WXPost AUG 7 1974 Watergate Vindication

By Laurence Stern Washington Post Staff Writer

The newest installment of White House transcripts strongly vindicates the Central Intelligence Agency in its long standing denials of any direct involvement in the Watergate break-in.

The transcripts of the The transcripts of the tape recordings reveal — in the President's own utter-ances — that the CIA was injected into the Watergate case by Mr. Nixon and his top aides. Their efforts de-layed for nearly two weeks the FIM investigation of the the FBI investigation of the first major evidentiary link between the Watergate bur-

between the Watergate bur-glars and the 1972 Nixon campaign organization. But the strategy ulti-mately failed when former CIA Director Richard M. Helms persistently refused to give a written declaration to former acting FBL Direc to former acting FBI Direc-tor L. Patrick Gray III that the bureau's investigation threatened to expose covert CIA activities in Mexico.

The plan concocted in the White House by the Presi-dent and his chief of staff, H. R. (Bob) Haldeman, was to direct the CIA to tell the FBI to "stay the hell out of" r B1 to "stay the hell out of" (Haldeman's words) the in-vestigation of Nixon funds which were laundered through a Mexico City bank account and ended up in the pockets of the Watergate burglars.

The new evidence wholly indermines the President's repeated claims that he was motivated by national secu-rity considerations in implicating the CIA. Mr. Nixon said on May 22, 1973, that his initial suspicions of CIA involvement were incorrect. But he did not/concede, until the release of the latest bombshells of evidence, that the concern was to cover up Watergate-White House con-

True to its institutional ways, the CIA had no comways, the CIA had no com-ment yesterday on the latest developments. But there is little doubt that the tape disclosures provided a cer-tain joy in Langley in the aftermath of the hammering the CIA has taken through-out the unfolding Watescrete out the unfolding Watergate scandal.

There was one fleeting and cryptic presidential comment in the new transcripts relating to Helms on which no informed officials could shed light. It was the President's remark that "well, we protected Helms from one hell of a lot."

Previous testimony in the CIA-Watergate affair has revealed that the White House acted through the CIA's deputy director, Gen. Vernon Walters, a former military aide to Mr. Nixon in his vice presidential days, to carry the message to the FBI.

Walters initally complied with the White House directive that he tell Gray the FBI investigation in Mexico endangered covert CIA op-erations. But he reversed himself in the face of the in-sistence of his[®] boss, Helms, that there was no basis for such a stand by the agency. Helms, who had a reputa-tion as an adroit maneuverer in Washington's bu-reaucratic minefields, was pursuing a strategy of "distancing" the agency from the scandal.

Despite the confirmatory revelations of the new tapes, the CIA does not emerge from the episode with its

skirts in spotless condition. Item. The agency did, in 1971, agree to provide—at high-level White House direction—spy paraphernalia to White House "plumbers"

ligence program launched in 1970. Subsequent publica-tion of the so-called "Huston Plan" (drafted by former White House aide Tom Charles Huston) confirmed that Helms personally par-ticipated in the White House ticipated in the White House program. The CIA is prohibited by its congressional charter from becoming involved in internal security enforcement matters.

But on the curcial ques-tion of CIA involvement in Watergate, the White House-instigated effort to suspend the FBI's investigation of the

re-election committee cash, Helms stood firm against what must then have seemed awesome presiden-tial pressures.

The new tapes gave some measure of how prowerful those pressures must have been.

E. Howard Hunt and G. Gordon Liddy which was used in the Daniel Ellsberg break-in. The CIA's defense was that it did not know what the equipment would be used for.

Item. After turning off the initial assistance to Hunt in August, 1971, when it became suspicious of his activities, it once again re-sumed dealings with him in connection with the White House-requested psychiatric profile of Pentagon Papers defendant Ellsberg.

Items. In testimony to the Senate Foreign Relations Committee early in 1973 Helms testified that the CIA had no dealings with Hunt or any of the other Watergate break-in figures subsequent to their retire-ment from the agency. It was Helms' successor, James G. Schlesinger, who broke the story of the 1971 assist-ance to Hunt to investigating congressional committees.

Item. Helms also denied

in testimony to the Senate Foreign Relations Commit-tee that the CIA was involved in an interagency White House domestic intel-

