JUL 2 2 1974 Pressure Builds on House Republicans WXPost WXPost UL 2 2 1974

White House Invitations

Supreme Court Timing By Lou Cannon and John P. MacKenzie

By Lou Cannon Washington Post Staff Writer

When Rep. William S. Cohen of Maine was invited for a cruise aboard the presidential yacht Sequoia that subsequently was can-celed, fellow Republican Tom Railsback of Illinois said it was just as well.

"It probably would have been the first time," cracked Railsback, "that they would have taken the Sequoia into shark-infested waters."

Railsback's quip reflects ne growing recognition among Republican members of the House Judiciary Committee of the mounting political pressure faced by GOP congressmen who are contemplating voting for the impeachment of President

Nixon. "The "The White House has taken a count and they know they've lost the committee," said one Republican member last week. "Their only hope is to keep the vote down and try to pull it out in the House."

Few Republicans now approximation of the House."

Few Republicans now appear to believe that Mr. Nixon can avoid an impeach

ment trial in the Senate. Second-ranking committee Republican Robert McClory of Illinois was merely saying

out loud what his fellow colleagues have been saying privately when he predicted last week that impeachment articles would attract four or five Republican votes on the committee. If the Democrats stick together, this would mean a nearly 2-to-1 vote for impeachment.

McClory went on to say that he believed the House would in all likelihood accept the committee's recommendation.

As the impeachment issue moves closer to the House floor, some Republicans are finding that it is easier than ever to obtain cooperation from the White House on announcement of projects within their districts.

See STRATEGY, A20, Col. 1

An early Supreme Court decision over presidential tape recordings could ruin the tight impeachment time-table, in the opinion of rank-ing House Judiciary Committee members of both par-

Even if the Supreme Court should delay its ruling until the committee has voted on proposed articles of impeachment, committee leaders believe there might be irresistible pressure to slow down the impeachment drive long enough to try to gain access to the disputed tapes.

Committee leaders base this view both on law and politics.

On the law, they do not expect Mr. Nixon to win on his claim of absolute execu-

Washington Post Staff Writers tive privilege to withhold evidence in 64 White House conversations that Water-gate Special Prosecutor Special Prosecutor Jaworski contends Leon proves a conspiracy involving the President.

As for the politics, such a ruling would only increase pressure on some committee Republicans to await Rep. Robert McClory (R-III.) calls the "best evidence" bearing on Mr. Nixon's fitness for office.

McClory told The Washington Post last week that he has asked Chairman Peter W. Rodino (D-N.J.) to delay action if there is a high court decision that makes it appear that the tapes, long sought by the committee under its own subpoenas, might be within reach through Jaworski.

The delay could be a minimum of one month or a maximum of two months,

McClory said.

McClorys' opinion is of great importance within the committee. It carries more weight than that of the ranking minority member, Edward Hutchinson of Michi-

See DELAY, A20, Col. 1"

Impeachment Argument

Excerpts from the 151-page brief filed by the President's lawyers with the House Judiciary Committee appear today on Page A 15. Excerpts from a 306-page document compiled by the staff for the members of the Judiciary Committee appear today on Page A14. The Washington Post plans to print both documents in their entirety in a special section later this week.

Pressure Builds on GOP In Louse

STRATEGY, From A1

to Pennsylvania" from an administration source, the to him during six years in Congress. first time that had happened Pa.) told how he had re-Rep. Lawrence Coughlin (R-"newsworthy item relating tip on a

a concert. He turned down he also rejected. another the next day, which the Kennedy Center during haison office inviting him to sit in the President's box at a telephone call from the the invitation and received White House congressional Subsequently, he received

.quoia. received his first invitation to sail aboard the Se-Soon thereafter, Coughlin

to be invited to sit in the President's box. "a cheap shot" because he said that it is not unusual House Minority Leader John J. Rhodes of Arizona for Republican congressmen called Coughlin's statemen.

a smile last week that the GOP congressman said with however, is unusual and one The Sequoi invitation,

ing them to vote against im-

peachment.

quiry was finished. White House would have to before the impeachment input on an extra yacht or two

tr for add four Clory, who said early in the inquiry that he would not accept White House social ment Some of the congress-men themselves have fol-lowed the example of Mcmittee, the White House has were in progress. gressmen who will be the tempts to influence conappeared careful to refrain peachment proceedings first to vote on impeachbe construed as overt atfrom invitations that might invitations while the im-Within the Judiciary Comwhere they may."

members after the Judiciary even a mild criticism of Mr. member is quoted as making peachment letters that, in Committee sessions and urg-Fairness to the President of the Citizens Congress for Nixon. Last week, members waves" if a Republican took to buttonholing some have come from anti-im-The real pressures within the Judiciary Committee

against GOP congressmen on the committee, which he court," was a conscious ef-fort by the White House to week by White House press for impeachment. who are prepared to vote described as a "kangaroo secretary Ronald L. arouse heve that the attack last Several Republicans be-Nixon | loyalists Ziegler

man said the Ziegler statebackfire." Congress-and it's going to ment was "just one more ilwhich the President has for lustration of the contempt One Republican congress-

Many House Republicans,

But when three presidential supporters cornered Rep. John F. Sieberling (D-Ohio) snapped back: presidency," edly urged "fairness to the last Saturday and repeatwith such advocates with poavoid prolonged discussion lite answers that they intend to vote the evidence. "The best way that we can Usually, the Sieberling members

out job and let the chips fall and to the President is to do be fair to the presidency moval is needed. impeacement inquiry, the Judiciary

score Republicans on the easier to pressure three or Judiciary Committee. Their reasoning is that it is far expect a larger margin for impeachment in the House in committee than several four vulnerable members as a whole than in the In fact, some Republicans

The pressure building on

cans of varying persuasions at Mr. Nixon's defiance of sentment among Republiit. There is also lingering redino (D-N.J.) and see little ings and documents. the committee's subpoenas political benefit in attacking the Judiciary Committee under Chairman Peter W. Ropraised the performance of including for additional tape record-Rhodes, have

better in the House as a whole and still better in the vote for conviction and re-Senate, where a two-thirds was Mr. Nixon's most hostile forum and that he would do put forward the notion that White House has steadily From the beginning of the Committee

to them in impeachement, impeachement. to care about the politics of vote. Others no longer seem no matter which way they there is no political benefit bers have concluded that Some Republican mem-

week the familiar question and added: think so." Then he paused politically no matter which of whether he could survive Butler (R-Va.) was asked last way he voted, he replied, "I When Rep. M. Caldwell

"And if I didn't, the job isn't all that great."

which used to be common after the GOP caucus." pictures both before and him to the waist and take 25 member the kind of advice can gave a Railsback staff was implicitly recognized recently when one Republithe half-dozen swing GOP police stations: "Strip

convictions. run may lie in voting their undecided Republicans that within the committee among letters and the invitations, however, there appears to the best politics in the long be a growing Despite the pressure, the conviction

High Court Ruling Could Cause Delay

DELAY, From A1

gan, and McClory is a possible vote for impeachment, which Hutchinson is not.

A number of influential democrats, such as Don Edwards of California and Jack Brooks of Texas would like to move ahead no matter what the court does or when the court does it. In their view the massive evidence already compiled is an ample basis for impeachment.

But committee Democrats are split on this issue. Rep. George E. Danielson (D-Calif.) takes the view that the committee would be in the position where it would almost have to wait to see whether the linking evidence could be obtained.

Another high-ranking member sees a possibility of reopening Judiciary Committee proceedings.

There are several reasons for the sentiment in favor of waiting:

- The committee's draft articles of impeachment place heavy stress on the White House refusal to comply with subpoenas. Thus it might seem difficult to justify "rushing" the articles on the floor when decisive evidence seemed to be on the way.
- A closely related political consideration is that GOP members who can easily justify voting for a noncompliance article would find it hard to stand up to pressure from Nixon loyalists accusing them of condemning the President without waiting for all the evidence.
- Some members leaning toward an impeachment vote based on existing evidence may see such hope in getting clinching evidence that they could withstand the inevitable intra-party re-

percussions of an anti-Nixon vote.

One committee Republican told The Post that a number of members probably would vote "present" if Rodino refused to wait. The result could be a shortage of Republican impeachment votes that would help to put the issue in further doubt on the House floor.

Few in Congress expect that the tapes, if they are produced, will help Mr. Nixon. Even the previously withheld morsel of conversation selected by presidential special counsel James D. St. Clair for his closing argument fell short of exonerating the President of "hush money" charges. Both the authenticity of the excerpted material and St. Clair's right to excerpt it came under immediate challenge.

One of the ironies is that the expected high court decision, although it may deal a sharp setback to presidential claims of unreviewable privilege to withhold evidence, could give him at least the short-run advantage of delay.

Even if the court upholds all of U.S. District Court Judge John J. Sirica's May 20 order to produce the tapes for his inspection and possible turnover to Jaworski, the screening process in the judge's chambers could take as long as two months. The process must be completed in advance of the scheduled Sept. 9 cover-up conspiracy trial of John N. Mitchell, H. R. (Bob) Haldeman, John D. Ehrlichman and other former Nixon aides.

And even assuming White House compliance with an adverse Supreme Court decision, another round of litigation is still in prospect if the White House is dissatisfied with specific rulings by



REP. GEORGE DANIELSON
... feels panel should wait

Sirica as to what evidence must be turned over to Jaworski. St. Clair might also move to intervene in the courts as soon as Jaworski tries, if he does try, to convey any of the evdience he receives to the Judiciary Committee.

Such political overtones rang through the Supreme Court chamber on July 8 when the justices heard oral arguments and took the dispute under advisement.

St. Clair contended that the special prosecutor's office was a mere "conduit" of evidence for the impeachment inquiry when the committee itself had been unable to obtain the evidence. (The committee takes the position that the executive privilege claims are invaldid but declines to go to court about it on grounds that the House is the sole judge of impeachment matters.)

Jaworski and his counsel, Philip A. Lacovara, did not accept the "conduit" label and said there was no issue of a turnover to Congress before the court.

While all the conjecture goes on, the Supreme Court, which could issue its ruling any day or delay it for days or weeks, remains inscrutable. The court has the final say on the timing as well as the content of its decision.