Wednesday, August 7, 1974 THE WASHINGTON POST A 8

By Timothy S. Robinson

WXPost

Washington Post Staff Writer Attorneys for the six men facing trial in the Watergate cover-up criminal case scheduled a meeting today to discuss strategies to deal with President Nixon's re-lease Monday of tape transcripts that directly damage at least two of the defen-dants, informed sources said yesterda.

The discussion is expected to center on an attempt to postpone the cover-up trial, now scheduled to begin Sept. 9, at least until the impeachment process has run its course in the House and Senate, the defense sources said.

In addition, attorneys are expected to renew efforts to have the case dismissed, moved or delayed because of massive prejudicial pretrial publicity. In the words of one defense source, "never have the facts of a case been advertised before a trial like they have been in this one."

The interest in delaying at least until the impeachment of President Nixon has run its course is prompted by the uncertainty over the ex-tent of his role in the coveritself. the defense up sources said.

At least one defense attorney said he considers President Richard M. Nixon an essential witness in the case, and pointed out that a subpoena would be more readily enforceable against a former President than a sitting President.

"President Nixon's role in

this caose is now more crucial than ever," the defense attorney said yesterday. "His status must be determined before we can properly prepare a defense." The lawyers' concern was

AUG 7

1974

aroused by the Monday re-lease of the transcript of the June 23, 1972, meetings in President Nixon orwhich dered former White House chief of staff H. R. (Bob) Haldeman to tell the CIA to block the FBI probe of the Watergate break-in.

In addition, the transcripts show that Haldeman tol dNixon on that date that former Attorney General John N. Mitchell had con-General lieved Mitchell was aware of the Watergate break-in be-Both Haldeman and a n d Mitchell are defendants in the cover-up case. Although the transcripts

are potentially more di-rectly damaging to Haldeman and Mitchell, the disclosure of the information is likely to affect the defense strategy of every defendant in the case because of its further implication that a massive cover-up was under way. The tapes thus could be used to buttress the con-spiracy case presented by the Watergate special prose cutor against other defendants.

Some defense attorneys contacted yesterday refused to comment at all about the impact of the tape transcripts on their cases. Other attorneys, under court orders limiting their discussions of the case, would only make guarded assessments

of the case based on the transcripts' release.

**Frial Meet** 

Those persons familiar with the defense said in general that possible new strategies being considered at this point include:

• Raising once again the issue of prejudicial pretrail publicity. "The potential jurors will hear about these tapes for weeks. People will know them by heart. The jury is out on this case and it's not even selected yet,' one defense attorney said.

Attorney who have lost their pretrial publicity motions once already in this case said that if the new disclosures do not force dis-missal of the indictment, a change of venue or a delay "there never will be a point where prejudicial publicity is so great" to force those steps.

Asking that each defendant be tried separately for his alleged crimes in the Watergate cover-up. "We Watergate cover-up. "We shouldn't be tainted by evi-dence that is damaging against Haldeman by sitting at the same defense table with him," said one defense source bluntly.

Asking for a delay of the trial that is specifically tied to the Nixon role in the cover-up and the necessity for some final action about the extent of his involve-ment before the defense in the cover-up can begin.

This latter move is the tactic apparently attracting most of the attention from defense attorneys involved in the case. "Look at this situation,"

said one defense attorney. "The defendants in the cover-up trial are almost cerwitnesses against tainly Nixon in any Senate trial. Nixon is almost certainly going to be called as a witness in the cover-up trial; meanwhile, we're having to prepare a defense for a serious criminal case against our clients. Lawyers can only do so many things at once."

Attorneys indicated they would first attempt to have U.S. District Judge John J. Sirica postpone the case, but said that if he rejects the motion they would move immediately, and as far as the Supreme Court if necessary, to block the rial.

Defense attorneys discounted reports that any of be the defendants would rushing to plead guilty solely as a result of the tapes disclosure.

"There's a wait-and-see at-titude," one attorney said. "If we lose all of our pre-trial attempts" then the case "might be shortened by some guilty please," another attorney added.

Several attorneys also pointed out that the taped conversation only included one defendant, Haldeman, and that any other defend-ants referred to in the conversation could argue as a defense that Haldeman's version of discussions with

them was inaccurate. Haldeman, Mitchell, for-mer White House domestic affairs adviser John D. Ehrlichman, former White House aide Gordon Strachan, Nixon re-election unit



attorney Kenneth Wells and Nixon campaign aide and former Assistant Attorney General Robert C. Mardian are all charged with conspiracy to cover up the Watergate break-in.

The June 23 meetings between Nixon and Haldeman are not among the 45 spe-cific "overt acts" listed in furtherance of that conspir-acy by the Watergate special prosecution force. However, legal observers said that the tapes of the meetings would be admissible as evidence at the trial since they occurred during the time frame of the alleged conspiracy.

All of the defendants except Mardian also cept Mardian also are charged with a specific obstruction of justice charge in connection with alleged attempts to have the CIA pay bail and expenses of the Watergate defendants.