Justice for Mr.

maxim followed instinctively by most "When in doubt, hesitate," is

and see what evidence emerges in the question. So the best thing is to wait future and how Mr. Nixon behaves There are no good answers to the

with a vengeance to the vexing public of us in private life. Now it applies question of whether former President

Nixon should be subject to prosecu-

to be in jail for the sport of it. tion. Nobody wants a former President powerful presumption against prosecu-The scarting point for analysis is a

Nixon has already paid a very high miliation. While the worst may be over penalty-the penalty of personal hufor him now, there is more to come. Nor can anybody doubt that Mr.

cases where he will not, to put it mildly, look good He has heavy paysubject to civil suits from victims of ments for back taxes due. He may be Watergate. He is going to have to testify in

cution turn out on inspection to be terally used to support the case for prose-Nixon the business. R. Haldeman, John Ehrlichman and dent's leading associates—notably H. that it is unfair to prosecute the Presiribly weak. First, there's the argument John Mitchell—without also giving Mr Moreover, the two arguments gener-

system of justice is not a 100 per cent Simon-pure platonic model of perfect But, in fact, we all know that our

> would look like a vindictive act of malice. "Prosecution of the former President It would intensify the bitterness of the Nixon loyalists."

tions are regularly observed. equality. All kinds of practical distinc-

punished much less severely-and much less frequently with prison senin keeping with the view taken by our society of white-collar crime. Colson, Jeb Magruder and John Dean—have had relatively light sentences White House aides who have pleaded tences-than crimes asociated with guilty—notably Egil Krogh, Charles the working class. Several former White collar crime, in particular, is

can be set apart from his underlings. nary criminals, so a former President are going to profit from that view in House aides are set apart from ordihe future. Just as former White Mitchell, Haldeman and Ehrlichman

period of time. Anybody who turns to Mr. Nixon is prosecuted along with the children—will lose faith in American others, many through many experiences over a long But faith in democracy and the system democracy and the system of justice A second argument is that unless justice is something acquired people—and especially

subversion and crime because Mr. Nixon does not do time can be assumed to have been headed in that direction anyway.

support of millions of Americans. shaken in their faith because of special treatment for Mr. Nixon, the ad-Would probably be more injurious. For Mr. Nixon retains the sympathy and verse social effects of a prosecution Even if some shallow people are

strains credulity to believe that a public trial would finally convince them. Supreme Court and his own staff has not shaken the Nixonite hardcore. It lied to the country, the Congress, the The overwheiming evidence that he

dent from the vast majority of people is that a prosecution of the President huge sympathy for the former Presi would look like a vindictive act of mal whose disposition is to have done with the Nixon loyalists, and perhaps win ice. It would intensify the bitterness of the whole affair. To the contrary, my own impression

there is no good way to give Mr. Nixon protection against prosecution. A statute of immunity would probably be un-On the other side of the question

minded to try Mr. Nixon would want to test such a statute in the Supreme Court—with results apt to be unhappy doubtful. for everybody. passed by the Congress, which is Certainly a

constitutional even if it

prosecutor could be

processed through the courts and likely to come out as more tapes are over, is not yet in. New evidence perhaps in the form of confessions. and Mitchell for the Watergate covermade available to Leon Jaworski, the Watergate Special Prosecutor. The upup could well yield more materialcoming trial of Ehrlichman, Haldeman The final story on Mr. Nixon, more-

Then there is the question of what Mr. Nixon himself does. It will be one dent Ford and searches for a personal his refusal to recognize guilt, goes to the point of trying to make a comething if he takes the advice of Presi peace. It will be another thing if he, in

til the passions of the past few months are spent and the dust of the resignawould want to postpone a decision un-Jaworski. And only the willfully obtuse will fail to understand why he with the responsibility-in this case, to leave the decision to those entrusted to hedge bets. It also makes sense to sions with confidence. It makes sense don't know enough to make clear tion has settled. For the time being, however, we

@ 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc