Watergate Cover-Up Trial Begins Tomorrow as

By LESLEY OELSNER
Special to The New York Times

NYTimes

WASHINGTON, Sept. 29-The case of the Watergate cover-up goes to trial Tuesday morning, two years and three months after the cover-up began and nearly two months after it caused the final collapse of the Nixon Presidency.

lapse of the Nixon Presidency.

It will go to trial in United States District Court with either five or six defendants, depending on how Judge John J. Sirica rules on a pending motion. Among the defendants are John N. Mitchell, the former Attorney General; H. R. Haldeman, the former White House chief of staff, and John D. Ehrlichman, the former White House adviser on domestic matters.

Not a defendant but tied in-

Not a defendant but tied in-extricably to the case is Rich-ard M. Nixon, though he was pardoned by President Ford on Sept. 8 before any possible charges were lodged against him.

Nixon's Links to Case

Nixon's Links to Case

The former President is tied to much of the evidence, to the defendants, who worked for him either at the White House or at the Committee for the Re-election of the President, and even to the major legal issue presented by the trial, whether an impartial jury can be impaneled in the wake of massive publicity.

It is uncertain, however, whether he will appear in court to testify, despite subpoenas from both the prosecution and defense because of illness.

The other defendants in the case are Robert C. Mardian, a former Assistant Attorney General and political coordinator for the Committee for the Reelection of the President; Kenneth W. Parkinson, a lawyer for the committee, and Gordon C. Strachan, a former aide to Mr. Haldeman.

The prosecution has asked, however, that Mr. Strachan's case be served so that he can be given a pretrial hearing on whether the Government had made any improper use of testimony that Mr. Strachan gave in return for grants of immunto rule on that motion tomorrow.

Tapes to Be Played The former President is tied

Tapes to Be Played

More than 30 of Mr. Nixon's white House tape recordings will be played in public for the first time at the trial. And the admitted participants in the cover-up will be cross-examined for the first time in a

ined for the first time in a courtroom.

President Ford's pardon of Mr. Nixon was widely criticized, among other reasons, on the ground that it was unfair to let the chief go free but prosecute the subordinates. Some of the defendants are expected to try to make this point, and one question is whether the jury will accept or be influenced by this reasoning. If Mr. Nixon does testify, he will be the star witness. And,

S, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 30, 1974

Uncertainty Exists Over Later

according to some of the law-yers familiar with the case, he is one of the few major figures whose testimony cannot be forecast.

forecast.

His failure to appear could also affect the trial.

Mr. Ehrlichman has subpoenaed Mr. Nixon as a defense witness and other defendants are also expected to call Mr. Nixon. The Sixth Amendment guarantees that a defendant may have "compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor"; hence, Judge Sirica would normally be obliged either to use his power to bring Mr. Nixon to court or, assuming Mr. Nixon would be a witness helpful to Mr. Ehrlichman, dismiss the charges.

Illness Could Be Bar

But if Mr. Nixon is still ill when his presence is needed when his presence is needed—
if he is confined to bed, say—
the court clearly cannot produce him. The constitutional
provision might apply only to
the extent that the court would
be required to try to get his
testimony in some other form,
such as a deposition taken at
bedside

such as a deposition taken at bedside.

Leon Jaworski, the special Watergate prosecutor, has also subpoenaed Mr. Nixon. But Mr. Jaworski, who will be represented in court by a team led by James F. Neal, who once prosecuted James R. Hoffa, apparently does not want Mr. Nixon's testimony to build his case.

According to legal observers familiar with the matter, Mr. Jaworski merely wanted to be prepared in case Judge Sirica ruled that the former President's testimony was necessary

Appearance by Nixon

to establish the admissibility of agents who guarded the tapes have at least two lawyers. Fill-the tape recordings as evidence. at the White House.

The prosecution issued the subpoena after the defense refused to make an agreement with the prosecution regarding the tapes' admissibility.

It is possible that Judge Sirica will not accuracy of the tapes it wants to submit at the trial. The question of the admissibility of the tapes and the transcripts is not too of the admissibility of the tapes, and of the tapes, will come up later, during the transcripts is not automatic. The defendants are expected to challenge each submits and of the tapes, mission by the prosecution, and the Government's lawyers will have to make at least two lawyers. Filling 108 seats "behind the rail" and also equipped with earphones will be newsmen, the defendants' families and the public.

But the admissibility of the tapes, and of the tapes, and of the tapes, mission by the prosecution, and the Government's lawyers will have to make an agreement with the accuracy of the transcripts and also equipped with earphones will be newsmen, the defendants' families and the public.

But the admissibility of the tapes, and of the tapes, will come up later, during the transcripts is not automatic. The defendants are expected to challenge each submission by the prosecution, and the public.

the chain of custody, for instance—before he admitted the tapes into evidence. But many lawyers here consider it highly unlikely that the judge would require this testimony if it were clear that Mr. Nixon was too sick to come.

Probably, the hawyers suggest, the judge would gest, the judge would accept testimony from various other sources such as Secret Service

Will come up later, during the trial.

The judge's second-floor courtroom at the United States showing of such factors as relevance and genuineness of the tapes. There will be earphones for everyone in the small room—the 12 jurors and the Government's lawyers will have to make an adequate showing of such factors as relevance and genuineness of the tapes.

All six defendants are charged with a single count of conspiracy, the basic charge of the case—that they plotted to obstruct the investigation of fendants, almost all of whom

Democratic national headquar-how their defense strategies for either the judge or the ters at the Watergate complex here, to give false testimony and to defraud the authorities, in order to conceal the identities of persons who either knew about or were responsible for the burglary.

a separate table. It is not known be merely to sit back and wait tors.

tionships with Mr. Nixon.

tion.

and to defraud the authorities, in order to conceal the identities of persons who either knew about or were responsible for the burglary.

Other Charges

All the defendants but Mr. Mardian are also charged with one count each of obstruction of justice; beyond that, Mr. Mixton was minimal, will probably stress that fact. Lawyers familiar with the Earch defendant will have a separate defense and, unlike defendants in many other conspiracy cases, will be seated at a separate table. It is not known defendants in meny other conspiracy cases, will be seated at a separate table. It is not known defendants in meny other constitutions of persons who either the defendants of persons who either the defendants of persons who either the defendants of persons who either the identities of persons who either the intendent of instance, will probably try to show that the torious things he did were done legitimately in his role as Mr. Nixon's chief of staff; Mr. Parkinson, whose relationship with Mr. Nixon was minimal, will probably stress that fact. Lawyers familiar with the case suggest that as the trial publication.

Long Trial Foreseen

The prosecution, it is generally believed, has a fairly strong ase—much of it, in fact, is already public knowledge, following the publicity about former the publicity about former ally believed, has a fairly strong ase—much of it, in fact, is already public knowledge, following the public through the prosecution, it is generally believed, has a fairly strong ase—much of it, in fact, is already public knowledge, following the publicity about the trial end in conviction.

In the defendants in five public knowledge, following the public knowledge, following the public knowledge, following the prosecution, it is generally believed, has a fairly strong ase—much of it, in fact, is already public knowledge, following the five months, with a first about f

ning, during the jury selection,

peal if need be.