent of such contribu-tions."

tions."
The appendix continued that Mr. Vinson then drafted a letter to Mr. McBride intended to alert the special prosecutor's

office to look for more funds and for "rehabilitating Atkins in the wake of his false answer." came in the form of personal checks and that there was "no way" he "could have known."

However, the letter, also attached to today's charges, says nothing about misleading or

false answers

false answers.

Mr. McNelis called the issue
"a failure of communications"
and said it had been corrected
"voluntarily" by the company.
Mr. McBride replied that it was
"more than a misunderstanding"

"more than a misuncersuling."

When Ashland was first summoned to court on Nov. 13, 1973, Mr. Atkins appeared and pleaded "no contest" to disdemeanor charges of making an illegal contribution. He was fined \$1,000. He did not appear today and was not charged with any crime. However, Mr. McNelis said that Mr. Atkins would personally pay the \$25,000 fine levied against the company.

Mr. Vinson's secretary, reached by telephone, said that

Mr. Vinson's secretary, reached by telephone, said that Mr. Vinson was busy at meet-

ings.
Repeated attempts to reach Mr. Stauss by telephone brought no reply. An aide, however, read a prepared statement by Mr. Strauss that said in part:

in part:
"While I indeed regret this transaction, I am pleased that they also stated and the record clearly reflects that there was no knowledge on the part of no knowledge on the part of anyone at the Democratic National Committee that these gifts were corporate."

### Assurance Lacking

Despite the statement by Mr

Despite the statement by Mr. Strauss, no one at the special prosecutor's office has publicly given such assurances, nor do they appear in the record of today's action.

Mr. Arnold's office said he was out, had left no telephone number and had prepared a short statement: "Mr. Arnold did not know that the funds were from corporate sources."

In testimony before Senate Watergate Committee investigators, Mr. Arnold had acknowledged passing along contributions to the Mills campaign from the Gulf Oil Corporation and was identified as a close friend of the Arkansas Representation. friend of the Arkansas Repre sentative.

sentative.
David Gartner, an aide to Senator Humphrey, said that the Minnesota Democrat had been unaware that corporate funds were involved in the contributions to his campaigns. Mr. Gartner said that the funds

way" he "could have known."
An aide in Senator Tower's
Austin, Tex., office said that
Mr. Tower had understood that
the check he received was from
an Ashland officer, not the corporation. All such corporate
checks are routinely returned,
the aide Jimmy Banks said.

checks are rounnely returned, the aide, Jimmy Banks, said. Mr. Nunn, who was on a busi-ness trip in Salyersville, Ky., could not be reached immediately for comment.