Doar Summary Stresses Nixon Role in Watergate

WASHINGTON, July 21—In argued, actions by Presidential aides had somewhat limited the scope of the investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investiguiry, John M. Doar, the committee's special counsel, abandoned the impartial role he had been playing for weeks and bluntly laid out the case against President Nixon.

The first portion of his 306-page summary, which is argued, actions by Presidential aides had somewhat limited the scope of the investigation by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and money had been allocated to be paid to the persons who had been arrested.

The summary also listed in detail the state of Mr. Haldeman's knowledge of Watergate, which was quite compresented.

The summary cited evidence that H. R. Haldeman, Mr. Nixon's chief of staff, knew in advance of the burglary on June 17, 1972, at the Democratic national offices in the Watergate complex here and had approved the intelligence gathering plan from which the burglary apparently grew.

It then cited testimony from

vance of the burglary on June 17, 1972, at the Democratic national offices in the Watergate complex here and had approved the intelligence gathering plan from which the burglary apparently grew.

It then cited testimony from Alexander P. Butterfield, a former White House aide, and from John N. Mitchell, a former Attorney General, indicating that Mr. Haldeman was not an "implementer" of the President's decisions and his "altergego."

Thus, the inference was drawn that Mr. Nixon must have given Mr. Haldeman permission to authorize the political intelligence project in 1971. That project, code-named Sandwedge, was discarded later, but its momentum carried over into the operation headed by G. Gordon Liddy at the Committee for the Re-election of the President in 1972.

Mr. Doar noted that the first key meeting between Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Nixon after the break-in took place on June 20, 1972. At that meeting they discussed Watergate, but their discussion was obliterated from the tape of the meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President met with Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Nixon after the break-in took place on June 20, 1972. At that meeting they discussed Watergate, but their discussion was obliterated from the tape of the meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President met with Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Nixon after the break-in took place on June 20, 1972. At that meeting they discussed Watergate, but their discussion was obliterated from the tape of the meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President meeting by an 18½-minute "buzz."

On June 30, the President meeting with Mr. Nixon face time time with Mr. Dean on Sept. 15, 1972, according to the summary, Mr. Nixon had a substantial amount of information about the whole episode, incluring knowledge of the real reason for Mr. Mitchell's departure from the re-payments to the conspirators. In response to a report by Mr. Dean,

against President Nixon.

The first portion of his 306page summary, which is
printed in Adjacent columns,
dealt with Mr. Nixon's behavior
in connection with the Watergate break-in and it's aftermath. In essence, he argued
that the President had authorized the intelligence plan that
led to the burglary and had
directed early efforts to cover
up its character.

Recalling that on April 30,
1973, Mr. Nixon said that he
had been deceived by his
subordinates, Mr. Doar said
that "The critical question
this committee must decide is
whether the President was
duped by his closest political
associates or whether they were
in fact carryin out his policies
and decisions." Clearly,
Doar believes the latter.

Haldeman's Role Cited

The summary cited evidence

The summary cited evidence

Mr. Nix.

Malmbach, then Mr. Nixon's
personal attorney. At first, Mr.
Doar said, "the policy worked."

Mr. Dean short-circuited the
F.B.I. investigation, the sum-