

Washington

COR THREE MONTHS Mr. Nixon's most obdurate supporters swallowed his transcripts, bite by bite, and declared them spicy but digestible. It was like watching a chili-eating contest. The question was: How much could they swallow without throwing up?

The answer came when Mr. Nixon fed them the

June 23, 1972, transcript.

Until then, Mr. Nixon's defense was that he of the cover-up learned March 21, 1973, and was so bewildered that he acted slowly to end it.

This defense was ludicrous. Even the dishonestly edited transcripts show that on March 13, 1973, Messrs. Nixon and Dean discussed Gordon Str.achan's role in the cov-er-up, and on February 28, 1973, they gloated that Judge John Sirica had been unable to crack the cover-up.

.....

......

George F. Will

e .

But the June 23, 1972 tape, a smoking howitzer, proves that Mr. Nixon or-dered a limit on the FBI investigation of Watergate, and ordered this for political purposes. In fine, he ordered a cover-up.

IF THE IMPEACHMENT process were a boxing match, the referee would have stopped the fight. Mr. Nixon lost the ability to defend himself. That is why congressmen and senators were clamoring for vesignation. 1

125 But resignation, the perennial Watergate pana-Cea, is still a pernicious idea because it leaves Mr. Nixon in a position to merchandise his anticonstitutional and amoral view of politics.

If you still doubt that Mr. Nixon has such a view, read the August 16 issue of National Review maga-zine, in which a former White House speech writer recounts his involvement in drafting Spiro Agnew's televised valedictory last October.

The speech writer collaborated with another White House aide who spoke directly with Mr. Nixon on the Wednesday Agnew resigned:

SFC 8-11-74

"The President told him he had counseled Agnew Tuesday in these terms: the important thing is to stand unbowed, not to let 'them' think they've broken you. I've faced these situations, Nixon went on, everyone in politics has to face them, and you can weather them if you just refuse to appear as a beaten man. That, said the President, was the way he had survived the loss in 1960, and the way Agnew should meet this reversal."

'HE "THEM" to whom Mr. Nixon referred was Mr. THE "THEM" to whom Mr. Nixon referred was Mr. Nixon's Justice Department. Agnew's "reversal" consisted of being associated with felonies so numerous that the Justice Department summary of them filled 40 pages. "in"

* *

*

And Mr. Nixon said that being associated with felonies is comparable to losing an election.

Mr. Nixon looks upon politics as an amoral field , of forces, and, like Agnew, he probably believes he has sinned only in getting caught. His pep talk to Agnew is redundant evidence of the thinking that has reduced "Mr. Nixon to ruin, and it is sobering evidence of the perverse and divisive things Mr. Nixon might say concerning his own resignation.

He might say, with a sincerity at once grotesque and pathetic, that he has done no serious wrong. Having escaped Senate conviction by resigning, he might say, with narrow technical accuracy, that nothing had been formally proved against him.

And to prove that he is unbeaten, he might incite his millions of bitter-end supporters against "them," meaning the rest of the nation.

*

× *

ONGRESS should do its duty, which involves more Congress' duty is to pronounce formal judgment against Mr. Nixon's conduct. Only that will cleanse the stain of Mr. Nixon and his men from our government.

115 Mr. Nixon has said that obstruction of justice is an impeachable offense. To any rational person, Mr. Nixon's release of the June 23 transcript constitutes a confession of such obstruction.

Washington Post