Key Role of White House In Campagn Is Described

By R. W. APPLE Jr. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 18 — concerned with individual control of the memorandums written in the fall of 1971 demonstrate that the White House was intimately involved in the smallest details of President Nixon's re-election campaign from its start.

The memorandums, madepublic today by the House Judiciary Committee, show that H. R. Haldeman, then Mr. Of San Francisco, Harold Dobbs, Nixon's chief of staff, was kept informed of the most minute details—down to such questions as who from the Committee to Re-Elect the President could to Re-Elect the President could to no one never!"

to no one never!"

A Future For Stans

Drafted by Gordon C.
Strachan, then Mr. Haldeman's assistant, the memorandums conflict with statements then and since by White House spokesmen, who have tried to show that the re-election committee operated largely autonomously.

In addition, the memorandum—dated Sept. 24 and Oct. 7, 1971, and addressed to Mr. Haldeman—indicate that John N. Mitchell was making key campaign decision and controlling most of the funds at that time, even though he later insisted that he took no role inthe campaign until his resignation as Attorney General took effect the following March 1.

Indeer the heading "press"

A Future For Stans

When Mr. Strachan reported that Maurice H. Stans, who had been asked to resign as Secretary of Commerce to head campaign fund-raising, would later expect a job "of the Calibre of the World Bank [presidency]," Mr. Haldeman wrote, "It will not be World Bank."

Reviewing a meeting Mr. Haldeman had had with Herbert W. Kalmbach, Mr. Nixon's lawyer and fund-raiser, Mr. Strachan said, among other things:

"Ford is in for 100; Fisher may be in for 250, but you weren't sure 'we could pay his price.'"

The reference apparently was to Michigan contributors, an area in which Mr. Kalmbach

When Mr. Strachan reported met.



Associated P H. R. Haldeman

because his "price" was not

Mr. Fisher did not return telphone calls seeking clarification this afternoon.

Mr. Mitchell, the Oct. 7 memorandum states, "is currently retaining complete financial control" of the campaign pending the selection of a full-time finance chairman. But Mr. Strachan, citing complaints from other staff members compared to the compared t Strachan, citing complaints from other staff members, complains that "the Attorney General is too busy and has not been able to focus on the accumulating campaign decisions" cumulating sions."

Mr. Strachan apparently was pleased with Mr. Mitchell's activities, reporting that a committee ghost-writer had been assigned to prepare a syndicated column for King Features under her name.

the campaign until his resignation as Attorney General took effect the following March 1.

Under the heading "press of Michigan contributors, and area in which Mr. Kalmbach was working. "Ford" presumably was hear of the Ford Motor Company, who ultimately contributors already active in the campaign, Mr. Strachan added:

"Martha Mitchell's wife, who was already active in the committee because the committee because the committee would help any key Republican."

The memorandums give a detailed picture of the kinds of questions that preoccupied Mr. Haldeman as the re-election effort began to take shape.

Money was one of the prime concerns. Mr. Haldeman was moved that it is not known what his mother in the concerns and was moved that have come to light in the watergate investigation indicate that Mr. Fisher gave at least \$125,000 early, in 1973—but it is not known what his "price" was, or whether he cut back from \$250,000 to \$125,000 to \$125,000

NYT 7-19-74