WASHINGTON, Nov. 10-Richard Ben-Veniste, a 31-yearold assistant special prosecutor, was arguing a point of law at the bench before Judge John J. Siraca one day last week at the Watergate cover-up trial.

John J. Wilson, the 73-year-old chief defense counsel for H. R. Haldeman, the former White House chief of staff, interrupted.

"Why do you want to have the judge run the risk of error

"Mr. Wilson, that is just bogus of you saying that," Mr. Ben-Veniste replied.

Ben-Veniste replied.

"Let me answer this youngster, your honor," Mr. Wilson
said, "you tell me what is bogus."

"Son," he continued, "I have
tried more cases in my life
than you are ever going to
try. Don't tell me what is bogus. I could start criticizing
you until doomsday.

Squabbles between attorneys

Squabbles between attorneys sometimes friendly and some-times not, have become famil-

iar fare at the trial. After six weeks, the patterns are clear.

Mr. Wilson lectures the young assistant prosecutors as young assistant prosecutors as if they were clumsy children, telling Mr. Ben-Veniste, "Sit down until I get through," or Jill Wine Volner, also 31, "Why to you shake your head, Mrs. Volner? I'm correct."

Feels Like Alice

head and says he feels "like has done;
Alice in Wonderland." hurt expr
William S. Frates, chief defense counsel for John D. Ehrlichman, the former chief White

Per House adviser on domestic matters, stands and, with a similarly exaggerated expression of outraged innocence, asks how his "esteemed colleague" can

say such a thing.

Mr. Ben-Veniste points out that the defense lawyers have that the defense lawyers have not cited any legal precedents themselves, loud enough for for their position, and usually the jury to hear, while defense adds, "I suggest there is a lawyers cross-examined witnesses.

Every afternoon when the jury is sent back to its motel, the lawyers for the prosecution and the five defendants usually and I am not nitpicking," Mr. Frates said, in a conference at

often, yelling.
One favorite late-afternoon topic is who the next day's prosecution witness is to be.
The defense lawyers demand witnesses will be. The prosecu-tion says it is enough for them to know who the next one will

Judge Sirica tries to cut the lawyers off politely, 'telling them "Let's keep away from personal comments" or "All right, let's proceed." Sometimes he loses his temper, telling the lawyers, as he did last Friday, that he will not "tolerate" them trying to take "control" of the courtroom from him. him.

Have Their Reasons

But the arguments continue because the lawyers have their reasons.

For example, Thomas C. Green, representing former Assistant Attorney General Thomas Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian, was cross-examining Powell Moore, a public information officer in 1972 of the Committee for the Re-election of the President In an effort to discredit Market President In an effort to discredit Mr. Moore, Mr. Green was reading a transcript of Mr. Moore's earlier and somewhat different testimony before the grand

"Your honor," Mr. Neal interrupted, "I sort of feel this is like a midnight rerun movie I hear.

Mr. Neal's objection had to be discussed—by Judge Sirica, by Mr. Green and by Mr. Neal. Mr. Green was eventually al-lowed to proceed. By that time, the continuity had been broken and, perhaps, the jurors' con-centration as well.

It also helps, the lawyers apparently think, if a defendant Feels Like Alice

James F. Neal, the chief prosecutor, rises, shakes his head and says he feels "like Alice in Wonderland."

William S. Frates, chief do of defense lawyers as they give of defense lawyers as they rise

Persuading Judge

When the lawyers argue out of the jury's presence the purpose is to persuade the judge to rule a certain way on an objection or other point of law. On Friday, Mr. Frates com-

plained to Judge Sirica that the

Frates said, in a conference at the judge's bench.

"Mr. Ben-Veniste, I'm not trying to get on you, I'm not trying to be personal about it," Mr. Frates replied.

Mr. Ben-Veniste continued: "We had an example of that yesterday when out of the blue yesterday when out of the blue cause he had not been fore-marked that they were to appear. Mr. Neal argued that argument and Mr. Frates said that is a trick Frampton must have learned from Mr. Ben-Veniste. I don't mind if Mr. Iey, as liaison counsel, was supposed to tell the other dehave been gotten on by lawyers fense lawyers.

in cases before and it doesn't Mr. Hundley said he'd be bother me, but it will probably happy to resign as liaison. hurt Mr. Frates's case if he wants."

Out my contion "he said

The argument ended with Judge Sirica saying, "Let's try to watch this."

Another reason for the dis-

when court ends, so does putes is to try to distract the other lawyer from a point. Some of the arguing is goodnatured and often funny. There are reasons for this, too. If the lawyer was asked whethlawyer seems a relaxed, friender he wasn't one, too. ly person, the jury might iden-"Of course," he s ly person, the jury might iden-tify those qualities with the

William G. Hundley, the chief laughed again.

"I think," he said, "Mr. Frates counsel for former Attorney General John N. Mitchell, smiles benevolently at whomever he sees in the courtroom, ques-tions prosecution witnesses po-

litely and never shouts.
On one occasion, Mr. Frates tried to get the testimony of three witnesses postponed because he had not been fore-

out my option," he said.

With Mr. Hundley's help, Mr. Frates eventually won his point:

When court ends, so does most of the bickering. One law-

ly person, the jury might identify those qualities with the lawyer's case. "Of course," he said. He laughed and added, "But not as bad as him. He's terrible." He.