Tunney Assertion Clashes With Reinecke Testimony

NYTimes By E. W. KENWORTHY

pecial to The New York Tim

WASHINGTON, July 16—Two the convention, then planned witnesses testified in Federal for San Diego, "has gone a District Court today that Lieut. long way" toward getting a Gov. Ed Reinecke of California favorable settlement on the told them in early March, 1972, that he informed Attorney Gental Table N. Withhall in March 2 A settlement had been under

June 19, 1972, during the hearings on the nomination of Richard G. Kleindienst to be Attorney General.

At that time, Mr. Reinecke, testifying under oath, told the committee that he was mistaken when he told a reporter for The Sacramento Bee and Robert Walters of The Washington Star-News on March 2, 1972, that he informed Mr. Mitchell of the I.T.T. pledge on May 21, 1971, two months before the Government settled three antitrust suits against the corporation.

Question of Timing

On checking his records, Mr. Reinecke told the committee, he discovered that he informed Mr. Mitchell of the pledge on Sept. 17, 1971, six weeks after the settlement was announced.

The question of timing was important to the committee, because the Kleindienst hearings had been reopened as a result of a memo by Dita D. Beard, a Washington lobbyist for I.T.T., to her superior on June 25, 1971, suggesting that the pledge to help to finance

antitrust cases.

A settlement had been under discussion ince mid-April.

Because of his statement to the committee, Mr. Reinecke was indicted by a Watergate grand jury last April on two counts of California and a member of the Judiciary Committee. The other was Lee Fremstad, a reporter for The Sacramento Bee in California.

The statements these witnesses attributed to Mr. Reinecke told him that he reported the LT.T. pledge to Mr. Mitchell during a visit to Washington in May, 1971.

Mr. Fremstad said that he had immediately written an article for his paper on the interview.

At that time Mr. Reinecke.