If White House Has an Anti-Impeachment Lobby, Its

By PHILIP SHABECOFF Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, July 7 -Tf the White House is conducting an anti-impeachment lobby on

an anti-impeachment lobby on Capitol Hill, as some critics have asserted, it is doing so with a gossamer touch that seems to be unfelt by many members of Congress. Several Congressmen, along with other critics, have alleged that President Nixon and his staff are tailoring their legis-lative tactics and their dealings with individual members of Congress to win favorable votes should impeachment come votes should impeachment come to the floor of the House or an impeachment trial Senate. to the

The President's legislative approach, these critics assert, is now designed to win favor with conservative members of Congress whose vote could save him from impeachment in the House or conviction in the the House or conviction in the Senate. As evidence of impeachment

As evidence of impeachment lobbying these critics point to such things as recent invita-tions to mostly conservative Congressmen to dine aboard the Presidential yacht, as well as firm White House support of the conservative position on such legislation as land use such legislation as land use, free legai services to the poor, Federal indentification of poul-try farmers, welfare reform, consumer affairs and other bills.

Aides Denv It

But high-ranking Presidential aides responsible for legislation —and relations with legislators —flatly deny that there is any anti-impeachment lobbying go-ing on

peachment politics" had caused its defeat. Mr. Udall said that the White House had withdrawn promised support for the bill, which would have given states re-sources with which to prevent developers from despoiling the land.

developers from despension land. "The President is grand-standing for the right wing," he charged at the time the bill was defeated. "He's giving in to them on every major is-sue. This was straight impeach-ment politics." Detects a Pattern

Detects a Pattern

But when asked in a tele-phone interview for evidence that impeachment politics had caused the demise of the bill, Mr. Udall said that "I cheer-fully concede you will never catch Richard Nixon engaged in blatant impeachment lobby-ing."

However, he said that from his own experience and conver-sations with fellow Democrats in Congress, he could see a pat-trn whereby "the Administra-tion will cave in on anything" the conservatives want

the conservatives want. Some supporters of the bill to provide free legal services to the poor see this pattern in the poor see this pattern in the President's threat to veto the measure, a threat they in-sist can only be intended to mirry favor with the conserva-tives. They noted that the bill was carefully worked out with the White House over the last tour years ago and there should

be no legislative reason for a lative position in view of past charge of liaison with Conveto.

MES, MONDAY, JULY 8, 1974

Administration willingness to gress, insisted that he and his "The President will be veto- find accommodation with Con-staff were doing no direct or

veto.
"The President will be veto-ing his own bill if he vetoes this bill," Senator Gaylord Nelson, the Wisconsin Demo-crat who is one of the chief sponsors of the bill, said to a reporter.
Veto is Forecast
One of Senator Nelson's aides said it was now assured that the President would veto a legal services bill ever if all conceded, however, that Mr. Nixon had always op-posed these kinds of social programs and could not be accused of taking a new posi-tion. He agreed that the veto hreat reflected "the hardline Drom position" but added that it was not a "reasonable" legisAdministration willingness to find accommodation with Con-gress. The White House is insisting that its legislative tactics re-lind-use bill," asserted Ken-neth R. Cole, executive director tor the Federal Government and so supported a different version introduced by Repre-sentative Sam Steiger, Repub-sentative Sam Steiger, Repub-sentative Sam Steiger, Repub-sitant to the President minons, as-who have been invited to spend william E. Timmons, as-who have been invited to spend

Work Isn't Apparent to Many in Congress

Nixon aboard the Presidential not true every one of us would more accessible yacht Sequoia said when inter- vote against him."

Nixon aboard the Presidential not true every one of us would yacht Sequoia said when interview that the President had not asked for their support on impeachment directly or indirectly. "Is uspect something is going on because of the way the mow," said Representative Dan H. Kuykendall, Republican of Tennessee, who was a guest aboard the yacht recently. Mr. Kuykendall, who is aboard the yacht recently. Mr. Kuykendall, who is representent vote in that group [of conservative Context] but he added that he knew of mone from personal observation. Senator Mike Mansfield of Montana, the Senate majority leader, said that "to lose an impeachment vote in that group [of conservative Context] he would have to have a crime interview that, as far as he somebody else brought the subject of Watergate up that "to lose, as not guilty of any crime," he recalled. "If that is true, he subject of Watergate up that he was on guilty of any crime," he recalled. "If that is true, he subject of everyone who as a guide the true was no time soft of the subject of everyone who as a guide the subject of everyone who as a guide the thouse. "Maybe they are doing something is going on the House side, "the recalled. "If that is true, he said. Some members of Congress and the yacht granted was on the Sequoia. If that is something is add the President had been that reality." since the Watergate and impeachment