made to face trial on criminal charges? My own thinking on the question has Should Richard Nixon likewise unindicted co-conspirator by the fraud, for example; semi-officially, based on the obstruction-of-justice ar-ticle of impeachment voted by the House Judiciary Committee, or offias officials and as institutions, the was: Yes, of course he should face fallen roughly into three phases. The has lost his high office and prestige as a result of implication in such of-Watergete grand jury. President's status as a criminal suspect ---whether unofficially, based on eviwork of impeachment, the question is: peachment process was under first, in the forefront while the imfenses—would surely be haled into court. dence of income and real estate tax fairly soon. question will have to be faced, and easy needn't bother reading today's What should we do with him now? us together, by saving us the messy William Raspberry WXPost Anyone else-including anyone who The basis for the question is the ex-Any reader for whom the answer is Now that Richard Nixon has brought Should We Prosecute Mr. Nixon? way, be of the people who would see his prose-cution as pure vindictiveness. ("What torn apart in a national debate over what to do with him. Perhaps we should leave him alone, not for the there would be a substantial minority matter how fairly the prosecutors han-dled the case against Richard Nixon, of a "time for healing." good of Nixon but for the good of America. I was impressed by the talk served jail, but I also thought that the country deserved better than to be out of office. I still thought that he demaybe it was enough just to have him or out of sympathy for the fallen tion, whether due to a sense of relief by resigning once he saw his impeachbe permitted to duck criminal liability least a strong enough inference to warhave provided a strong inference that his conviction by the Senate would as synonymous with indictable crimes, Congress define impeachable offenses man's family, I started to think that ment and conviction as probable. he was guilty of serious crimes-at rant a trial. I also appreciated the fact that, no But immediately after the resigna-Nor, I thought at the time, should he After his successful fight to have the AUG 1: 1 1974 didn't happen. The impeachment procthe trial of Richard Niixon would di-vide the country for years to come are the same people who were saying the conviction that the President had to be brought the country together in its ess brought together in logical fashion when he's down. But after two years of removed. same thing about impeachment. It commission or acts of omission. obstructing justice, whether by acts of watching the abuse of the governmenstomach for seeing a former President ing for two years and, as a result, it the evidence that had been accumulatbehind bars, or for kicking a man dence points to serious criminality on ing his review of the tapes he will be receiving from Judge Sirica. If the eviproceed with his investigations, includsay: Let Special Prosecutor Jaworski tal process, I also have no stomach for then let's have the trial. seek an indictment. And if he gets it, morrow. But today I am inclined to the part of Richard Nixon, let Jaworski The people who are predicting that I don't know what I will think to-Like many Americans, I have no vor to it. ing the truth. ment. either did or did not deserve imprison-

0 0

THE

WASHINGTON POST, WEDNESDAY, AUGUST

clear to all of us that Richard Nixon the same thing-that it would make I suspect a criminal trial would do

criminal charges, assuming his convic-

do they want-blood?")

tion by the Senate

ess, it would be a shame to close the which our government has been corbooks now without ever really knowleaderlessness and the agony and refinding out, by enduring months rupted. And having paid the price of We do need to know the extent to of

disturbing, It has an un-American fla-But learning the truth through orim-inal proceedings entails the risk of having to put a former President in jail, a prospect that many of us find

ident is to render empty all the fine phrases—"equal justice under the law," "a government of laws, not of law," "a government of laws, not men," "justice is blind." to suppose that status has anything to do with justice. A grant of amnesty to Nixon simply because he is an ex-Pres-But it is also distinctly un-American

zens. we should be vindictive but whether we have the courage to practice what cans-even Presidents-are just citi ing of special status, that all Ameriyears: that we have no royalty deservwe have professed to believe for 200 The question, really, is not whether